

Hans-Heinrich Kairies

On a Banach space automorphism and its connections to functional equations and continuous nowhere differentiable functions

Abstract. Denote by \mathcal{H} the Banach space of functions $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which are continuous, 1-periodic and even. It turns out that $F : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$, given by

$$F[\varphi](x) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} \varphi(2^k x)$$

is a Banach space automorphism. Important properties of F are closely related to a de Rham type functional equation for $F[\varphi]$.

Many continuous nowhere differentiable functions are of the form $F[\varphi]$. A large part of them can be identified by simple properties of the generating function φ .

1. Introduction

The set \mathcal{H} of functions $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which are continuous, 1-periodic and even, equipped with the uniform norm on \mathbb{R} , is a Banach space. Several prominent continuous but nowhere differentiable (*cmd*) functions can be generated from functions $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$ via the linear operator $F : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$, given by

$$F[\varphi](x) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} \varphi(2^k x). \quad (1)$$

As examples we mention the Takagi function $T : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, given by

$$T(x) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} D(2^k x), \quad D(y) := \text{dist}(y, \mathbb{Z}) \quad (2)$$

and the Weierstrass type function $W : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$W(x) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} C(2^k x), \quad C(y) := \cos 2\pi y. \quad (3)$$

The *cmd* property of W has been proved by Hardy [4] 1916, the *cmd* property of T by Takagi [11] 1903 and later by many other authors. The *cmd* property of functions defined by general series of type (1) has been investigated by Knopp [8] 1918, Behrend [1] 1949, Mikolás[9] 1956 and Girgensohn [2] 1993, [3] 1994. These authors stated properties of the generating function $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which imply the nondifferentiability of $F[\varphi]$. We mention two results which can be deduced from [1] respectively [9]:

THEOREM 1 (Behrend)

*Assume that $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$ is polygonal with a finite number of vertices in $[0, 1]$ all of which have rational abscissae with $\varphi'_+(0) \neq 0$. Then $F[\varphi]$ is *cmd*.*

THEOREM 2 (Mikolás)

*Assume that $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$ is convex on $[0, \frac{1}{2}]$ and on $[\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ (or concave on both intervals) with $\varphi(0) \neq \varphi(\frac{1}{2})$. Then $F[\varphi]$ is *cmd*.*

These results show that there is an ample supply of *cmd* functions in $F[\mathcal{H}]$. In this note we pay special attention to the operator $F : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ given by (1). A detailed analysis of F is the subject of Section 2. It turns out that $F : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is a Banach space automorphism. In proving this and other properties of F , a simple functional equation for $F[\varphi]$ is very useful. It can be shown in a few lines: for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we have (with $\psi := F[\varphi]$) $\frac{1}{2}\psi(2x) = \frac{1}{2}\varphi(2x) + \frac{1}{4}\varphi(4x) + \frac{1}{8}\varphi(8x) + \dots$, hence

$$\psi(x) - \frac{1}{2}\psi(2x) = \varphi(x). \quad (4)$$

Equation (4) has been investigated by de Rham [10] 1957 for $\varphi = D$, the distance function defined in (2). The general case and other functional equations for $F[\varphi]$ have been discussed by Kairies [5] 1997, [6] 1998, [7] 1999.

In Section 3 we derive the Fourier expansion of $F[\varphi]$. The Fourier coefficients of $F[\varphi]$ are connected to the Fourier coefficients of φ by means of a recursion formula which follows from (4) and can be interpreted in part as a discrete analog of (4). As an application we compute the Fourier series of Takagi's function T .

2. The Banach space automorphism F

It is straightforward to check that

$$\mathcal{H} := \{\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}; \varphi \text{ continuous, } 1\text{-periodic and even}\},$$

equipped with the uniform norm $\|\dots\|_u$ on \mathbb{R} , is a real Banach space and that the operator F , given by (1): $F[\varphi](x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-k}\varphi(2^k x)$, is linear and maps \mathcal{H} into \mathcal{H} .

In the following statement we describe the interaction of F with the functional equation (4): $\psi(x) - \frac{1}{2}\psi(2x) = \varphi(x)$.

PROPOSITION 1

Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$. Then $\psi = F[\varphi]$ iff ψ is a bounded solution of (4) on \mathbb{R} .

Proof. $\psi(x) = \varphi(x) + \frac{1}{2}\varphi(2x) + \frac{1}{4}\varphi(4x) + \dots$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$ imply the boundedness of ψ and because of

$$\frac{1}{2}\psi(2x) = \frac{1}{2}\varphi(2x) + \frac{1}{4}\varphi(4x) + \frac{1}{8}\varphi(8x) + \dots,$$

we get $\psi(x) - \frac{1}{2}\psi(2x) = \varphi(x)$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

On the other hand, $\varphi(x) = \psi(x) - \frac{1}{2}\psi(2x)$ implies

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x) &= \frac{1}{2}\psi(2x) + \varphi(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\left\{\frac{1}{2}\psi(4x) + \varphi(2x)\right\} + \varphi(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{4}\left\{\frac{1}{2}\psi(8x) + \varphi(4x)\right\} + \frac{1}{2}\varphi(2x) + \varphi(x) \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &= \frac{1}{2^m}\psi(2^m x) + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{2^k}\varphi(2^k x) \quad \text{for every } x \in \mathbb{R}, m \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

As ψ is bounded,

$$\psi(x) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \psi(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k}\varphi(2^k x) = F[\varphi](x).$$

Now we shall list some important properties of the operator F . As usual, $\|F\| := \sup\{\|F[\varphi]\|_u; \varphi \in \mathcal{H}, \|\varphi\|_u \leq 1\}$ denotes the operator norm of F .

THEOREM 3

$F : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is a continuous Banach space automorphism with $\|F\| = 2$. The inverse operator F^{-1} is given by

$$F^{-1}[\psi](x) = \psi(x) - \frac{1}{2}\psi(2x)$$

and is continuous as well with $\|F^{-1}\| = \frac{3}{2}$.

Proof. The linearity of F was already stated. As we shall see, the bijectivity is an immediate consequence of Proposition 1.

Namely, to prove injectivity, observe that if $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$ and $F[\varphi] = \mathbf{o}$ (the zero function) then, by Proposition 1, necessarily $\varphi = \mathbf{o}$.

To prove surjectivity, let $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$. Define $\varphi(x) := \psi(x) - \frac{1}{2}\psi(2x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then clearly $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$. By Proposition 1, $\psi(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-k}\varphi(2^k x) = F[\varphi](x)$, hence $\psi = F[\varphi]$ for some $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$.

For $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\|\varphi\|_u \leq 1$ we obtain

$$\|F[\varphi]\|_u = \sup \left\{ \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-k}\varphi(2^k x) \right|; x \in \mathbb{R} \right\} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-k} \cdot 1 = 2,$$

hence $\|F\| \leq 2$. On the other hand, for the constant function $\mathbf{1}$ ($\mathbf{1}(x) = 1$) we have $\mathbf{1} \in \mathcal{H}$, $\|\mathbf{1}\|_u = 1$ and $\|F[\mathbf{1}]\|_u = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-k} \cdot 1 = 2$, hence $\|F\| \geq 2$.

The inverse operator F^{-1} can be explicitly given: By Proposition 1 it follows that $\psi = F[\varphi]$ iff $\varphi(x) = F^{-1}[\psi](x) = \psi(x) - \frac{1}{2}\psi(2x)$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Consequently, for $\|\psi\|_u \leq 1$ we have $\|F^{-1}[\psi]\|_u = \sup\{|\psi(x) - \frac{1}{2}\psi(2x)|; x \in \mathbb{R}\} \leq 3/2$. On the other hand, let $\psi_0(x) := 4D(x) - 1$, i.e., $\psi_0 \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\psi_0(x) = 4x - 1$ for $0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Then $\|\psi_0\|_u = 1$ and $\|F^{-1}[\psi_0]\|_u = \sup\{|\psi_0(x) - \frac{1}{2}\psi_0(2x)|; x \in \mathbb{R}\} \geq \psi_0(1/2) - \frac{1}{2}\psi_0(1) = 3/2$.

REMARK 1

a) Let $\mathcal{A} := \{\varphi \in \mathcal{H}; \varphi \text{ real analytic on } \mathbb{R}\}$.

Clearly \mathcal{A} and $F[\mathcal{A}] = \{F[\varphi]; \varphi \in \mathcal{A}\}$ are subspaces of \mathcal{H} .

The examples $\mathbf{1} \in \mathcal{A}$ and $C \in \mathcal{A}$ ($C(x) = \cos 2\pi x$) show that F does not preserve this kind of regularity: $F[\mathbf{1}] = 2 \cdot \mathbf{1}$ is again analytic whereas $F[C] = W$ is *cmd* (this is in our context the worst possible regularity property which can occur).

In severe contrast, the operator F^{-1} obviously maps \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{A} and preserves similar types of regularity as well, e.g., differentiability of order $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

b) Let $\mathcal{B} := \{\varphi \in \mathcal{H}; \varphi \text{ nowhere differentiable}\}$. The last observation in a) shows that F does not map any $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}$ to some $F[\varphi] \in \mathcal{H} \cap C^n(\mathbb{R})$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$ or even to some $F[\varphi] \in \mathcal{H} \cap \text{BV}[0, 1]$.

c) The operator equation $F[\varphi] = \psi$ has for any given $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$ exactly one solution: $\varphi = F^{-1}[\psi]$, $\varphi(x) = \psi(x) - \frac{1}{2}\psi(2x)$.

Similarly, $F^2[\varphi] = \psi$ if and only if $\varphi(x) = \psi(x) - \psi(2x) + \frac{1}{4}\psi(4x)$.

In this manner, $F^n[\varphi] = \psi$ can be explicitly solved in terms of the given $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

3. Fourier series of $F[\varphi]$

First we fix some notations. The Fourier series of a function $g \in L^1[0, 1]$ will be denoted by $S[g]$. Throughout this section we assume $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$ and write

$$S[\varphi](x) = \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \cos 2\pi kx, \quad a_k = 2 \int_0^1 \varphi(t) \cos 2\pi kt \, dt$$

and

$$S[F[\varphi]](x) = \frac{u_0}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_k \cos 2\pi kx, \quad u_k = 2 \int_0^1 F[\varphi](t) \cos 2\pi kt \, dt, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

REMARK 2

a) For $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$ the Fourier coefficients a_k and u_k exist and we have

$$u_k = 2 \int_0^1 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \varphi(2^n t) \cos 2\pi kt \, dt = 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \int_0^1 \varphi(2^n t) \cos 2\pi kt \, dt,$$

in particular,

$$\begin{aligned} u_0 &= 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \int_0^1 \varphi(2^n t) \, dt = 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \int_0^{2^n} \varphi(\tau_n) \frac{1}{2^n} \, d\tau_n \quad (\tau_n = 2^n t), \\ &= 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \int_0^1 \varphi(\tau) \, d\tau = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \cdot a_0 \\ &= 2 \cdot a_0. \end{aligned}$$

b) In general it is not true that $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$ coincides with its Fourier series $S[\varphi]$: Fejér's famous example of a continuous function γ whose Fourier series is divergent at the point zero can be modified in such a way that the new function $\tilde{\gamma}$ belongs to \mathcal{H} and $S[\tilde{\gamma}](0)$ diverges. However, if $(c_k) \in \ell^1$ and $\varphi(x) := \frac{c_0}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \cos 2\pi kx$, then clearly $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$ and $S[\varphi] = \varphi$.

THEOREM 4

a) Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$. Then

$$u_{2k} - \frac{1}{2}u_k = a_{2k} \text{ and } u_{2k+1} = a_{2k+1} \text{ for every } k \in \mathbb{N}_0. \quad (5)$$

b) The recursive system (5) has, for any given sequence (a_k) of real numbers, a unique solution (u_k) , namely

$$u_0 = 2a_0, \quad u_k = u_{2^m(2j+1)} = \sum_{\lambda=0}^m \frac{1}{2^{m-\lambda}} a_{2^\lambda(2j+1)} \text{ for } k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (6)$$

Proof. a) By Proposition 1 we have $F[\varphi](x) = \frac{1}{2}F[\varphi](2x) + \varphi(x)$. This implies

$$2 \int_0^1 F[\varphi](x) \cos 2\pi kx \, dx = 2 \int_0^1 \left\{ \frac{1}{2} F[\varphi](2x) \cos 2\pi kx + \varphi(x) \cos 2\pi kx \right\} dx,$$

hence ($2x = t$)

$$u_k = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^2 F[\varphi](t) \cos \pi kt \, dt + a_k \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}_0).$$

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} u_{2k} &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^2 F[\varphi](t) \cos 2\pi kt \, dt + a_{2k} \\ &= \int_0^1 F[\varphi](t) \cos 2\pi kt \, dt + a_{2k} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} u_k + a_{2k}, \end{aligned}$$

because the integrand has period 1 and

$$u_{2k+1} = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^2 F[\varphi](t) \cos \pi(2k+1)t \, dt + a_{2k+1} = a_{2k+1},$$

because the integrand is odd with respect to $1/2$ in $[0, 1]$ and odd with respect to $3/2$ in $[1, 2]$.

b) $u_0 = 2a_0$ follows immediately from (5).

Every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ has a unique representation $2^m(2j+1)$ with some $m, j \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

For $m = 0$, the second equation of (5) gives $u_{2j+1} = a_{2j+1}$ for every $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

For $m \geq 1$, by repeated use of the first equation of (5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} u_k &= u_{2^m(2j+1)} = \frac{1}{2} u_{2^{m-1}(2j+1)} + a_{2^m(2j+1)} \\ &= \frac{1}{4} u_{2^{m-2}(2j+1)} + \frac{1}{2} a_{2^{m-1}(2j+1)} + a_{2^m(2j+1)} \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &= \frac{1}{2^m} u_{2^0(2j+1)} + \sum_{\lambda=1}^m \frac{1}{2^{m-\lambda}} a_{2^\lambda(2j+1)} \\ &= \sum_{\lambda=0}^m \frac{1}{2^{m-\lambda}} a_{2^\lambda(2j+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, any sequence (u_k) given by (6) satisfies in fact (5): The case $k = 0$ is trivial. For $k = 2^m(2j + 1)$ and $m = 0$ we get immediately $u_{2j+1} = a_{2j+1}$, whereas for $m \geq 1$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} u_{2k} - \frac{1}{2}u_k &= u_{2^{m+1}(2j+1)} - \frac{1}{2}u_{2^m(2j+1)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\lambda=0}^{m+1} \frac{1}{2^{m-\lambda}} a_{2^\lambda(2j+1)} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\lambda=0}^m \frac{1}{2^{m-\lambda}} a_{2^\lambda(2j+1)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2^{-1}} a_{2^{m+1}(2j+1)} = a_{2k}. \end{aligned}$$

As a first useful consequence of Theorem 2 we note

PROPOSITION 2

Assume that $(c_k) \in \ell^1$ and that

$$\varphi(x) := \frac{1}{2}c_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \cos 2\pi kx.$$

Then $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$, $S[\varphi] = \varphi$ and with

$$S[F[\varphi]](x) = \frac{1}{2}v_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} v_k \cos 2\pi kx$$

we have $(v_k) \in \ell^1$ and $S[F[\varphi]] = F[\varphi]$.

Proof. Clearly φ is continuous, 1-periodic and even, hence $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$. The uniform convergence of the series representing φ implies that φ coincides with its Fourier series $S[\varphi]$. By Theorem 2 we have

$$|v_0| = 2|c_0| \text{ and } |v_{2^m(2j+1)}| \leq \sum_{\lambda=0}^m 2^{\lambda-m} |c_{2^\lambda(2j+1)}| \text{ for } m, j \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Consequently, for every $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} |v_{2^m(2j+1)}| &\leq \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\lambda=0}^m 2^{\lambda-m} |c_{2^\lambda(2j+1)}| \\ &= |c_{2j+1}| + \left(\frac{1}{2}|c_{2j+1}| + |c_{2(2j+1)}|\right) \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{4}|c_{2j+1}| + \frac{1}{2}|c_{2(2j+1)}| + |c_{2^2(2j+1)}|\right) + \dots \\ &= 2 \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} |c_{2^m(2j+1)}|. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} |v_{2^m(2j+1)}| \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} |2c_{2^m(2j+1)}| = 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |c_k| < \infty$$

because of $(c_k) \in \ell^1$. By the main rearrangement theorem, $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |v_k| < \infty$, i.e., $(v_k) \in \ell^1$ as well.

This implies the convergence of $S[F[\varphi]](x)$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$, thus by Fejér's theorem $F[\varphi]$ coincides with its Fourier series $S[F[\varphi]]$.

As a second consequence of Theorem 2 we derive the Fourier series of Takagi's function $T = F[D]$.

PROPOSITION 3

If T is given by (2), then

$$S[T](x) = \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_k \cos 2\pi kx$$

with

$$u_k = u_{2^m(2j+1)} = \frac{-1}{2^{m-1}\pi^2(2j+1)^2} \quad \text{for } m, j \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

This series is absolutely and uniformly convergent on \mathbb{R} and $S[T] = T$.

Proof. It is well known that the distance function D has the Fourier series

$$S[D](x) = \frac{1}{4} - \frac{2}{\pi^2} \left\{ \frac{1}{1^2} \cos 2\pi x + \frac{1}{3^2} \cos(3 \cdot 2\pi x) + \frac{1}{5^2} \cos(5 \cdot 2\pi x) + \dots \right\}.$$

Hence $a_0 = \frac{1}{2}$, $a_{2k} = 0$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_{2j+1} = \frac{-2}{\pi^2(2j+1)^2}$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Clearly $(a_n) \in \ell^1$.

By Theorem 2 we have $u_0 = 2a_0 = 1$, $u_{2j+1} = a_{2j+1} = \frac{-2}{\pi^2(2j+1)^2}$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and, because of $a_{2k} = 0$,

$$u_{2^m(2j+1)} = \frac{1}{2^m} a_{2j+1} + \sum_{\lambda=1}^m a_{2^\lambda(2j+1)} = \frac{-1}{2^{m-1}\pi^2(2j+1)^2} \quad \text{for } j \in \mathbb{N}_0, m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

By Proposition 2, T coincides with its Fourier series $S[T]$ and $(u_k) \in \ell^1$.

Therefore we have the following representation of Takagi's function by an absolutely and uniformly (on \mathbb{R}) convergent trigonometric series:

$$\begin{aligned}
T(x) = & \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\pi^2} \left\{ \frac{2}{1^2} \cos(1 \cdot 2\pi x) + \frac{1}{1^2} \cos(2 \cdot 2\pi x) + \frac{2}{3^2} \cos(3 \cdot 2\pi x) \right. \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \cos(4 \cdot 2\pi x) + \frac{2}{5^2} \cos(5 \cdot 2\pi x) + \frac{1}{3^2} \cos(6 \cdot 2\pi x) \\
& + \frac{2}{7^2} \cos(7 \cdot 2\pi x) + \frac{1}{2^2} \cos(8 \cdot 2\pi x) + \frac{2}{9^2} \cos(9 \cdot 2\pi x) \\
& + \frac{1}{5^2} \cos(10 \cdot 2\pi x) + \frac{2}{11^2} \cos(11 \cdot 2\pi x) + \frac{1}{2 \cdot 3^2} \cos(12 \cdot 2\pi x) \\
& + \frac{2}{13^2} \cos(13 \cdot 2\pi x) + \frac{1}{7^2} \cos(14 \cdot 2\pi x) + \frac{2}{15^2} \cos(15 \cdot 2\pi x) \\
& \left. + \frac{1}{2^3} \cos(16 \cdot 2\pi x) + \dots \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that in our approach we did not need an explicit calculation of the rather unpleasant series $u_k = 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \int_0^1 D(2^n x) \cos 2\pi kx \, dx$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

References

- [1] F.A. Behrend, *Some remarks on the construction of continuous nondifferentiable functions*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) **50** (1949), 463-481.
- [2] R. Girgensohn, *Functional equations and nowhere differentiable functions*, Aequationes Math. **46** (1993), 243-256.
- [3] R. Girgensohn, *Nowhere differentiable solutions of a system of functional equations*, Aequationes Math. **47** (1994), 89-99.
- [4] G., H. Hardy, *Weierstrass's nondifferentiable function*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **17** (1916), 301-325.
- [5] H.-H. Kairies, *Functional equations for peculiar functions*, Aequationes Math. **53** (1997), 207-241.
- [6] H.-H. Kairies, *Takagi's function and its functional equations*, Wyz. Szkoła Ped. Kraków. Rocznik Nauk.-Dydakt. Prace Mat. **15** (1998), 73-83.
- [7] H.-H. Kairies, *A remarkable system of eight functional equations*, Aequationes Math. **58** (1999), 183-191.
- [8] K. Knopp, *Ein einfaches Verfahren zur Bildung stetiger nirgends differenzierbarer Funktionen*, Math. Z. **2** (1918), 1-26.
- [9] M. Mikolás, *Construction des familles de fonctions partout continues non dérivables*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) **17** (1956), 49-62.
- [10] G. de Rham, *Sur une example de fonction continue sans dérivée*, Enseign. Math. **3** (1957), 71-72.
- [11] T. Takagi, *A simple example of the continuous function without derivative*, Proc. Phys. Math. Soc. Japan **1** (1903), 176-177.

*Institut für Mathematik
Technische Universität Clausthal
Erzstraße 1
D-38678 Clausthal-Zellerfeld
Germany
E-mail: kairies@math.tu-clausthal.de*

Manuscript received: October 13, 1999