

# **FOLIA 206**

# Annales Universitatis Paedagogicae Cracoviensis Studia Mathematica XVI (2017)

## Zenon Moszner

# Translation equation and the Jordan non-measurable continuous functions

Communicated by Justyna Szpond

**Abstract.** A connection between the continuous translation equation and the Jordan non-measurable continuous functions is given.

It is well known that a continuous function is Lebesgue measurable. It is not true for the Jordan measurability (in short: measurability). We give an example of a non-measurable continuous function by the solution of the translation equation.

# 1. Continuous solutions of translation equation

Every continuous solution of the translation equation

$$F(F(x,t),s) = F(x,t+s), \tag{1}$$

where  $F: I \times \mathbb{R} \to I$  and I is a non-degenerated interval, is of the form

$$F(x,t) = \begin{cases} h_n^{-1}[h_n(g(x)) + t], & \text{for } g(x) \in I_n, \ t \in \mathbb{R}, \\ g(x), & \text{for } g(x) \in g(I) \setminus \bigcup I_n, \ t \in \mathbb{R}, \end{cases}$$
(2)

where  $g: I \to I$  is a continuous idempotent  $(g \circ g = g), I_n \subset g(I)$  for  $n \in N_1 \subset \mathbb{N}$  are open and disjoint intervals and  $h_n: I_n \to \mathbb{R}$  are homeomorphisms.

Indeed, it is proved in the book [3] that every continuous solution  $F_1$  of the translation equation for which  $F_1(x,0) = x$  is of the form (1) with g(x) = x. Let F be a continuous solution of the translation equation. The function  $F_1 = F|_{F(I,\mathbb{R})\times\mathbb{R}}$ 

AMS (2010) Subject Classification: 39B12, 39B22, 26A99.

[118] Zenon Moszner

is a continuous solution of the translation equation for which  $F_1(x, 0) = x$ , since if  $x = F(x_1, t_1)$  for some  $(x_1, t_1) \in I \times \mathbb{R}$ , then

$$F_1(x,0) = F_1(F(x_1,t_1),0) = F(F(x_1,t_1),0) = F(x_1,t_1) = x.$$

Moreover,  $F(x,t) = F(F(x,t),0) = F_1(F(x,0),t)$  and F(x,0) is a continuous idempotent.

## 2. Main considerations

DEFINITION

A function  $f: I_1 \to I_2$ , where  $I_1, I_2$  are the intervals in  $\mathbb{R}$ , is said to be measurable if the set  $\{x \in I_1: f(x) > a\}$  is measurable for every  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Let  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  be a non-degenerated interval,  $g \colon I \to I$  a continuous idempotent such that g(I) is a non-degenerated bounded interval. Let C be a set of the Smith-Volterra-Cantor type in g(I), i.e. let C be a non-measurable set obtained in g(I) as a modification of the construction of the Cantor set in which  $\frac{1}{4}$  is taken in place of  $\frac{1}{3}$  ([1] p.191) (the Cantor set is here not good since it is of Jordan measure zero as a closed set of Lebesgue measure zero). Let  $I_n$  be the components of the open set  $g(I) \setminus C$ . Let F be the function given by the formula (2) with these intervals  $I_n$  and arbitrary homeomorphisms  $h_n \colon I_n \to \mathbb{R}$ . Fix an arbitrary  $t_0 \neq 0$ . We will prove that the functions  $f(x) = F(x, t_0) - g(x)$  and -f are continuous and at least one of these functions is non-measurable.

Indeed, they are continuous since F and g are continuous functions. We have

- 1) f(x) = 0 for  $g(x) \in C$ ,
- 2)  $f(x) \neq 0$  for  $g(x) \in I_n$ ,  $n \in N_1 \subset \mathbb{N}$ , otherwise we would have  $g(x) = F(x,t_0) = h_n^{-1}[h_n(g(x))+t_0]$  and  $h_n(g(x)) = h_n(g(x))+t_0$ , a contradiction.

Thus,

$$\bigcup I_n = \{x \in \bigcup I_n : f(x) > 0\} \cup \{x \in \bigcup I_n : f(x) < 0\}$$
  
=  $g(I) \cap \{x \in I : f(x) > 0\} \cup g(I) \cap \{x \in I : f(x) < 0\}.$ 

The set  $\bigcup I_n$  is non-measurable since  $\bigcup I_n = g(I) \setminus C$ , thus at least one of the sets  $\{x \in I : f(x) > 0\}$  and  $\{x \in I : f(x) < 0\} = \{x \in I : -f(x) > 0\}$  is non-measurable. The proof is completed.

The type of monotonicity of homeomorphisms  $h_n$  decides partly which function: f or -f, is not measurable, e.g. if  $t_0 > 0$  and every  $h_n$  is increasing, then

$$F(x,t_0) = h_n^{-1}[h_n(g(x)) + t_0] > h_n^{-1}[h_n(g(x))] = g(x)$$

for  $g(x) \in I_n$ . Thus we have f(x) > 0 for  $g(x) \in \bigcup I_n$ , hence the function f is non-measurable. This type of monotonicity of  $h_n$  may be of course different for different n.

Let I be the bounded interval and g(x) = x in (2). In this case the function F(x,0) = x is evidently measurable. Moreover, for every  $t_0 \neq 0$ , the function  $F(\cdot,t_0)\colon I\to I$  is measurable too: for every real number a the set  $\{x\in I:F(x,t_0)>a\}$  is an interval, as  $F(\cdot,t_0)$  is onto, continuous and increasing.

#### Conclusion

The difference of measurable functions (even continuous) may be non-measurable.

It is known that this situation is impossible for the Lebesgue measurable functions.

There exists a continuous solution F of (1) such that for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , functions  $F(\cdot,t)$  are non-measurable.

Indeed, we put h(x) = d(x, C) + 1 for  $x \in [0, 1)$  and h(x) = x for  $x \in [1, 2]$ , where C is the above set on the interval [0, 1] and d(x, C) is the distance between x and C. This function h is

- 1) continuous since the function d(x, C) is continuous ([2] p.103),
- 2) non-measurable since the set  $\{x \in [0,2]: h(x) > 1\} = (I \setminus C) \cup (1,2]$  is non-measurable,
- 3) an idempotent function since it is the identity function on the range of the function h (h([0,2]) = [1,2]).

Thus the function F(x,t) = h(x) for  $(x,t) \in [0,2] \times \mathbb{R}$  is the solution of (1) and  $F(\cdot,t): [0,2] \to [0,2]$  is a continuous, non-measurable function for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

# 3. Remark

#### Proposition

There exists a solution F of (1) for which  $F(\cdot,0)$  is measurable and  $F(\cdot,1)$  is non-measurable.

Proof. Let  $g_1: (0,1] \cap \mathbb{Q} \to (-\infty,0] \cap \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $g_2: (0,1] \setminus \mathbb{Q} \to (0,+\infty) \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $g_3: (1,3) \cap \mathbb{Q} \to (0,+\infty) \cap \mathbb{Q}$  and  $g_4: (1,3) \setminus \mathbb{Q} \to (-\infty,0] \setminus \mathbb{Q}$  be bijections such that  $g_4((1,2) \setminus \mathbb{Q}) \subset (-1,0]$ . The function  $g=g_1 \cup g_2 \cup g_3 \cup g_4$  is a bijection from (0,3) onto  $\mathbb{R}$ . This implies that the function  $F(x,t)=g^{-1}[g(x)+t]$  is a solution of (1). The function F(x,0)=x is evidently measurable. We prove that the function  $F(\cdot,1)$  is non-measurable by proving that the set  $S=\{x\in (0,3): F(x,1)>1\}$  is non-measurable. We have

- i)  $(1,2) \cap \mathbb{Q} \subset S$  since if  $x \in (1,2) \cap \mathbb{Q}$ , then  $g(x) \in (0,+\infty) \cap \mathbb{Q}$ , thus  $g(x) + 1 \in (1,+\infty) \cap \mathbb{Q}$  and this yields that  $F(x,1) = g^{-1}[g(x) + 1] \in (1,3) \cap \mathbb{Q}$ ,
- ii)  $[(1,2)\setminus\mathbb{Q}]\cap S=\emptyset$ . Indeed, suppose to the contrary that there exists an  $x_0\in(1,2)\setminus\mathbb{Q}$  such that  $F(x_0,1)>1$ . We obtain  $g(x_0)=g_4(x_0)\in(-\infty,0]\setminus\mathbb{Q}$ , thus  $g(x_0)$  and  $g(x_0)+1$  are irrational numbers. Moreover,  $g(x_0)\epsilon g_4((1,2)\setminus\mathbb{Q})\subset(-1,0]$  hence  $g(x_0)+1\in(0,1]$  and since  $g(x_0)+1$  is an irrational number, we have  $g(x_0)+1\in(0,1]\setminus\mathbb{Q}\subset(0,+\infty)\setminus\mathbb{Q}$ . From here  $F(x_0,1)=g^{-1}[g(x_0)+1]=g_2^{-1}[g(x_0)+1]\in(0,1]\setminus\mathbb{Q}$ . We obtain a contradiction since  $F(x_0,1)>1$ .

By i) and ii), the set S is non-measurable.

[120] Zenon Moszner

The function F from the above proof is evidently discontinuous since, e.g. the set F((0,1],1) is not an interval.

#### QUESTION

Does there exist a continuous solution of (1) which has the property as in the Proposition?

Such a solution, if it exists, must be of the form (2) with  $N_1 \neq \emptyset$  and the function g which is not the identity function (see section 2).

## References

- [1] Carathéodory, Constantin. Vorlesungen über reelle Funktionen. Leipzig, Berlin: Vieweg, Teubner Verlag, 1927. Cited on 118.
- [2] Kuratowski, Casimir. *Topologie. Vol. I.* Warszawa: Polskie Towarzystwo Matematyczne, 1952. Cited on 119.
- [3] Sibirskii, Konstantin S. Introduction to topological dynamics. Leiden: Noordhoff International Publishing, 1975. Cited on 117.

Institute of Mathematics Pedagogical University Podchorążych 2 30-084 Kraków Poland E-mail: zmoszner@up.krakow.pl

Received: November 7, 2017; final version: January 11, 2018; available online: January 15, 2018.