

Eugeniusz Wachnicki

ON THE FATOU PROBLEM FOR HARMONIC FUNCTIONS IN THE HALF-SPACE

In this paper we shall give the proof of the theorem being a three dimensional analogue of the Fatou theorem for the half-plane ([1]). We shall suppose that the boundary function is of a divergent form.

1. Let  $E_2$  denote a plane  $(s, t)$ ,  $E_3^+$  denote a half-space  $z > 0$ . In [2] the following theorem is proved.

Theorem 1. If  $\varphi(s, t)$  is a continuous and bounded function in  $E_2$ , then the function

$$(1) \quad u(x, y, z) = \frac{z}{2\pi} \int_{E_2} \left( (x-s)^2 + (y-t)^2 + z^2 \right)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \varphi(s, t) \, dsdt$$

is harmonic in  $E_3^+$  and

$$u(x, y, z) \longrightarrow (x_0, y_0) \quad \text{as} \quad (x, y, z) \longrightarrow (x_0, y_0, 0).$$

If  $\varphi(s, t)$  is bounded and measurable in  $E_2$ , then the function  $u(x, y, z)$  defined by the formula (1) is harmonic in  $E_3^+$ .

Let

$$M(x-s, y-t, z) = \frac{z}{2\pi} \left( (x-s)^2 + (y-t)^2 + z^2 \right)^{-\frac{3}{2}}.$$

We suppose that

$$(2) \quad \varphi(s, t) = D_s f_1(s, t) + D_t f_2(s, t),$$

where  $f_1, f_2$  are bounded and measurable in  $E_2$ . Applying the Green formula, we get

$$u(x, y, z) = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\alpha_R} [f_1(s, t) \cos(n, s) - f_2(s, t) \cos(n, t)] M(x-s, y-t, z) \, d\sigma + \\ - \int_{E_2} [f_1(s, t) D_s M(x-s, y, t, z) + f_2(s, t) D_t M(x-s, y-t, z)] \, dsdt,$$

where  $C_R$  denotes the circumference  $s^2 + t^2 = R^2$ ,  $n$  - outward normal. Since  $f_1, f_2$  are bounded, we obtain

$$(3) \quad \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{C_R} [f_1(s,t) \cos(n,s) - f_2(s,t) \cos(s,t)] M(x-s, y-t, z) d\sigma = 0$$

in the neighbourhood of every point  $(x, y, z) \in E_3^+$ . From (3) it follows that

$$(4) \quad u(x, y, z) = - \int_{E_2} [f_1(s,t) D_s M(x-s, y-t, z) + f_2(s,t) D_t M(x-s, y-t, z)] ds dt.$$

2. Let  $Q = (x, y, z) \in E_3^+$ ,  $Q_0 = (x_0, y_0, 0)$  and  $L$  denote an arbitrary positive constant. Let  $\Omega$  denote a set of the points  $Q$  for which

$$(5) \quad \frac{|x-x_0|}{z} < L \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{|y-y_0|}{z} < L.$$

Let

$$P_1(s, t, Q) = -s D_s M(x-x_0-s, y-y_0-t, z),$$

$$P_2(s, t, Q) = -t D_t M(x-x_0-s, y-y_0-t, z).$$

Applying the change of the variables  $s = s' + x_0$ ,  $t = t' + y_0$  to the integral (4), we get

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, y, z) &= - \int_{E_2} f_1(x_0 + s, y_0 + t) D_s M(x-x_0-s, y-y_0-t, z) ds dt + \\ &\quad - \int_{E_2} f_2(x_0 + s, y_0 + t) D_t M(x-x_0-s, y-y_0-t, z) ds dt = \\ &= \int_{E_2} \frac{f_1(x_0 + s, y_0 + t)}{s} P_1(s, t, Q) ds dt + \\ &\quad + \int_{E_2} \frac{f_2(x_0 + s, y_0 + t)}{t} P_2(s, t, Q) ds dt. \end{aligned}$$

We shall prove the following lemma

Lemma. If  $Q \in \Omega$ , then

$$(6) \quad \int_{E_2} P_1(s, t, Q) ds dt = 1,$$

(7) there exist constants  $K_1$  such that

$$\int_{E_2} |P_1(s, t, Q)| ds dt < K_1,$$

(8) for arbitrary  $r > 0$

$$\lim_{\substack{Q \rightarrow Q_0 \\ Q \in \Omega \cap D}} \int |P_1(s, t, Q)| ds dt = 0,$$

where  $D = \{(s, t) : s^2 + t^2 \geq r^2\}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ .

Proof. We shall give the proof in the case  $i = 1$ . For  $i = 2$  the proof is analogous. Since

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{C_R} s M(x-x_0-s, y-y_0-t, z) \cos(n, s) d\sigma = 0$$

for every  $Q \in E_2$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{E_2} P_1(s, t, Q) ds dt &= - \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{C_R} s M(x-x_0-s, y-y_0-t, z) \cos(n, s) d\sigma + \\ &\quad - \int_{E_2} M(x-x_0-s, y-y_0-t, z) ds dt = 1, \end{aligned}$$

and consequently (6).

Applying the change of the variables  $x-x_0-s = s'$ ,  $y-y_0-t = t'$  to the integral (7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{E_2} |P_1(s, t, Q)| ds dt &= \int_{E_2} |s D_s M(x-x_0-s, y-y_0-t, z)| ds dt = \\ &= \int_{E_2} |(x-x_0-s) D_s M(s, t, z)| ds dt \leq |x-x_0| \int_{E_2} |D_s M(s, t, z)| ds dt + \\ &\quad + \int_{E_2} |s D_s M(s, t, z)| ds dt. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{E_2} |D_s M(s, t, z)| ds dt &= \frac{3z}{2\pi} \int_{E_2} |s| (s^2 + t^2 + z^2)^{-\frac{5}{2}} ds dt \leq \frac{C_1}{z}, \\ \int_{E_2} |s D_s M(s, t, z)| ds dt &= \frac{3z}{2\pi} \int_{E_2} s^2 (s^2 + t^2 + z^2)^{-\frac{5}{2}} ds dt \leq C_2, \end{aligned}$$

where  $C_1, C_2$  are certain positive constants. Hence

$$\int_{E_2} |P_1(s, t, Q)| ds dt \leq C_1 L + C_2 = K_1,$$

and consequently (7).

Let  $r > 0$  be given. Applying the change of the variables  $-s'z = x - x_0 - s$ ,  $-t'z = y - y_0 - t$  to the integral (8), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_D |P_1(s, t, Q)| ds dt &= \frac{3z}{2\pi} \int_D |s(x - x_0 - s)| ((x - x_0 - s)^2 + (y - y_0 - t)^2 + z^2)^{-\frac{5}{2}} ds dt = \\ &= \frac{3}{2\pi z} \int_{D_0} |(sz - x - x_0)s| (s^2 + t^2 + 1)^{-\frac{5}{2}} ds dt \leq \\ &\leq C_3 \int_{D_0} s^2 (s^2 + t^2 + 1)^{-\frac{5}{2}} ds dt + \\ &+ C_4 \int_{D_0} |s| (s^2 + t^2 + 1)^{-\frac{5}{2}} ds dt, \end{aligned}$$

where  $C_3, C_4$  are certain positive constants, and

$$D_0 = \{(s, t) : (x - x_0 - sz)^2 + (y - y_0 - tz)^2 \geq r^2\}.$$

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given; then there exists a number  $r_0 > 0$  such that

$$C_3 \int_{D_1} s^2 (s^2 + t^2 + 1)^{-\frac{5}{2}} ds dt + C_4 \int_{D_1} |s| (s^2 + t^2 + 1)^{-\frac{5}{2}} ds dt < \varepsilon,$$

for  $R > r_0$ ,  $D_1 = \{(s, t) : s^2 + t^2 \geq R^2\}$ .

Let  $D_2$  denote a sphere with centre  $Q_0$  such that

$$R^2 = \frac{r^2}{z^2} - 2L^2 \geq r_0^2$$

for every point  $Q \in \Omega \cap D_2$ , where  $L$  is the convenient constant from the inequality (5). If  $Q \in D_2$  and  $(s, t) \in D_0$ , then  $(s, t) \in D_1$ .

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_D |P_1(s, t, Q)| ds dt &\leq C_3 \int_{D_0} s^2 (s^2 + t^2 + 1)^{-\frac{5}{2}} ds dt + C_4 \int_{D_0} |s| (s^2 + t^2 + 1)^{-\frac{5}{2}} ds dt \leq \\ &\leq C_3 \int_{D_1} s^2 (s^2 + t^2 + 1)^{-\frac{5}{2}} ds dt + C_4 \int_{D_1} |s| (s^2 + t^2 + 1)^{-\frac{5}{2}} ds dt \end{aligned}$$

for every point  $Q \in \Omega \cap D_2$ .

**Theorem 2.** If  $\varphi, f_1, f_2$  are bounded and measurable functions in  $E_2$  and satisfy the condition (2), the function  $D_S f_1(x_0, t)$  is continuous

with respect to  $t$  for  $t = y_0$ , the function  $D_t f_2(s, y_0)$  is continuous with respect to  $s$  for  $s = x_0$ , then the function  $u(x, y, z)$ , defined by the formula (1) is harmonic in  $E_3^+$  and satisfies the boundary condition

$$\lim_{\substack{Q \rightarrow Q_0 \\ Q \in \Omega}} u(Q) = \varphi(Q_0).$$

Proof. Let

$$u_1(x, y, z) = \int_{E_2} \frac{f_1(s+x_0, t+y_0)}{s} P_1(s, t, Q) ds dt,$$

$$u_2(x, y, z) = \int_{E_2} \frac{f_2(s+x_0, t+y_0)}{t} P_2(s, t, Q) ds dt,$$

We shall prove that

$$u_1(x, y, z) \rightarrow D_s f_1(x_0, y_0) \quad \text{as } Q \rightarrow Q_0 \quad \text{and } Q \in \Omega.$$

Applying the Green formula and (3), we get

$$\int_{E_2} f_1(x_0, y_0 + t) D_s M(x-x_0-s, y-y_0-t, z) ds dt = 0$$

and consequently

$$u_1(x, y, z) = \int_{E_2} \frac{f_1(x_0+s, y_0+t) - f_1(x_0, y_0+t)}{s} P_1(s, t, Q) ds dt.$$

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given; then there exists a number  $r > 0$  such that

$$\left| \frac{f_1(x_0+s, y_0+t) - f_1(x_0, y_0+t)}{s} - D_s f_1(x_0, y_0) \right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2k_1}$$

for  $s^2 + t^2 \leq r^2$ . From the lemma it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |u_1(x, y, z) - D_s f_1(x_0, y_0)| &< \int_{E_2} \left| \frac{f_1(x_0+s, y_0+t) - f_1(x_0, y_0+t)}{s} - D_s f_1(x_0, y_0) \right| P_1(s, t, Q) ds dt \\ &= \int_{E_2 \setminus D} \left| \frac{f_1(x_0+s, y_0+t) - f_1(x_0, y_0+t)}{s} - D_s f_1(x_0, y_0) \right| P_1(s, t, Q) ds dt + \\ &+ \int_D \left| \frac{f_1(x_0+s, y_0+t) - f_1(x_0, y_0+t)}{s} - D_s f_1(x_0, y_0) \right| P_1(s, t, Q) ds dt \leq \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + 2M \int_D |P_1(s, t, Q)| ds dt \leq \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$M = \max \left\{ \sup_{\substack{s>0 \\ t>0}} \left| \frac{f_1(x_0 + s, y_0 + t) - f_1(x_0, y_0 + t)}{s} \right|, |D_s f_1(x_0, y_0)| \right\},$$

for every point  $Q \in \Omega \cap D_2$ ,  $D_2$  being the convenient neighbourhood of point  $Q_0$ . The condition (8) implies the existence of  $D_2$ .

Similarly we can prove that

$$u_2(x, y, z) \rightarrow D_t f_2(x_0, y_0) \quad \text{as } Q \rightarrow Q_0 \quad \text{and } Q \in \Omega.$$

From (2), we get

$$u(x, y, z) \rightarrow \varphi(x_0, y_0) \quad \text{as } Q \rightarrow Q_0 \quad \text{and } Q \in \Omega.$$

Since the function  $u(x, y, z)$  given by the formula (1) is harmonic in  $E_3^+$ , thus we get the thesis of theorem 2.

#### B i b l i o g r a p h y

[1] K. Hoffman, Banach spaces of analytic functions, Prentice-Hall, INC, 1962.

[2] M. Krzyżański, Partial differential equations of second order, vol. I, PWN, Warszawa 1972.