

On the solvability of the Riquier type boundary value problem in the half-space

1. Let $\Omega = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}; x_{n+1} > 0\}$; E - linear elliptic differential operator of order $2 \cdot k$ with complex coefficients. We shall consider the boundary value problem:

$$(1) \begin{cases} E^p u(x) = 0 & ; x \in \Omega, \\ E^j u(\bar{x}) = f_j(\bar{x}) & ; \bar{x} \in \partial\Omega ; j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, p-1, \end{cases}$$

where $E^0 = I$ (identity operator), $E^j = E^{j-1} \circ E$,
 $j = 1, 2, \dots, p$.

In [1] Agmon, Douglis, Nirenberg have considered the so called complementing conditions. If these conditions are fulfilled we are able to use some estimates (near the boundary) for a solution of the considered boundary value problem and to solve the problem with some additional assumptions on f_j .

In our paper we shall prove that with some assumptions on the operator E the complementing conditions are satisfied. With some additional conditions on the functions f_j we shall give also the solution of problem (1). Next we

shall give an example of the operator E for which the complementing conditions do not hold.

Moreover, we shall show that the complementing conditions may not hold for the problem:

$$(1') \begin{cases} E^p u(x) = 0 & ; x \in \Omega, \\ F^j u \bar{x} = f_j \bar{x} & ; \bar{x} \in \partial \Omega ; j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, p-1, \end{cases}$$

when $F \neq E$ ($F^0 = I$, $F^j = F^{j-1} \circ F$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, p-1$).

2. Complementing conditions are connected with the system of operators appearing in the boundary value problem, so we shall use the phrase: complementing conditions for the system of operators.

Let $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be a domain with boundary Γ of C^1 class,

$$D = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \cdots \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n+1}} \right).$$

Suppose that $L = L(x, D)$ is a linear differential operator with complex coefficients of order $2m$; $x \in \bar{Q}$.

$L' = L'(x, D)$ is the leading part of L (the part of highest order).

Let $B_j = B_j(x, D)$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, m$) be linear differential operators with complex coefficients, defined for $x \in \Gamma$;

let $B_j' = B_j'(x, D)$ be the leading part of B_j ($j=1, 2, \dots, m$).

For every point x in the closure \bar{Q} we assume the following condition on L :

for every pair of linearly independent real vectors $u, w \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ the polynomial in the variable T : $L'(x, u + Tw)$

has exactly m roots with positive imaginary parts.

At any point x of Γ let n denote the normal to Γ and $u \neq 0$ any real vector parallel to the boundary.

DEFINITION. We require that the polynomials, in T , $B_j'(x, u + Tn)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$, be linearly independent modulo the polynomial $\prod_{k=1}^m (T - T_k^+(u))$, where $T_k^+(u)$ are the roots of $L'(x, u + Tn)$ with positive imaginary parts.

Then, by definition, the systems of operators L, B_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, m$) fulfils the complementing conditions.

3. We shall formulate and prove the theorem on fulfilling complementing conditions by a system of operators for the problem (1).

THEOREM.

1) If $Q = \Omega = \{x \in R^{n+1}; x_{n+1} > 0\}$,

2) E is a linear elliptic operator with complex coefficients of order $2k$,

3) for every pair of linearly independent real vectors $u, w \in R^{n+1}$ the polynomial in the variable T $E'(x, u + wT)$ has exactly k roots with positive imaginary parts (for any $x \in \Omega$),

4) $\bigvee_{x \in \Gamma} \exists_{0 \neq \xi \in R^n} E'(x, (\xi, T))$ has as a polynomial in T at least one root of 1-order or a root of k -order with a positive imaginary part

then the system of operators for the problem (1) fulfils

the complementing conditions.

P r o o f. For simplicity assume that E is equal to its leading part E' .

Then $L' = (E^P)' = E^P$.

Order of $L = E^P$ is $2pk$ and 2) and 3) imply that the polynomial (in T) $L'(x, u + Tw)$ has exactly pk roots with positive imaginary parts (for any $x \in \Omega$ and every pair of linearly independent $u, w \in R^{n+1}$).

Fix $x \in \Gamma$. For simplicity we shall not write x in the operators.

In our case $\Gamma = \{x \in R^{n+1} : x_{n+1} = 0\}$. If n is normal to Γ at point x and $0 \neq u \in R^{n+1}$, $u \perp n$ then:

$u + T \cdot n = (\bar{u}, 0) + T \cdot (\bar{0}, 1) = (\bar{u}, T)$ where $\bar{0}, \bar{u} \in R^n$.

(We use the notation $x = (\bar{x}, x_{n+1})$ for $x \in R^{n+1}$: $\bar{x} \in R^n$, $x_{n+1} \in R$).

For polynomials U, W ($W \neq 0$) by $r\left(\frac{U}{W}\right)$ shall denote the rest of $\frac{U}{W}$.

Let T_n^+ are the roots of $E((\bar{u}, T))$ with positive im. parts and

T_n^- are the roots of $E((\bar{u}, T))$ with negative im. parts.

Assume for example, that T_1 is a single zero of polynomial $E((\bar{u}, T))$.

In the case of T_1 zero of k -order the proof is similar to the above one.

Let

$$(2) \quad \lambda = T - T_1^+; \quad \beta_n' = T_1^+ - T_n^+; \quad \beta_n'' = T_1^+ - T_n^- \\ n=2,3,\dots,k, \quad n=1,2,\dots,k.$$

Let

$$M_j(\lambda) = \alpha \lambda^{j-1} (\lambda + \beta_1'')^{j-1} \prod_{n=2}^k (\lambda + \beta_n')^{j-1} (\lambda + \beta_n'')^{j-1},$$

$$j = 1, 2, \dots, p,$$

$$M(\lambda) = \lambda^p \prod_{n=2}^k (\lambda + \beta_n')^p,$$

$$R_j(\lambda) = r \left(\frac{M_j(\lambda)}{M(\lambda)} \right).$$

Putting $\lambda = T - T_1^+$ into the polynomials

$$\alpha \left(\prod_{n=1}^k (T - T_n^+) (T - T_n^-) \right)^{j-1}$$

and $\left(\prod_{n=1}^k (T - T_n^+) \right)^p$ we have $M_j(\lambda)$ and $M(\lambda)$ accordingly.

The linear substitution $\lambda = T - T_1^+$ has no influence on linearly independent, so we have only to show, that polynomials R_1, R_2, \dots, R_p are linearly independent.

Because $\deg M > \deg M_j$ for $j < \frac{p}{2} + 1$, then

$$(3) \quad R_j(\lambda) = M_j(\lambda) =$$

$$= \alpha \lambda^{j-1} (\lambda + \beta_1'')^{j-1} \prod_{n=2}^k (\lambda + \beta_n')^{j-1} (\lambda + \beta_n'')^{j-1}; \quad j < \frac{p}{2} + 1.$$

For $j \geq \frac{p}{2} + 1$ the polynomials M_j and M have the common divisor

$$Q = \lambda^{j-1} \prod_{n=2}^k (\lambda + \beta_n')^{j-1}.$$

For any polynomials W, V, Q : if $V Q \neq 0$, then we have

$$r\left(\frac{W}{V}Q\right) = r\left(\frac{W}{V}\right) \cdot Q$$

then

$$(4) R_j = r\left(\frac{\alpha(\lambda + \beta_1'')^{j-1} \prod_{n=2}^k |(\lambda + \beta_n'')^{j-1}|}{\lambda^{p-(j-1)} \prod_{k=2}^m |(\lambda + \beta_n')^{p-(j-1)}|}\right) \lambda^{j-1} \prod_{n=2}^k (\lambda + \beta_n')^{j-1}$$

$j \geq \frac{p}{2} + 1.$

Let

$$R_j(\lambda) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} a_{jl} \lambda^l.$$

By formulas (3) (4) we get

$$a_{jl} = \begin{cases} 0 & ; \quad 1 < j - 1, \\ \alpha \beta_1''^{j-1} \prod_{n=2}^k | \beta_n^{j-1} \beta_n''^{j-1} | & ; \quad 1 = j - 1 ; \quad j < \frac{p}{2} + 1, \\ \alpha \beta_1''^{j-1} \prod_{n=2}^k | \beta_n^{j-1} \prod_{n=2}^k | \beta_n^{j-1} | & ; \quad 1 = j-1 ; \quad j \geq \frac{p}{2} + 1. \end{cases}$$

We have assumed, that T_1^+ is the root of 1-order hence:

$\beta_n'' \neq 0$ ($n = 2, 3, \dots, k$) moreover $\beta_n'' \neq 0$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots, k$);

$\alpha \neq 0$. Then $a_{jl} = 0$ for $1 < j-1$ and $a_{jl} \neq 0$ for $1 = j - 1, \quad l = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and the determinant of matrix

$\Delta = [a_{jl}]$ is different from zero, because Δ is a triangular matrix without zeros on the diagonal. Hence the polynomials R_1, R_2, \dots, R_p are linearly independent ([1] p.633).

Because x was fixed the proof is completed.

4. Assume now, that the functions f_j in problem (1) are of the class $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with compact supports.

Let the assumptions of theorem be fulfilled.

Moreover, assume that $E = E'$ and E is the operator with constant coefficients.

Then Th.2.1 [1] implies the existence of a solution (1) and

$$u(\bar{x}, t) = \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K_j(\bar{x} - \bar{y}, t) \cdot f_j(\bar{y}) d\bar{y} = \sum K_j * f_j,$$

when $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$; K_j are the Poisson kernels:

$$K_j(\bar{x}, t) = \begin{cases} \frac{\beta_j}{2\pi i} \int_{|\xi|=1} dw_{\xi} \left[\int_{\Gamma} \frac{N_j(\xi, T) (\bar{x} \cdot \xi + tT)^{m_j - n}}{M^+(\xi, T)} \log \frac{\bar{x} \cdot \xi + tT}{i} dT \right], \\ \text{for } m_j \geq n, \\ \frac{\beta_j}{2\pi i} \int_{|\xi|=1} dw_{\xi} \left[\int_{\Gamma} \frac{N_j(\xi, T)}{M^+(\xi, T) (\bar{x} \cdot \xi + tT)^{n - m_j}} dT \right], \text{ for } 0 \leq m_j < n. \end{cases}$$

Here the principal branch of the logarithm in the complex plane slit along the negative real axis is taken, dw_{ξ} is the area element on the unit sphere $|\xi| = 1$, and the β_j are absolute constants give by:

$$\beta_j = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n (m_j - n)!} & \text{if } m_j \geq n, \\ (-1)^{n - m_j} \frac{(n - m_j - 1)!}{(2\pi i)^n} & \text{if } 0 \leq m_j < n, \end{cases}$$

Γ is a Jordan contour in $\text{Im } T > 0$ enclosing all the

roots of $M^+(\xi, T) = \prod_{k=1}^m (T - T_k^+(\xi))$ for all $|\xi| = 1$

where $T_k^+(\xi)$ are the roots of $L'(x, \xi + Tn) = L((\xi, T)) = \mathbb{E}^p((\xi, T))$ with positive imaginary parts, $M^+(\xi, T) =$

$$= \prod_{k=1}^m (T - T_k^+(\xi)) = \sum_{p=0}^m a_p^+(\xi) T^{m-p}, \quad B_j(\xi, T) = B_j(\xi, T) \pmod{M^+}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^m b_{jk}(\xi) T^{k-1} \quad \text{where } B_j = \mathbb{E}^{j-1} \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, p),$$

$$M_j^+(\xi, T) = \sum_{p=0}^j \alpha_p^+ T^{j-p},$$

$$N_k(\xi, T) = \sum_{i=1}^m b^{ik}(\xi) M_{m-i}^+(\xi, T) \quad \text{where } [b^{jk}(\xi)] \text{ is the}$$

inverse matrix for $[b_{jk}(\xi)]$, finally m -order of B_j , $2m$ -order of $L = \mathbb{E}^p$.

In our case $m = p \cdot k$, $m_j = (j - 1)k$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, p$).

5. Take in problem (1) $\mathbb{E} = \Delta$ (the Laplace operator)

$$F = 1 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)^2; \quad p = 3, \quad n = 1.$$

The system of operators in the problem is now the following:

$$\Delta^3, F^2, F, I \quad (I - \text{identity operator}).$$

For this system of operators the complementing conditions do not hold.

Indeed:

$$B_j^*(\xi, T) = (1\xi - T)^{2j} \quad j = 2, 1, 0,$$

$$r \left(\frac{B_j^*}{(T - 1\xi)^3} \right) = \begin{cases} 0 & ; \quad j = 2, \\ (1\xi - T)^2; & j = 1, \\ 1 & ; \quad j = 0 \end{cases}$$

and these remainders are linearly dependent.

References

- [1] Agmon S., Douglis A., Nirenberg L., Estimates Near the Boundary for Solutions of Elliptic Partial Differential Equations Satisfying General Boundary Conditions, I Comm. on pure and appl. math. Vol. XII, (1959), p.623-727.