

Annales Universitatis Paedagogicae Cracoviensis Studia Mathematica XVI (2017)

Nanjundan Magesh and Jagadeesan Yamini

Fekete-Szegő inequalities associated with k^{th} root transformation based on quasi-subordination

Communicated by Tomasz Szemberg

Abstract. Recently, Haji Mohd and Darus [1] revived the study of coefficient problems for univalent functions associated with quasi-subordination. Inspired largely by this article, we provide coefficient estimates with k -th root transform for certain subclasses of \mathcal{S} defined by quasi-subordination.

1. Introduction

Denote by \mathcal{A} the class of all analytic functions of the type

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbb{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$. Also denote by \mathcal{S} the class of all analytic univalent functions of the form (1) in \mathbb{U} . Let k be a positive integer. A domain \mathbb{D} is said to be k -fold symmetric if a rotation of \mathbb{D} about the origin through an angle $\frac{2\pi}{k}$ carries \mathbb{D} to itself. A function f is said to be k -fold symmetric in \mathbb{U} , if $f(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{k}} z) = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{k}} f(z)$ for every $z \in \mathbb{U}$. If f is regular and k -fold symmetric in \mathbb{U} , then

$$f(z) = b_1 z + b_{k+1} z^{k+1} + b_{2k+1} z^{2k+1} + \dots \quad (2)$$

Conversely, if f is given by (2), then f is k -fold symmetric inside the circle of convergence of the series. For $f \in \mathcal{S}$ given by (1), the k^{th} root transformation is defined by

$$F(z) = [f(z^k)]^{\frac{1}{k}} = z + b_{k+1} z^{k+1} + b_{2k+1} z^{2k+1} + \dots \quad (3)$$

AMS (2010) Subject Classification: 30C45, 30C50.

Keywords and phrases: Univalent functions, starlike functions, convex functions, subordination, quasi-subordination.

Corresponding author N. Magesh (nmagi_2000@yahoo.co.in).

For two analytic functions f and g , the function f is quasi-subordinate to g in the open unit disc \mathbb{U} , if there exist analytic functions h and w , with $|h(z)| \leq 1$, $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$, such that $\frac{f(z)}{h(z)}$ is analytic in \mathbb{U} and written as

$$\frac{f(z)}{h(z)} \prec g(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

and it is denoted by

$$f(z) \prec_q g(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

and equivalently

$$f(z) = h(z)g(w(z)) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

It is interesting to note that if $h(z) \equiv 1$, then $f(z) = g(w(z))$, so that $f(z) \prec g(z)$ in \mathbb{U} , where \prec is a subordination between f and g in \mathbb{U} . Also notice that if $w(z) = z$, then $f(z) = h(z)g(z)$ and it is said that f is majorized by g and written as $f(z) \ll g(z)$ in \mathbb{U} (see [2]).

Let φ be an analytic and univalent function with positive real part in \mathbb{U} , $\varphi(0) = 1$, $\varphi'(0) > 0$ and let φ map the unit disk \mathbb{U} onto a region starlike with respect to 1 and symmetric with respect to the real axis. The Taylor's series expansion of such a function is

$$\varphi(z) = 1 + B_1z + B_2z^2 + B_3z^3 + \dots, \tag{4}$$

where all coefficients are real and $B_1 > 0$.

Recently, El-Ashwah and Kanas [3] introduced and studied the following two subclasses:

$$\mathcal{S}_q^*(\gamma, \varphi) := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right) \prec_q \varphi(z) - 1, z \in \mathbb{U}, \gamma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \right\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{K}_q(\gamma, \varphi) := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \frac{1}{\gamma} \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \prec_q \varphi(z) - 1, z \in \mathbb{U}, \gamma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \right\}.$$

We note that, when $h(z) \equiv 1$, the classes $\mathcal{S}_q^*(\gamma, \varphi)$ and $\mathcal{K}_q(\gamma, \varphi)$ reduce respectively, to the familiar classes $\mathcal{S}^*(\gamma, \varphi)$ and $\mathcal{K}(\gamma, \varphi)$ of Ma-Minda starlike and convex functions of complex order γ ($\gamma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$) in \mathbb{U} (see [4]). For $\gamma = 1$, the classes $\mathcal{S}_q^*(\gamma, \varphi)$ and $\mathcal{K}_q(\gamma, \varphi)$ reduce to the classes $\mathcal{S}_q^*(\varphi)$ and $\mathcal{K}_q(\varphi)$ studied by Haji Mohd and Darus [1]. When $h(z) \equiv 1$, the classes $\mathcal{S}_q^*(\varphi)$ and $\mathcal{K}_q(\varphi)$ reduce respectively, to well known subclasses $\mathcal{S}^*(\varphi)$ and $\mathcal{K}(\varphi)$ introduced and studied by Ma and Minda [5]. By specializing

$$\varphi(z) = \frac{1 + (1 - 2\alpha)z}{1 - z} \quad (0 \leq \alpha < 1)$$

or

$$\varphi(z) = \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)^\beta \quad (0 < \beta \leq 1)$$

the classes $\mathcal{S}^*(\varphi)$ and $\mathcal{K}(\varphi)$ consist of functions known as the starlike (respectively convex) functions of order α or strongly starlike (respectively convex) functions of order β , respectively.

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ given by (1) is said to be in the class $\mathcal{M}_q^{\delta,\lambda}(\gamma, \varphi)$, $0 \neq \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$, $\delta \geq 0$, if the following quasi-subordination condition is satisfied

$$\frac{1}{\gamma} \left((1 - \delta) \frac{z\mathcal{F}'_{\lambda}(z)}{\mathcal{F}_{\lambda}(z)} + \delta \left(1 + \frac{z\mathcal{F}''_{\lambda}(z)}{\mathcal{F}'_{\lambda}(z)} \right) - 1 \right) \prec_q \varphi(z) - 1 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}_{\lambda}(z) = (1 - \lambda)f(z) + \lambda z f'(z) \quad (0 \leq \lambda \leq 1).$$

We note that,

1. $\mathcal{M}_q^{\delta,0}(\gamma, \varphi) := \mathcal{M}_q^{\delta}(\gamma, \varphi)$,
2. $\mathcal{M}_q^{\delta}(1, \varphi) := \mathcal{M}_q^{\delta}(\varphi)$, [1, Definition 1.7, p.3],
3. $\mathcal{M}_q^{0,0}(\gamma, \varphi) := \mathcal{S}_q^*(\gamma, \varphi)$, [3, Definition 1.1, p.680],
4. $\mathcal{S}_q^*(1, \varphi) := \mathcal{S}_q^*(\varphi)$, [1, Definition 1.1, p.2],
5. $\mathcal{M}_q^{1,0}(\gamma, \varphi) := \mathcal{K}_q(\gamma, \varphi)$, [3, Definition 1.3, p.681],
6. $\mathcal{K}_q(1, \varphi) := \mathcal{K}_q(\varphi)$, [1, Definition 1.3, p.2],
7. For $0 \neq \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$, $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_q^{0,\lambda}(\gamma, \varphi) &\equiv \mathcal{P}_q(\gamma, \lambda, \varphi) \\ &= \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\frac{zf'(z) + \lambda z^2 f''(z)}{(1 - \lambda)f(z) + \lambda z f'(z)} - 1 \right) \prec_q \varphi(z) - 1, z \in \mathbb{U} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

8. For $0 \neq \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$, $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_q^{1,\lambda}(\gamma, \varphi) &\equiv \mathcal{K}_q(\gamma, \lambda, \varphi) \\ &= \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\frac{zf'(z) + (1 + 2\lambda)z^2 f''(z) + \lambda z^3 f'''(z)}{zf'(z) + \lambda z^2 f''(z)} - 1 \right) \prec_q \varphi(z) - 1, \right. \\ &\quad \left. z \in \mathbb{U} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Inspired by the papers of [1, 3, 6, 7, 8], we obtain the upper bounds $|b_{k+1}|$ and $|b_{2k+1}|$ for $f \in \mathcal{M}_q^{\delta,\lambda}(\gamma, \varphi)$. Also, we investigate the Fekete-Szegő results for the class $\mathcal{M}_q^{\delta,\lambda}(\gamma, \varphi)$ and its special cases. In order to discuss our results we provide the following lemmas.

LEMMA 1.1 ([9])

Let w be an analytic function with $w(0) = 0$, $|w(z)| < 1$ and let

$$w(z) = u_1 z + u_2 z^2 + \dots \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \tag{5}$$

Then for $t \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$|u_2 - tu_1^2| \leq \max[1; |t|].$$

LEMMA 1.2 ([9])

Let h be an analytic function with $|h(z)| < 1$ and let

$$h(z) = h_0 + h_1z + h_2z^2 + \dots \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (6)$$

Then

$$|h_0| \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad |h_n| \leq 1 - |h_0|^2 \leq 1 \quad (n > 0).$$

LEMMA 1.3 ([10])

Let w be the analytic function with $w(0) = 0$, $|w(z)| < 1$ and given by (5). Then $|w_1| \leq 1$ and for any integer $n \geq 2$,

$$|u_n| \leq 1 - |u_1|^2.$$

2. Main result

Unless otherwise stated, throughout the sequel, we set f is of the form (1) and φ , h and w are given by (4), (6) and (5), respectively.

In the following theorem, we find Fekete-Szgeő result for $f \in \mathcal{M}_q^{\delta, \lambda}(\gamma, \varphi)$.

THEOREM 2.1

Let $f \in \mathcal{M}_q^{\delta, \lambda}(\gamma, \varphi)$ and let F be given by (3). Then

$$|b_{k+1}| \leq \frac{|\gamma|B_1}{k(1+\delta)(1+\lambda)},$$

$$|b_{2k+1}| \leq \frac{|\gamma|\{B_1 + \max\{B_1, |\frac{\gamma(1+3\delta)k+(1-k)\gamma(1+2\delta)(1+2\lambda)}{k(1+\delta)^2(1+\lambda)^2}|B_1^2 + |B_2|\}\}}{2k(1+2\delta)(1+2\lambda)}$$

and for $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$|b_{2k+1} - \mu b_{k+1}^2| \leq \frac{|\gamma|\{B_1 + \max\{B_1, |\frac{\gamma(1+3\delta)k+(1-2\mu-k)\gamma(1+2\delta)(1+2\lambda)}{k(1+\delta)^2(1+\lambda)^2}|B_1^2 + |B_2|\}\}}{2k(1+2\delta)(1+2\lambda)}.$$

Proof. Since $f \in \mathcal{M}_q^{\delta, \lambda}(\gamma, \varphi)$, there exist φ and w with

$$|\varphi(z)| \leq 1, \quad w(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad |w(z)| < 1$$

such that

$$\frac{1}{\gamma} \left((1-\delta) \frac{z\mathcal{F}'_\lambda(z)}{\mathcal{F}_\lambda(z)} + \delta \left(1 + \frac{z\mathcal{F}''_\lambda(z)}{\mathcal{F}'_\lambda(z)} \right) - 1 \right) = h(z)(\varphi(w(z)) - 1) \quad (7)$$

and

$$h(z)(\varphi(w(z)) - 1) = h_0B_1u_1z + [h_1B_1u_1 + h_0(B_1u_2 + B_2u_1^2)]z^2 + \dots \quad (8)$$

From (7) and (8) we get

$$\frac{1}{\gamma}(1+\delta)(1+\lambda)a_2 = h_0B_1u_1 \quad (9)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\gamma} [2(1+2\delta)(1+2\lambda)a_3 - (1+3\delta)(1+\lambda)^2 a_2^2] = h_1 B_1 u_1 + h_0 B_1 u_2 + h_0 B_2 u_1^2. \quad (10)$$

Equation (9) yields

$$a_2 = \frac{\gamma h_0 B_1 u_1}{(1+\delta)(1+\lambda)}. \quad (11)$$

By subtracting (10) from (9) and using (11) we obtain

$$a_3 = \frac{\gamma}{2(1+2\delta)(1+2\lambda)} \left[h_1 B_1 u_1 + h_0 B_1 u_2 + \left(h_0 B_2 + \frac{\gamma h_0^2 B_1^2 (1+3\delta)}{(1+\delta)^2} \right) u_1^2 \right]. \quad (12)$$

For a given $f \in \mathcal{S}$ of the form (1), we define F by

$$\begin{aligned} F(z) &= [f(z^k)]^{\frac{1}{k}} \\ &= z + \frac{a_2}{k} z^{k+1} + \left[\frac{a_3}{k} - \left(\frac{k-1}{2k^2} \right) a_2^2 \right] z^{2k+1} + \dots \\ &= z + b_{k+1} z^{k+1} + b_{2k+1} z^{2k+1} + \dots, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$b_{k+1} = \frac{a_2}{k}, \quad b_{2k+1} = \frac{a_3}{k} - \left(\frac{k-1}{2k^2} \right) a_2^2 \quad \text{and so on.} \quad (13)$$

It follows from (11), (12) and (13) that

$$b_{k+1} = \frac{a_2}{k} = \frac{\gamma h_0 B_1 u_1}{k(1+\delta)(1+\lambda)}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} b_{2k+1} &= \frac{a_3}{k} - \left(\frac{k-1}{2k^2} \right) a_2^2 \\ &= \frac{\gamma [h_1 B_1 u_1 + h_0 B_1 u_2 + (h_0 B_2 + \frac{\gamma h_0^2 B_1^2 (1+3\delta)}{(1+\delta)^2}) u_1^2]}{2k(1+2\delta)(1+2\lambda)} \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{k-1}{2k^2} \right) \frac{\gamma^2 h_0^2 B_1^2 u_1^2}{(1+\delta)^2 (1+\lambda)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

For $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} &b_{2k+1} - \mu b_{k+1}^2 \\ &= \frac{\gamma B_1}{2k(1+2\delta)(1+2\lambda)} \left\{ h_1 u_1 + h_0 \left(u_2 + \left[\frac{B_2}{B_1} + \frac{\gamma h_0 B_1 (1+3\delta)}{(1+\delta)^2} \right. \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \left. - \frac{\gamma h_0 B_1 (1+2\delta)(1+2\lambda)}{(1+\delta)^2 (1+\lambda)^2} + \frac{\gamma h_0 B_1 (1-2\mu)(1+2\delta)(1+2\lambda)}{k(1+\delta)^2 (1+\lambda)^2} \right] u_1^2 \right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since h is analytic and bounded in \mathbb{U} we have

$$|h_n| \leq 1 - |h_0|^2 \leq 1 \quad (n > 0).$$

By using this fact and the well-known inequality

$$|u_1| \leq 1,$$

from Lemma 1.3, we conclude that

$$|b_{k+1}| \leq \frac{|\gamma|B_1}{k(1+\delta)(1+\lambda)}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & |b_{2k+1} - \mu b_{k+1}^2| \\ & \leq \frac{|\gamma|B_1}{2k(1+2\delta)(1+2\lambda)} \left\{ 1 + \left| u_2 - \left[\frac{-B_2}{B_1} \right. \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. \left. - \frac{\gamma(1+3\delta)k - \gamma(1+2\delta)(1+2\lambda)k + \gamma(1-2\mu)(1+2\delta)(1+2\lambda)}{k(1+\delta)^2(1+\lambda)^2} h_0 B_1 \right] u_1^2 \right| \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

In view of Lemma 1.1, we have

$$|b_{2k+1} - \mu b_{k+1}^2| \leq \frac{|\gamma| \{ B_1 + \max \{ B_1, \left| \frac{\gamma(1+3\delta)k + (1-2\mu-k)\gamma(1+2\delta)(1+2\lambda)}{k(1+\delta)^2(1+\lambda)^2} B_1^2 + |B_2| \} \}}{2k(1+2\delta)(1+2\lambda)}.$$

When $\mu = 0$, we obtain

$$|b_{2k+1}| \leq \frac{|\gamma| \{ B_1 + \max \{ B_1, \left| \frac{\gamma(1+3\delta)k + (1-k)\gamma(1+2\delta)(1+2\lambda)}{k(1+\delta)^2(1+\lambda)^2} B_1^2 + |B_2| \} \}}{2k(1+2\delta)(1+2\lambda)}.$$

Hence we obtained the required inequalities of Theorem 2.1.

3. Concluding remarks and corollaries

In light of the special subclasses of the class $\mathcal{M}_q^{\delta, \lambda}(\gamma, \varphi)$, we have the following corollaries and remarks.

REMARK 3.1

For $\delta = \lambda = 0$ and $\gamma = 1$, Theorem 2.1 reduces to [6, Theorem 2.1, p.619]. For $\delta = \lambda = 0$ and $\gamma = k = 1$, Theorem 2.1 reduces to [1, Theorem 2.1, p.4].

COROLLARY 3.2

If $f \in \mathcal{K}_q(\gamma, \varphi)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |b_{k+1}| & \leq \frac{|\gamma|B_1}{2k}, \\ |b_{2k+1}| & \leq \frac{|\gamma|}{6k} \left[B_1 + \max \left\{ B_1, \frac{|\gamma|(k+3)}{4k} B_1^2 + |B_2| \right\} \right] \end{aligned}$$

and for $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$|b_{2k+1} - \mu b_{k+1}^2| \leq \frac{|\gamma|}{6k} \left[B_1 + \max \left\{ B_1, \frac{|\gamma| |k + 3(1-2\mu)|}{4k} B_1^2 + |B_2| \right\} \right].$$

REMARK 3.3

For $\gamma = k = 1$, Corollary 3.2 reduces to [1, Theorem 2.4, p.7].

REMARK 3.4

Taking $\lambda = 0$ and $\gamma = 1$, Theorem 2.1 coincides with [6, Theorem 2.2, p.620]. Also, for $\lambda = 0$ and $\gamma = k = 1$, Theorem 2.1 reduces to [1, Theorem 2.10, p.10].

COROLLARY 3.5

If $f \in \mathcal{P}_q(\gamma, \lambda, \varphi)$, then

$$|b_{k+1}| \leq \frac{|\gamma|B_1}{k(1+\lambda)},$$

$$|b_{2k+1}| \leq \frac{|\gamma|}{2k(1+2\lambda)} \left[B_1 + \max \left\{ B_1, \frac{|\gamma||1+(1-k)2\lambda|}{k(1+\lambda)^2} B_1^2 + |B_2| \right\} \right]$$

and for $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$|b_{2k+1} - \mu b_{k+1}^2|$$

$$\leq \frac{|\gamma|}{2k(1+2\lambda)} \left[B_1 + \max \left\{ B_1, \frac{|(1-2\mu)(1+2\lambda) - 2k\lambda|}{k(1+\lambda)^2} |\gamma| B_1^2 + |B_2| \right\} \right].$$

COROLLARY 3.6

If $f \in \mathcal{K}_q(\gamma, \lambda, \varphi)$, then

$$|b_{k+1}| \leq \frac{|\gamma|B_1}{2k(1+\lambda)},$$

$$|b_{2k+1}| \leq \frac{|\gamma|}{6k(1+2\lambda)} \left[B_1 + \max \left\{ B_1, \frac{|\gamma||3(1+2\lambda) + k(1-6\lambda)|}{4k(1+\lambda)^2} B_1^2 + |B_2| \right\} \right]$$

and for $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$|b_{2k+1} - \mu b_{k+1}^2|$$

$$\leq \frac{|\gamma|}{6k(1+2\lambda)} \left[B_1 + \max \left\{ B_1, \frac{|3(1-2\mu)(1+2\lambda) + k(1-6\lambda)|}{4k(1+\lambda)^2} |\gamma| B_1^2 + |B_2| \right\} \right].$$

REMARK 3.7

For $\gamma = 1$ and $k = 1$, Corollary 3.6 corrects the results in [8, Theorem 2.1, p.195].

REMARK 3.8

For $k = 1$, the results discussed in present paper coincide with the results obtained in [11].

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank the editor and the anonymous referees for their comments and suggestions on this paper. Further, the present investigation of the second-named author was supported by UGC under the grant F.MRP-1397 /14-15 /KABA084 /UGC-SWRO.

References

- [1] Haji Mohd, Maisarah, and Maslina Darus. "Fekete-Szegő problems for quasi-subordination classes." *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* 2012: Art. ID 192956, 14 pp. Cited on 7, 8, 9, 12 and 13.
- [2] Robertson, Malcolm S. "Quasi-subordination and coefficient conjectures." *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 76 (1970): 1–9. Cited on 8.
- [3] El-Ashwah, Rabha, and Stanisława Kanas. "Fekete-Szegő inequalities for quasi-subordination functions classes of complex order." *Kyungpook Math. J.* 55, no. 3 (2015): 679–688. Cited on 8 and 9.
- [4] Ravichandran, V., Yasar Polatoglu, Metin Bolcal, and Arzu Sen. "Certain subclasses of starlike and convex functions of complex order." *Hacet. J. Math. Stat.* 34 (2005): 9–15. Cited on 8.
- [5] Ma, Wan Cang, and David Minda. "A unified treatment of some special classes of univalent functions." In *Proceedings of the Conference on Complex Analysis (Tianjin, 1992)*. Vol I of *Conf. Proc. Lecture Notes Anal.*, 157–169. Cambridge, MA: Int. Press, 1994. Cited on 8.
- [6] Gurusamy, Palpandy, and Janusz Sokół, and Srikanthan Sivasubramanian. "The Fekete-Szegő functional associated with k -th root transformation using quasi-subordination." *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* 353, no. 7 (2015): 617–622. Cited on 9, 12 and 13.
- [7] Goyal, Som Prakash, and Onkar Singh. "Fekete-Szegő problems and coefficient estimates of quasi-subordination classes." *J. Rajasthan Acad. Phys. Sci.* 13, no. 2 (2014): 133–142. Cited on 9.
- [8] Keerthi, Bhaskara Srutha, and P. Lokesh. "Fekete-Szegő problem for certain subclass of analytic univalent function using quasi-subordination." *Math. Aeterna* 3, no. 3-4 (2013): 193–199. Cited on 9 and 13.
- [9] Keogh, F.R., and Edward P. Merkes. "A coefficient inequality for certain classes of analytic functions." *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 20 (1969): 8–12. Cited on 9 and 10.
- [10] Duren, Peter L. *Univalent functions*. Vol. 259 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften*. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1983. Cited on 10.
- [11] Magesh, Nanjundan, and V.K. Balaji, and C. Abirami. "Fekete-Szegő inequalities for certain subclasses of starlike and convex functions of complex order associated with quasi-subordination." *Khayyam J. Math.* 2, no. 2 (2016): 112–119. Cited on 13.

Nanjundan Magesh
Post-Graduate and Research Department of Mathematics
Government Arts College for Men
Krishnagiri 635001
Tamilnadu
India
E-mail: nmagi_2000@yahoo.co.in

Jagadeesan Yamini
Department of Mathematics
Government First Grade College
Vijayanagar, Bangalore-560104
Karnataka
India
E-mail: yaminibalaji@gmail.com

Received: January 25, 2017; final version: April 6, 2017;
available online: May 26, 2017.

