

**On a certain boundary problem for the equation $(\Delta - c^2)^2 u = 0$
in the half-plane**

1. In the present paper we shall give the Green function and the solution of the equation

$$(1) \quad (\Delta - c^2)^2 u(x_1, x_2) = 0$$

where c is a positive constant in the domain

$E_2^+ = \{(x_1, x_2) : -\infty < x_1 < \infty \wedge x_2 > 0\}$ satisfying the boundary conditions

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} D_{x_2} u(x_1, 0) + hu(x_1, 0) &= f_1(x_1) \\ D_{x_2} \Delta u(x_1, 0) + h \Delta u(x_1, 0) &= f_2(x_1), \end{aligned}$$

h being a negative constant.

2. Let

$$\begin{aligned} r_1^2 &= (x_1 - y_1)^2 + (x_2 - y_2)^2, & r_2^2 &= (x_1 - y_1)^2 + (x_2 + y_2)^2, \\ r_3^2 &= (x_1 - y_1)^2 + (x_2 + y_2 + v)^2, & \varrho^2 &= (x_1 - y_1)^2 + x_2^2, \\ R^2 &= (x_1 - y_1)^2 + (x_2 + v)^2, & X &= (x_1, x_2), Y = (y_1, y_2), \end{aligned}$$

$X, Y \in E_2^+, X \neq Y$ and let $K_n(z)$ denote the Mac-Donald function of order n ([2] p. 116).

We shall prove

THEOREM 1. *The function*

$$(3) \quad G(X, Y) = \frac{1}{h} (cr_1 K_1(cr_1) + cr_2 K_1(cr_2)) + I(X, Y),$$

where

$$I(X, Y) = c \int_0^\infty e^{hv} r_3 K_1(cr_3) dv$$

is the Green function for the problem (1), (2).

Proof. From ([2], p. 132) the integral $I(X, Y)$ is quasi-uniformly convergent with the derivatives to the fifth order in the set $E_2^+ \times E_2^+$ and the functions

$cr_i K_1(cr_i)$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) are solutions of the equation (1), ([1], p. 8) then the function $G(X, Y)$ satisfies the equation (1).

Applying to the function $G(X, Y)$ the formulae ([2], p. 117)

$$(4) \quad D_x(z^{-n} K_n(z)) = -z^{-n} K_{n+1}(z), \quad D_x(z^n K_n(z)) = -z^n K_{n-1}(z)$$

we get

$$(5) \quad D_{y_2} G(X, Y) = \frac{c^2}{h} [K_0(cr_1)(x_2 - y_2) - K_0(cr_2)(x_2 + y_2)] - 2cr_2 K_1(cr_2) + \\ - 2h I(X, Y).$$

By (5) we get

$$D_{y_2} G(X, Y) + hG(X, Y) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad y_2 = 0.$$

Further

$$\Delta_Y G(X, Y) = \frac{c^2}{h} ((cr_1 K_1(cr_1) - 2K_0(cr_1) + cr_2 K_1(cr_2) - 2K_0(cr_2)) + \\ + 2c^2 \int_0^{\infty} e^{hv} (cr_3 K_1(cr_3) - 2K_0(cr_3)) dv$$

and

$$D_{y_2} \Delta_Y G(X, Y) + h \Delta_Y G(X, Y) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad y_2 = 0.$$

LEMMA 1. If the function f is continuous at the point x_0 and $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(y_1)| dy_1 < \infty$, then

$$V(X) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y_1) [D_{x_2} G(X, Y) + hG(X, Y)]_{y_2=0} dy_1 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad X \rightarrow (x_0, 0^+).$$

Proof. By (3) and (5) we have

$$G(X, Y)|_{y_2=0} = \frac{2}{h} cQ K_1(cQ) + 2 \int_0^{\infty} e^{hv} cRK_1(cR) dv = -\frac{2}{h} \int_0^{\infty} e^{hv} D_v(cRK_1(cR)) dv$$

and

$$D_{x_2} G(X, Y)|_{y_2=0} = -\frac{2c}{h} \int_0^{\infty} e^{hv} D_v^2(RK_1(cR)) dv = x_2 I(Q) + 2c \int_0^{\infty} e^{hv} D_v(RK_1(cR)) dv$$

where

$$I(Q) = -\frac{2}{h} c^2 K_0(cQ).$$

Thus

$$D_{x_2}G(X, Y) + hG(X, Y) = -\frac{2}{h}c^2K_0(cQ)x_2 \quad \text{for } y_2 = 0.$$

By ([3], p. 276, 372)

$$(6) \quad \int_0^{\infty} K_p(\alpha\sqrt{x^2+z^2}) \frac{x^{2q+1}}{\sqrt{(x^2+z^2)^p}} dx = \frac{2^q\gamma(q+1)}{\alpha^{q+1}z^{p-q-1}} K_{p-q-1}(\alpha z)$$

and

$$(7) \quad K_{\pm 1/2}(z) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2z}} e^{-z}$$

we get

$$(8) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_0(cQ) dy_1 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_0(c\sqrt{t^2+x_2^2}) dt = \frac{\pi}{c} e^{-cx_2}.$$

Let

$$V(X) = x_2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y_1) I(\varrho) dy_1 = A_1(X) + A_2(X),$$

where

$$A_1(X) = x_2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (f(y_1) - f(x_0)) I(\varrho) dy_1$$

and

$$A_2(X) = x_2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x_0) I(\varrho) dy_1$$

Let ε be an arbitrary positive number. By (8) and by the inequality $K_0(cQ) \geq 0$ we have

$$|A_2(X)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \quad \text{for } 0 < x_2 < \eta_1,$$

η_1 being a sufficiently small positive number. From the continuity of the function f at the point x_0 it follows that there exists a positive number δ , such that

$$(9) \quad |f(y_1) - f(x_0)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \quad \text{for } |y_1 - x_0| < \delta.$$

Let

$$A_1(X) = B_1(X) + B_2(X) + B_3(X),$$

where

$$B_1(X) = x_2 \int_{|y_1 - x_0| < \delta} (f(y_1) - f(x_0)) I(\varrho) dy_1,$$

$$B_2(X) = x_2 \int_{|y_1 - x_0| \geq \delta} f(y_1) I(\varrho) dy_1,$$

$$B_3(X) = -x_2 \int_{|y_1 - x_0| \geq \delta} f(x_0) I(\varrho) dy_1.$$

By (8), (9) we get

$$|B_1(X)| \leq x_2 \frac{2\varepsilon}{4|h|} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_0(c\varrho) dy_1 \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \quad \text{for } 0 < x_2 < \eta_2,$$

η_2 being a sufficiently small positive number.

$$\text{If } |x_1 - x_0| < \frac{\delta}{2}, \quad \text{then } \{y_1: |y_1 - x_0| \geq \delta\} \subset \left\{y_1: |y_1 - x_1| \geq \frac{\delta}{2}\right\}.$$

Hence

$$|B_2(X)| \leq x_2 \int_{|y_1 - x_1| < \delta/2} |f(y_1) I(\varrho)| dy_1 \leq M_1 x_2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(y_1)| dy_1 < \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \quad \text{for } 0 < x_2 < \eta_3,$$

where

$$M_1 = \sup_{|y_1 - x_1| \geq \delta/2} K_0(c\varrho) c^2 \left| \frac{2}{h} \right| \quad \text{and } \eta_3 \text{ is a positive number sufficiently small.}$$

Similarly

$$|B_3(X)| \leq |f(x_0)| x_2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |I(\varrho)| dy_1 = x_2 \frac{2\pi}{|ch|} c^2 |f(x_0)| e^{-cx_2} < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$$

for

$0 < x_2 < \eta_4$, η_4 being a positive number sufficiently small.

If $\eta = \min\{\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3, \eta_4\}$, $0 < x_2 < \eta$ and $|x_1 - x_0| < \delta/2$,

then

$$|A_1(X)| + |A_2(X)| < \varepsilon.$$

LEMMA 2. If the function f is continuous at the point x_0 and

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(y_1)| dy_1 < \infty,$$

then

$$a_1 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_1(y_1) [D_{x_2} \Delta_Y G(X, Y) + h \Delta_Y G(X, Y)]_{y_2=0} \rightarrow f(x_0) \quad \text{as } X \rightarrow (x_0, 0^+),$$

where

$$a_1 = (4\pi c^3)^{-1} h.$$

Proof.

$$[D_{x_2}\Delta_Y G(X, Y) + h\Delta_Y G(X, Y)]_{y_2=0} = c^2 x_2 I(\varrho) + x_2 J(\varrho),$$

where

$$J(\varrho) = \frac{4c^4}{h} K_1(c\varrho) \frac{1}{c\varrho}.$$

Let

$$V_1(X) = a_1 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y_1) [D_{x_2}\Delta_Y G(X, Y) + h\Delta_Y G(X, Y)]_{y_2=0} dy_1 = C_1(X) + C_2(X),$$

where

$$C_1(X) = a_1 c^2 x_2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y_1) I(\varrho) ay_1$$

and

$$C_2(X) = a_1 x_2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y_1) J(\varrho) dy_1.$$

By lemma 1 $C_1(X) \rightarrow 0$ as $X \rightarrow (x_0, 0^+)$.

By the formula (6) and (7) we have

$$(10) \quad a_1 x_2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} J(\varrho) dy_1 = e^{-cx_2}.$$

Let

$$C_2(X) = I_1(X) + I_2(X),$$

where

$$I_1(X) = a_1 x_2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x_0) J(\varrho) dy_1,$$

$$I_2(X) = a_1 x_2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (f(y_1) - f(x_0)) J(\varrho) dy_1.$$

From (10) we deduce $I_1(X) \rightarrow f(x_0)$ as $X \rightarrow (x_0, 0^+)$.

We shall prove that $I_2(X) \rightarrow 0$ as $X \rightarrow (x_0, 0^+)$.

Let ε be an arbitrary positive number. Since f is continuous at the point x_0 , thus $|f(y_1) - f(x_0)| < \varepsilon/2$ for $|y_1 - x_0| < \delta$, where δ is a positive number sufficiently small.

Let

$$I_2(X) = W_1(X) + W_2(X),$$

where

$$W_1(X) = a_1 x_2 \int_{|y_1 - x_0| < \delta} (f(y_1) - f(x_0)) J(\varrho) dy_1,$$

$$W_2(X) = a_1 x_2 \int_{|y_1 - x_0| \geq \delta} (f(y_1) - f(x_0)) J(\varrho) dy_1.$$

By (10) we get

$$|W_1(X)| \leq |a_1| x_2 \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |J(\varrho)| dy_1 = \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \cdot e^{-cx_2} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

If $|x_1 - x_0| < \frac{\delta}{2}$, then $\{y_1: |y_1 - x_0| \geq \delta\} \subset \left\{y_1: |y_1 - x_1| \geq \frac{\delta}{2}\right\}$.

Hence

$$|W_2(X)| \leq |a_1| x_2 \int_{|y_1 - x_1| \geq \delta/2} |f(y_1) - f(x_0)| |J(\varrho)| dy_1.$$

Applying the formula ([3], p. 365)

$$K_p(xz) = \frac{\gamma(p+1)(2z)^p}{x^p \gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\cos(xt)}{(t^2 + z^2)^{p+1/2}} dt \quad (p \geq -\frac{1}{2} \wedge x > 0 \wedge z > 0)$$

we get the inequality

$$(11) \quad K_n(z) \leq Bz^{-n},$$

where n is a positive integer and B a positive constant.

Whence

$$\begin{aligned} |W_2(X)| \leq K \frac{4c^4}{|h|} |a_1| \int_{|y_1 - x_1| \geq \delta/2} |f(y_1) - f(x_0)| \frac{x_2}{(c\varrho)^2} dy_1 &\leq B_1 x_2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(y_1)| dy_1 + \\ &+ B_2 x_2 \int_{|y_1 - x_1| \geq \delta/2} \frac{1}{(c\varrho)^2} dy_1 \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \text{for } 0 < x_2 < \eta_1, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$B_1 = B \frac{4c^4}{|h|} |a_1| \sup_{|y_1 - x_1| \geq \delta/2} \frac{1}{(c\varrho)^2}, \quad B_2 = 2B \frac{4c^4}{|h|} |a_1 \cdot f(x_0)|,$$

η_1 is a positive number sufficiently small.

Hence

$$|I_2(X)| \leq |W_1(X)| + |W_2(X)| < \varepsilon \quad \text{for } 0 < x_2 < \eta_1 \quad \text{and} \quad |x_1 - x_0| < \frac{\delta}{2}.$$

Now we shall prove the following

THEOREM 2. *If functions f_i ($i = 1, 2$) are continuous at the point x_0 and*

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f_i(y_1)| dy_1 < \infty \quad (i = 1, 2)$$

then the function

$$(12) \quad U(X) = a_1 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [f_1(y_1)[\Delta_Y G(X, Y) - 2c^2 G(X, Y)]|_{y_2=0} + \\ + f_2(y_1)G(X, Y)|_{y_2=0} dy_1$$

is the solution of the boundary problem (1), (2).

Proof.

Let

$$U(X) = J_1(X) + J_2(X) + J_3(X),$$

where

$$J_1(X) = a_1 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_1(y_1) \Delta_Y G(X, Y)|_{y_2=0} dy_1,$$

$$J_2(X) = -a_1 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_1(y_1) 2c^2 G(X, Y)|_{y_2=0} dy_1,$$

$$J_3(X) = a_1 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_2(y_1) G(X, Y)|_{y_2=0} dy_1.$$

By lemma 1 we have

$$[D_{x_2}(J_2(X) + J_3(X)) + h(J_2(X) + J_3(X))] \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } X \rightarrow (x_0, 0^+).$$

By lemma 2 we obtain

$$[D_{x_2} J_1(X) + hJ_1(X)] \rightarrow f_1(x_0) \quad \text{as } X \rightarrow (x_0, 0^+).$$

By changing the derivation and integration we get

$$\Delta J_1(X) = a_1 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_1(y_1) \Delta_X \Delta_Y G(X, Y)|_{y_2=0} dy_1,$$

$$\Delta J_2(X) = a_1 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_1(y_1) \Delta_X (-2c^2 G(X, Y))|_{y_2=0} dy_1,$$

$$\Delta J_3(X) = a_1 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_2(y_1) \Delta_X G(X, Y)|_{y_2=0} dy_1,$$

$$\Delta_X \Delta_Y G(X, Y)|_{y_2=0} = \frac{1}{h} c^4 (2c\varrho K_1(c\varrho) - 8K_0(c\varrho)) +$$

$$+ 2c^4 \int_0^{\infty} e^{h\nu} (cRK_1(cR) - 4K_0(cR)) d\nu =$$

$$= -\frac{2}{h} c^4 \int_0^{\infty} e^{h\nu} D_\nu (cRK_1(cR) - 4K_0(cR)) d\nu$$

and

$$[D_{x_2}\Delta_X\Delta_Y G(X, Y) + h\Delta_X\Delta_Y G(X, Y)]_{y_2=0} = c^4 x_2 I(\varrho) + 2c^2 x_2 J(\varrho)$$

By lemma 1 and lemma 2 we obtain

$$J_1(X) \rightarrow 2c^2 f_1(x_0) \quad \text{as } X \rightarrow (x_0, 0^+).$$

By the identity

$$(13) \quad \Delta_X G(X, Y)|_{y_2=0} = \Delta_Y G(X, Y)|_{y_2=0}$$

and lemma 2 we get

$\lim J_2(X) = -2c^2 f_1(x_0)$, $\lim J_3(X) = f_2(x_0)$ as $X \rightarrow (x_0, 0^+)$ and finally

$$[D_{x_2}\Delta U(X) + h\Delta U(X)] \rightarrow f_2(x_0) \quad \text{as } X \rightarrow (x_0, 0^+).$$

Thus theorem 2 is proved.

References

- [1] F. Barański: *On the Lauricelli problem for the equation $(\Delta - c^2)u = 0$ in the half-plane*. Rocznik Nauk.-Dydak. WSP w Krakowie, Prace Mat. VII (1974).
- [2] N. N. Lebediew: *Funkcje specjalne i zastosowania*. Warszawa 1957.
- [3] I. M. Rzyżyk, I. M. Gradsztejn: *Tablice całek, sum, szeregów i iloczynów*. Warszawa 1964.