

JAN GÓROWSKI

On the Dirichlet problem for the exterior of the circle and the summability of Fourier series

1. In the paper [1] F.Barański and E.Wachnicki solved the Dirichlet problem for the equation $(\Delta - c^2)u = 0$ in the inner of the circle. This solution gives rise to a method of summability of Fourier series. A comparison of this method with the Abel-Poisson method was made in the paper [3].

In the present paper we deal with the similar problem for the exterior of the circle.

2. Let $L_{2\pi}^p$ ($1 \leq p < \infty$) denote the set of functions defined in the real line which are Lebesgue integrable to the p -th power over $(-\pi, \pi)$ and 2π -periodic with the norm

$$\|f\| = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p}.$$

We shall consider the equation

$$(1) \quad (\Delta - c^2)u = 0, \quad (c > 0),$$

for $u = u(x,y)$ in the exterior of a circle, i.e. in the region $D = \{(x,y): x^2 + y^2 > R^2\}$. For simplicity we shall

write $u(x, y) = u(r e^{it})$; then the boundary condition will be given in $L_{2\pi}^p$ metric, i.e.

$$(2) \lim_{r \rightarrow R^+} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |u(r e^{it}) - \varphi(t)|^p dt \right)^{1/p} = 0 \quad (\varphi \in L_{2\pi}^p)$$

and at infinity will take the form

$$(3) \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} |u(r e^{it})| = 0 \text{ for every } t.$$

Using the polar coordinates $x = r \cos t$, $y = r \sin t$, $r \in (R, \infty)$, $t \in [0, 2\pi]$ the equation (1) is the form

$$(4) r^{-1} D_r(r D_r u) + r^{-2} D_t^2 u - c^2 u = 0,$$

where

$$u(r e^{it}) = u(r \cos t, r \sin t), \text{ and } D_r = \frac{\partial}{\partial r}, \quad D_t^2 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}.$$

Applying the separation of variables we get

$$(5) u(re^{it}) = \frac{a_0 K_0(cr)}{2 K_0(cR)} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{K_n(cr)}{K_n(cR)} (a_n \cos nt + b_n \sin nt),$$

where K_n is the MacDonald function ([5]) and a_n, b_n are the Fourier coefficients of the function φ .

We shall prove that the function (5) satisfies the conditions (1), (2), (3).

First we prove some lemmas.

LEMMA 1. For any positive integer n

$$(6) K_n(cR) \geq \left(\frac{2}{cR}\right)^{1/2} (2cR)^{1-n} ((n-1)!)^{-1} \Gamma(2n - \frac{1}{2}) \exp(-cR).$$

P r o o f. By formulae

$$(7) (1 + \xi)^\mu = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{\Gamma(1+\mu)}{k! \Gamma(1+\mu-k)} \xi^k + \frac{\Gamma(1+\mu)}{(n-1)! \Gamma(\mu+1-n)} \xi^n \times \\ \times \int_0^1 (1-t)^{n-1} (1+\xi t)^{\mu-n} dt \quad (\text{s. [5] p.129}),$$

$$(8) \quad K_n(cR) = \left(\frac{\pi}{2R}\right)^{1/2} \left(\Gamma(n + \frac{1}{2})\right)^{-1} \exp(-cR)c^n x \\ \times \int_0^\infty e^{-cs} s^{n-1/2} (1 + \frac{s}{2R})^{n-1/2} ds \quad (\text{s. [4] p.366})$$

we get

$$(9) \quad (1 + \frac{s}{2R})^{n-1/2} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{\Gamma(n+1/2)}{k! \Gamma(n+1/2-k)} \left(\frac{s}{2R}\right)^k + \\ + \frac{\Gamma(n+1/2)}{(n-1)! \Gamma(1/2)} \left(\frac{s}{2R}\right)^n \int_0^1 (1-t)^{n-1} (1 + \frac{st}{2R})^{-1/2} dt$$

and

(10)

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-cs} (s(1 + \frac{s}{2R}))^{n-1/2} ds = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{\Gamma(n+1/2)}{k! \Gamma(n+1/2-k)} (2R)^{-k} \int_0^\infty e^{-cs} s^{n+k-1/2} ds + \\ + \frac{\Gamma(n+1/2)}{(n-1)! \Gamma(1/2)} (2R)^{-n} \int_0^\infty \left(e^{-cs} s^{2n-1/2} \int_0^1 (1-t)^{n-1} (1 + \frac{st}{2R})^{-1/2} dt\right) ds.$$

Since $\Gamma(n+1/2) > 0$ for any positive integer n , and

$$\Gamma(1/2) = \sqrt{\pi},$$

$$(11) \quad \int_0^\infty e^{-cs} s^{n-1/2} (1 + \frac{s}{2R})^{n-1/2} ds \geq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{\Gamma(n+1/2)}{k! \Gamma(n+1/2-k)} (2R)^{-k} x \\ \times \int_0^\infty e^{-cs} s^{n+k-1/2} ds.$$

From the formula

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-qx} x^{p-1} dx = (q)^{-p} \Gamma(p) \quad (p > 0, q > 0) \quad (\text{s. [4] p.174})$$

and the inequality (11) we have

(12)

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-cs} s^{n-1/2} (1 + \frac{s}{2R})^{n-1/2} ds \geq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{\Gamma(n+1/2)}{k! \Gamma(n+1/2-k)} (2R)^{-k} x$$

$$x \Gamma(n+k+1/2) c^{-n-k-1/2} > \frac{\Gamma(n+1/2)}{(n-1)! \Gamma(3/2)} (2R)^{-n+1} \Gamma(2n-1/2) x \\ x c^{-2n+1/2}.$$

By (8), (9), (10) and (12) we get (6) which ends the proof of Lemma 1.

$$\text{Let } f(r, n) = (r^n K_n(cr) - R^n K_n(cR)) (r^n K_n(cR))^{-1}.$$

LEMMA 2. There exists a constant $A = A(R, c)$, such that

$$(13) \quad |f(r, n)| \leq A(r - R)n^{-1/2} \left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^n$$

for an arbitrary positive integer n and $r \in (R, \infty)$.

P r o o f. By formula

$$K_n(cr) = \frac{\Gamma(n+1/2)(2c)^n}{r^n \Gamma(1/2)} \int_0^\infty \frac{\cos rt}{(t^2 + c^2)^{n+1/2}} dt \\ (\text{s. [4] p.365})$$

and the Lagrange theorem we obtain

$$(14) \quad |r^n K_n(cr) - R^n K_n(cR)| = \Gamma(n+1/2)(2c)^n \pi^{-1/2} x \\ x \left| \int_0^\infty \frac{\cos rt - \cos Rt}{(t^2 + c^2)^{n+1/2}} dt \right| \leq \\ \leq \Gamma(n+1/2)(2c)^n \pi^{-1/2} \int_0^\infty \frac{(r - R)t}{(t^2 + c^2)^{n+1/2}} dt, \text{ for } r \in (R, \infty).$$

Using the formula

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{t}{(t^2 + c^2)^{n+1/2}} dt = 2(n-1/2)^{-1} \frac{c^2}{2} \left(\frac{c^2}{2} + 1\right) c^{-2n-1}$$

(s. [4] p.169) and by (14) we obtain

$$(15) \quad |r^n K_n(cr) - R^n K_n(cR)| \leq A_1 \Gamma(n+1/2)(2c)^n c^{-2n-1} x \\ x (n-1/2)^{-1} (r - R) \text{ for } r \in (R, \infty),$$

where

$$A_1 = \pi^{-1/2} 2 \frac{c^2}{2} \left(\frac{c}{2} + 1 \right).$$

In view of Lemma 1 and (15) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\gamma(r, n)| &\leq (r - R) \left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^n 2^{2n-1} \Gamma(n) \Gamma(n+1/2) \times \\ &\quad \times ((n-1/2) \Gamma(2n-1/2))^{-1} A_2 = \\ &= A_2 (r - R) \left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^n \pi^{1/2} \Gamma(2n) ((n-1/2) \Gamma(2n-1/2))^{-1} = \\ &= A_2 (r - R) \left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^n 2^{4n-2} ((2n-1)!)^2 ((4n-3)!(n-1/2))^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$A_2 = A_1 \exp(cR) \left(\frac{2}{cR}\right)^{1/2}.$$

Hence, by the Stirling formula we get

$$(16) \quad |\gamma(r, n)| \leq A(R, c) (r - R) \left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^n n^{-1/2} \quad \text{for } r \in (R, \infty),$$

where $A(R, c)$ is a positive constant, which ends the proof of Lemma 2.

LEMMA 3. If $\varphi \in L_{2\pi}^p$, then the function u defined by (5) belongs to the class C^2 in D .

P r o o f. We remark that

$$(17) \quad \frac{K_n(cr)}{K_n(cR)} = \left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^n + |\gamma(r, n)|.$$

By (16), (17) the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^n + |\gamma(r, n)| \right)$ is a majorant of the series (5), whence $u \in C^0$ in D .

We shall prove that $u \in C^1$ in D .

By ([5] p.117) and ([4] p.365,366) we obtain

$$(18) \quad \frac{d}{dz} z^{-j} K_j(z) = - z^{-j} K_{j+1}(z),$$

$$K_{n-1}(z) - K_{n+1}(z) = - \frac{2n}{z} K_n(z),$$

$$(19) K_n(cr) =$$

$$= \pi^{1/2} \left(\frac{c}{2r}\right)^n \Gamma(n+1/2)^{-1} \int_0^\infty \exp(-c(t^2+r^2)^{1/2}) (t^2+r^2)^{-1/2} t^{2n} dt,$$

$$(20) K_n(cr) = \int_0^\infty \exp(-cr \cosh t) \cosh nt dt.$$

By (18) we have

$$(21) D_r K_n(cr) = \frac{n}{r} K_n(cr) - K_{n+1}(cr).$$

Since the function $\frac{\exp(-x)}{x}$ is increasing in $(0, \infty)$, from (19) we get

$$(22) \frac{n}{r} \frac{K_n(cr)}{K_n(cR)} = \frac{n}{r} \left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^n \int_0^\infty \exp(-c(t^2+r^2)^{1/2}) (t^2+r^2)^{-1/2} t^{2n} dt \times \\ \times \left(\int_0^\infty \exp(-c(t^2+R^2)^{1/2}) (t^2+R^2)^{-1/2} t^{2n} dt \right)^{-1} \leq \frac{n}{r} \left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^n :$$

In view of (18), (20) we have

$$\frac{K_{n+1}(cR)}{K_n(cR)} = \left(\frac{2n}{cR} K_n(cR) + K_{n-1}(cR) \right) (K_n(cR))^{-1} \leq \frac{2n}{cR} + 1.$$

Hence, by (21) and (22) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| D_r \frac{K_n(cr)}{K_n(cR)} \right| &= \left| \frac{n}{r} \frac{K_n(cr)}{K_n(cR)} - \frac{K_{n+1}(cr)}{K_n(cR)} \right| \leq \\ &\leq \frac{n}{r} \left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^n + \frac{K_{n+1}(cR)}{K_n(cR)} \frac{K_{n+1}(cr)}{K_{n+1}(cR)} \leq \\ &\leq \frac{n}{r} \left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^n + \left(\frac{2n}{cR} + 1 \right) \left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

This estimation proves that

$$D_r u(re^{it}) = D_r \frac{a_0}{2} \frac{K_0(cr)}{K_0(cR)} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} D_r \frac{K_n(cr)}{K_n(cR)} (a_n \cos nt + b_n \sin nt)$$

and $D_r u(r e^{it}) \in C^0$ in D .

From (22) we obtain

$$D_t u(re^{it}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{K_n(cr)}{K_n(cR)} D_t(a_n \cos nt + b_n \sin nt)$$

and $D_t u(r e^{it}) \in C^0$ in D .

Similarly we can prove that the function u belongs to the C^2 in D .

LEMMA 4. ([2], p.46) If $\varphi \in L_{2\pi}^p$ and $p_r(s)$ is the Abel-Poisson kernel, then

$$\left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \varphi(s) p_r(s-t) ds - \varphi(t) \right|^p dt \right)^{1/p} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } r \rightarrow 1^-.$$

3. Let a_n, b_n denote the Fourier coefficients of the function φ . By (5) and (17) we have

$$u(re^{it}) = u_1(re^{it}) + u_2(re^{it}) + u_3(re^{it}),$$

where

$$u_1(re^{it}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \varphi(s) \left(1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{R}{r} \right)^n \cos n(t-s) \right) ds,$$

$$u_2(re^{it}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(r, n) (a_n \cos nt + b_n \sin nt),$$

$$u_3(re^{it}) = \left(\frac{K_0(cr)}{K_0(cR)} - 1 \right) \frac{a_0}{2}.$$

We shall prove the following

THEOREM 1. If $\varphi \in L_{2\pi}^p$ ($1 \leq p < \infty$), then the function u , defined by (5), is a solution of the problems (1), (2), (3).

P r o o f. It follows from Lemma 3 that the function $u(re^{it})$ is a solution of the equation (1) in D .

We shall show that the condition (2) is fulfilled.

From Lemma 4 it follows that

$$(23) \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |u_1(re^{it}) - \varphi(t)|^p dt \right)^{1/p} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } r \rightarrow R^+.$$

Now, we notice that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |u_2(re^{it})|^p dt \right)^{1/p} = \\ & = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(r, n)(a_n \cos nt + b_n \sin nt) \right|^p dt \right)^{1/p} \leq \\ & \leq \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left(M \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f(r, n)| \right)^p dt \right)^{1/p} = M \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f(r, n)|, \end{aligned}$$

where M is a positive constant.

By Lemma 2 and criterion of Dirichlet we get

$$(24) \quad \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |u_2(re^{it})|^p dt \right)^{1/p} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } r \rightarrow R^+.$$

Moreover we get

$$(25) \quad \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \frac{K_n(cr)}{K_n(cR)} - 1 \right|^p dt \right)^{1/p} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } r \rightarrow R^+.$$

From (23) - (25) it follows that the condition (2) is satisfied. From Lemma 3 it follows that the condition (3) is satisfied, too.

4. We shall compare the method of the summability of the Fourier series determined by (5) with the Abel-Poisson method. Let $\varphi \in L^p_{2\pi}$ ($1 \leq p < \infty$) and a_n, b_n be the Fourier coefficients of the function φ .

THEOREM 2.

$$\left(\frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \wp^n (a_n \cos nt + b_n \sin nt) \right) \rightarrow s(t) \text{ as } \wp \rightarrow 1^-$$

if and only if

$$\left(\frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{K_n(cr)}{K_n(cR)} (a_n \cos nt + b_n \sin nt) \right) \rightarrow s(t) \text{ as } r \rightarrow R^+.$$

P r o o f. In view of (17) we have

$$(26) \quad \frac{K_n(cr)}{K_n(cR)} = \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^n + f(r,n).$$

Since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(r,n)(a_n \cos nt + b_n \sin nt) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow R^+$,

then by (26) we get Theorem 2.

References

- [1] Barański F., Wachnicki E., On certain boundary value problems and the Orlicz space, Rocznik Naukowo-Dydaktyczny, Prace Matematyczne VII: WSP, Kraków 1974, p.25-35.
- [2] Butzer P., Nessel R., Fourier Analysis and Approximation, vol.I, Birkhauser Verlag, New York - San Francisco - London 1971.
- [3] Górowski J., Wachnicki E., On some method of summability of the Fourier series, In press in Zeszyty Naukowe AGH.
- [4] Gradsztein I.S., Ryzyk I.M., Tablice sum szeregów i iloczynów, PWN, Warszawa 1964.
- [5] Lebiediew N.N., Funkcje specjalne i ich zastosowania, PWN, Warszawa 1957.