

Anna Wach-Michalik

On a problem of H.-H. Kairies concerning Euler's Gamma function

Abstract. The Bohr-Mollerup theorem on the Euler Γ function states: If $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ satisfies the functional equation $f(x+1) = xf(x)$ on \mathbb{R}_+ , $\log \circ f$ is convex on $(\gamma, +\infty)$ for some $\gamma \geq 0$ and $f(1) = 1$ then $f = \Gamma$. We give some partial answers to the question posed by H.-H. Kairies: By what other function can the logarithm be replaced in this statement.

Introduction

Let us introduce the family of functions

$$\mathbf{F} := \{f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \mid \forall x \in \mathbb{R}_+ : f(x+1) = xf(x) \text{ and } f(1) = 1\}.$$

Then for every $f \in \mathbf{F}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $f(n) = \Gamma(n) = (n-1)!$ where Γ is the Euler function defined by the formula

$$\Gamma(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma_n(x), \tag{\Gamma}$$

where

$$\Gamma_n(x) = \frac{n^x n!}{x(x+1)\dots(x+n)}. \tag{\Gamma_n}$$

Moreover, $f \in \mathbf{F}$ iff $f(x) = p(x)\Gamma(x)$, where $p : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is a periodic function of period 1 with $p(1) = 1$.

We begin our considerations with reminding the Bohr-Mollerup Theorem, cf. [1] p. 14.

THEOREM (Bohr-Mollerup)

If $f \in \mathbf{F}$ and $\log \circ f$ is convex on $(\gamma, +\infty)$ for some $\gamma \geq 0$, then $f = \Gamma$.

H.-H. Kairies proposed (private communication) to investigate the properties of the following set:

$$\mathbf{M} := \{g : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid (f \in \mathbf{F} \text{ and } g \circ f \text{ is convex on } (\gamma, +\infty) \text{ for some } \gamma \geq 0) \Rightarrow f = \Gamma\}.$$

In this paper we find some elements of the set \mathbf{M} and study its properties.

1. Properties of the set \mathbf{M}

We start with the two lemmas which follow directly from the definitions of monotonicity and concavity of the functions involved.

LEMMA 1

If $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is increasing and concave then $g^{-1} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is increasing and convex.

LEMMA 2

Let X, Y, Z be some intervals of \mathbb{R} . If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a convex function and $g : Y \rightarrow Z$ is increasing and convex then $g \circ f : X \rightarrow Z$ is convex.

THEOREM 1

Let $g \in \mathbf{M}$ and let $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be increasing and concave. Then $h \circ g : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ belongs to the set \mathbf{M} .

Proof. Let g and h satisfy the assumptions and let $f \in \mathbf{F}$. By Lemma 1 the function h^{-1} is increasing and convex. If $h \circ g \circ f$ is convex then (by Lemma 2) $h^{-1} \circ h \circ g \circ f$ is convex, too. Thus, since $g \in \mathbf{M}$, we have $h \circ g \in \mathbf{M}$.

The above theorem implies

REMARK 1

Let $a > 0$. If $g : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow (a, +\infty)$ is increasing and logarithmically convex then $g^{-1} \in \mathbf{M}$.

Proof. By our assumption $\log \circ g$ is a convex function. By Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 the function $g^{-1} \circ \exp = (\log \circ g)^{-1}$ is increasing and concave for $x \in (\log a, +\infty)$. By the Bohr-Mollerup Theorem, $\log \in \mathbf{M}$. Thus, by Theorem 1, $g^{-1} = (\log \circ g)^{-1} \circ \log \in \mathbf{M}$.

In particular, we have

REMARK 2

The function $G = (\Gamma|_{(2, +\infty)})^{-1}$ is in \mathbf{M} .

THEOREM 2

If $g \in \mathbf{M}$, $a > 0$, $b \in \mathbb{R}$, then $a \cdot g + b \in \mathbf{M}$.

Proof. Let $g \in \mathbf{M}$, $a > 0$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}$. Take a function $f \in \mathbf{F}$. If the function $(a \cdot g + b) \circ f$ is convex then so is the function $\frac{1}{a} \cdot [(a \cdot g + b) \circ f] - \frac{b}{a} = g \circ f$. Since we have assumed that $g \in \mathbf{M}$, $f = \Gamma$ and $a \cdot g + b \in \mathbf{M}$.

Besides $f = \Gamma$ there are other convex functions belonging to \mathbf{F} , e.g., the functions $f_c : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$f_c(x) = \Gamma(x) \exp [c \sin(2\pi x)].$$

They are convex for sufficiently small $c > 0$ on $(0, +\infty)$ (see [2]). Thus we obtain the following remarks:

REMARK 3

The function $\text{id}_{\mathbb{R}_+}$ does not belong to \mathbf{M} .

REMARK 4

If $h : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is an increasing and convex function then $h \notin \mathbf{M}$.

Proof. Let h be a function satisfying the assumptions and let $f \in \mathbf{F}$. By Lemma 2 if f is convex then so is $h \circ f$. Because Γ is not the only convex element of \mathbf{F} , we have $h \notin \mathbf{M}$.

2. Special elements of the set \mathbf{M}

THEOREM 3

Let us assume that $h : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} h(x) = m \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{1}$$

Then $\log + h$ is an element of the set \mathbf{M} .

Proof. We put $g = \log + h$, and take a function $f \in \mathbf{F}$. Moreover we fix an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in (0, 1]$. If $g \circ f$ is convex then the following inequalities hold true:

$$\begin{aligned} g \circ f(n) - g \circ f(n-1) &\leq \frac{g \circ f(n+x) - g \circ f(n)}{x} \\ &\leq g \circ f(n+1) - g \circ f(n). \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Using $f(x+1) = xf(x)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x(g_{n-1} - g_{n-2}) &\leq g[x(x+1) \dots (x+n-1)f(x)] - g_{n-1} \\ &\leq x(g_n - g_{n-1}) \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where we have put, for short,

$$\begin{aligned} g_n &:= g(n!), \\ h_n &:= h(n!). \end{aligned}$$

Since $g = \log + h$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
x [\log(n-1) + h_{n-1} - h_{n-2}] &\leq \log [x(x+1) \dots (x+n-1)f(x)] \\
&\quad + h [x(x+1) \dots (x+n-1)f(x)] \\
&\quad - \log(n-1)! - h_{n-1} \\
&\leq x [\log n + h_n - h_{n-1}].
\end{aligned}$$

Since the exponential function is increasing, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(n-1)^x \left[\frac{\exp h_{n-1}}{\exp h_{n-2}} \right]^x \\
\leq \frac{x(x+1) \dots (x+n-1)f(x)}{(n-1)!} \cdot \frac{\exp \circ h [x(x+1) \dots (x+n-1)f(x)]}{\exp h_{n-1}} \\
\leq n^x \left[\frac{\exp h_n}{\exp h_{n-1}} \right]^x.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
(n-1)^x (n-1)! \frac{(\exp h_{n-1})^{x+1}}{(\exp h_{n-2})^x} \\
\leq x(x+1) \dots (x+n-1)f(x) \cdot \exp \circ h [x(x+1) \dots (x+n-1)f(x)] \\
\leq n^x (n-1)! \frac{(\exp h_n)^x}{(\exp h_{n-1})^{x-1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

In turn,

$$\begin{aligned}
n^x n! \frac{(\exp h_n)^{x+1}}{(\exp h_{n-1})^x} \\
\leq x(x+1) \dots (x+n)f(x) \cdot \exp \circ h [x(x+1) \dots (x+n)f(x)] \\
\leq n^x n! \frac{x+n}{n} \cdot \frac{(\exp h_n)^x}{(\exp h_{n-1})^{x-1}} \cdot \frac{\exp \circ h [x(x+1) \dots (x+n)f(x)]}{\exp \circ h [x(x+1) \dots (x+n-1)f(x)]}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_n(x) \cdot \frac{(\exp h_n)^{x+1}}{(\exp h_{n-1})^x} \\
\leq f(x) \exp \circ h [x(x+1) \dots (x+n)f(x)] \\
\leq \frac{x+n}{n} \cdot \Gamma_n(x) \cdot \frac{(\exp h_n)^x}{(\exp h_{n-1})^{x-1}} \cdot \frac{\exp \circ h [x(x+1) \dots (x+n)f(x)]}{\exp \circ h [x(x+1) \dots (x+n-1)f(x)]}.
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that by the relations resulting from (1) ($\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_n = m$)

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \exp \circ h [x(x+1) \dots (x+n)f(x)] &= e^m \\
\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\exp h_n)^{x+1}}{(\exp h_{n-1})^x} &= e^m
\end{aligned}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\exp h_n)^x}{(\exp h_{n-1})^{x-1}} \cdot \frac{\exp \circ h [x(x+1) \dots (x+n)f(x)]}{\exp \circ h [x(x+1) \dots (x+n-1)f(x)]} = e^m$$

and by (Γ) we obtain

$$\Gamma(x)e^m \leq f(x)e^m \leq \Gamma(x)e^m.$$

Thus $f(x) = \Gamma(x)$ for $x \in (0, 1]$. We shall show that $f(x) = \Gamma(x)$ for each real positive x .

Let $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$. We proceed by induction. There exists a $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x \in (k-1, k]$. If $k = 1$ then we have already proved that $f(x) = \Gamma(x)$. Let us assume that $f(x) = \Gamma(x)$ for $x \in (k-1, k]$. Take $x \in (k, k+1]$ and $y = x-1$. Since $y \in (k-1, k]$, by the inductive assumption we have $f(y) = \Gamma(y)$. By the functional equation for f we have $f(y+1) = yf(y)$. Thus $f(y+1) = y\Gamma(y) = \Gamma(y+1)$ hence $f(x) = \Gamma(x)$ for $x \in (k, k+1]$. Therefore $f(x) = \Gamma(x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

REMARK 5

The function $g = \log + \arctan$ belongs to \mathbf{M} .

REMARK 6

Let $a, b > 0$. Then $\log \circ (a \operatorname{id}_{\mathbb{R}_+} + b) \in \mathbf{M}$.

Proof. Take $h = \log \circ \left(a + \frac{b}{\operatorname{id}_{\mathbb{R}_+}}\right)$, so that $\log \circ (a \operatorname{id}_{\mathbb{R}_+} + b) = \log + h$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} h(x) = a$. Thus, by Theorem 3, $\log \circ (a \operatorname{id}_{\mathbb{R}_+} + b) \in \mathbf{M}$.

THEOREM 4

Let $m, a > 0$ and let $h : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be an increasing function such that $h(x) = m - \frac{a}{x} + R(x)$, where $R(x) = o\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)$, $x \rightarrow +\infty$. Then $h \cdot \log \in \mathbf{M}$.

Proof. We put $g = h \cdot \log$, and we take a function $f \in \mathbf{F}$. Moreover we fix an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in (0, 1)$.

If $g \circ f$ is convex then inequalities (2) and (3) (as in the proof of Theorem 3) hold true. Since $g = h \cdot \log$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & x \{h_{n-1} \log [(n-1)!] - h_{n-2} \log [(n-2)!]\} \\ & \leq h \circ f(n+x) \log [x(x+1) \dots (x+n-1)] \\ & \quad - h_{n-1} \log [(n-1)!] \\ & \leq x \{h_n \log (n!) - h_{n-1} \log [(n-1)!]\}. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

By properties of the logarithmic function we have

$$\begin{aligned} \log \left[\frac{(n-1)!^{h_{n-1}}}{(n-2)!^{h_{n-2}}} \right]^x & \leq \log \frac{[x(x+1) \dots (x+n-1)f(x)]^{h \circ f(x+n)}}{(n-1)!^{h_{n-1}}} \\ & \leq \log \left[\frac{n!^{h_n}}{(n-1)!^{h_{n-1}}} \right]^x. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{[(n-1)!^{h_{n-1}}]^{x+1}}{[(n-2)!^{h_{n-2}}]^x} &\leq [x(x+1)\dots(x+n-1)f(x)]^{h \circ f(x+n)} \\ &\leq \frac{[n!^{h_n}]^x}{[(n-1)!^{h_{n-1}}]^{x-1}} \end{aligned}$$

and next

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\frac{(n-1)!^{(x+1)h_{n-1}}}{(n-2)!^{xh_{n-2}}} \right]^{\frac{1}{h \circ f(x+n)}} &\leq x(x+1)\dots(x+n-1)f(x) \\ &\leq \left[\frac{n!^{xh_n}}{(n-1)!^{(x-1)h_{n-1}}} \right]^{\frac{1}{h \circ f(x+n)}}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows easily that

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\frac{n!^{(x+1)h_n}}{(n-1)!^{xh_{n-1}}} \right]^{\frac{1}{h \circ f(x+n+1)}} &\leq x(x+1)\dots(x+n)f(x) \\ &\leq (x+n) \left[\frac{n!^{xh_n}}{(n-1)!^{(x-1)h_{n-1}}} \right]^{\frac{1}{h \circ f(x+n)}}. \end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Gamma_n(x)}{n!n^x} \left[\frac{n!^{(x+1)h_n}}{(n-1)!^{xh_{n-1}}} \right]^{\frac{1}{h \circ f(x+n+1)}} & \\ \leq f(x) \leq \frac{\Gamma_n(x)(x+n)}{n!n^x} \left[\frac{n!^{xh_n}}{(n-1)!^{(x-1)h_{n-1}}} \right]^{\frac{1}{h \circ f(x+n)}} & \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Let us put

$$l_n = \frac{1}{n!n^x} \left[\frac{n!^{(x+1)h_n}}{(n-1)!^{xh_{n-1}}} \right]^{\frac{1}{h \circ f(x+n+1)}} \tag{6}$$

and

$$r_n = \frac{(x+n)}{n!n^x} \left[\frac{n!^{xh_n}}{(n-1)!^{(x-1)h_{n-1}}} \right]^{\frac{1}{h \circ f(x+n)}}. \tag{7}$$

We notice that

$$l_n = [a_n b_n c_n]^{\frac{1}{h \circ f(n+1+x)}} \tag{8}$$

and

$$r_n = [a_n b_{n-1} d_n]^{\frac{1}{h \circ f(n+x)}} \cdot \frac{x+n}{n} \tag{9}$$

where

$$\log a_n = x(h_n - h_{n-1}) \log [(n-1)!], \tag{10}$$

$$\log b_n = [h_n - h \circ f(n+1+x)] \log (n!), \tag{11}$$

$$\log c_n = x[h_n - h \circ f(n + 1 + x)] \log n \tag{12}$$

and

$$\log d_n = x[h_n - h \circ f(n + x)] \log n. \tag{13}$$

We shall prove that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} l_n = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n = 1.$$

By (10) it is obvious that

$$\frac{\log a_{n+1}}{\log a_n} = A_n \cdot \frac{\log(n!)}{\log[(n-1)!]}, \tag{14}$$

where

$$A_n = \frac{h_{n+1} - h_n}{h_n - h_{n-1}}.$$

By the assumptions of the theorem we have

$$A_n = \frac{m - \frac{a}{(n+1)!} + R[(n+1)!] - m + \frac{a}{n!} - R(n!)}{m - \frac{a}{n!} + R(n!) - m + \frac{a}{(n-1)!} - R[(n-1)!]}.$$

Thus

$$A_n = \frac{(n-1)!}{n!} \cdot \frac{a - \frac{a}{n+1} + n!R[(n+1)!] - n!R(n!)}{a - \frac{a}{n} + (n-1)!R(n!) - (n-1)!R[(n-1)!]}.$$

Because $R(x) = o(\frac{1}{x})$, $x \rightarrow +\infty$, we have

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} xR(x) = 0. \tag{15}$$

Consequently $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n = 0$ and by (14) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \log a_n = 0$, which gives

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 1. \tag{16}$$

Similarly, by (11),

$$\frac{\log b_{n+1}}{\log b_n} = B_n \cdot \frac{\log[(n+1)!]}{\log(n!)}, \tag{17}$$

where

$$B_n = \frac{h_{n+1} - h \circ f(n + 2 + x)}{h_n - h \circ f(n + 1 + x)}$$

and by (1) we have

$$B_n = \frac{m - \frac{a}{(n+1)!} + R[(n+1)!] - m + \frac{a}{f(n+2+x)} - R[f(n+2+x)]}{m - \frac{a}{n!} + R(n!) - m + \frac{a}{f(n+1+x)} - R[f(n+1+x)]}$$

and further

$$\begin{aligned}
 B_n &= \frac{n!}{(n+1)!} \\
 &\times \frac{\frac{a(n+1)!}{f(n+2+x)} - a + (n+1)!R[(n+1)!] - (n+1)!R[f(n+2+x)]}{\frac{an!}{f(n+1+x)} - a + n!R(n!) - n!R[f(n+1+x)]}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Because $f(n+1+x) = x(x+1)\dots(x+n)f(x)$, we have (by (Γ_n))

$$\frac{n!}{f(n+1+x)} = \Gamma_n(x) \cdot \frac{1}{f(x)n^x}$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n!}{f(n+1+x)} = 0.$$

Note that

$$n!R[f(n+1+x)] = f(n+1+x)R[f(n+1+x)] \frac{n!}{f(n+1+x)}$$

so that by (15) we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n!R[f(n+1+x)] = 0.$$

Thus (18) yields $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B_n = 0$ whence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \log b_n = 0$ (by (17)), and finally

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = 1. \tag{19}$$

Similarly (using (12)) we can prove that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n = 1. \tag{20}$$

Finally, by (13) it follows that

$$\frac{\log d_{n+1}}{\log d_n} = D_n \frac{\log(n+1)}{\log n},$$

where

$$D_n = \frac{h_{n+1} - h \circ f(n+1+x)}{h_n - h \circ f(n+x)}.$$

We can observe that

$$D_n = \frac{f(n+x)}{f(n+1+x)} \cdot \frac{S_{n+1}}{S_n},$$

with

$$S_n := a - f(n+x) \left[\frac{a}{n!} - R[f(n+x)] + R(n!) \right]. \tag{21}$$

But

$$\frac{f(n+x)}{n!} = \frac{1}{\Gamma_n(x)} \cdot \frac{n^x f(x)}{x+n} = \frac{f(x)}{\Gamma_n(x)} \cdot \frac{1}{\left(\frac{x}{n} + 1\right) n^{1-x}}$$

and because of $x \in (0, 1)$ we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n+x)}{n!} = 0.$$

The identity

$$f(n+x) R(n!) = n! R(n!) \cdot \frac{f(n+x)}{n!}$$

together with (15) imply

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(n+x) R(n!) = 0.$$

So $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D_n = 0$ because of (15) and (21), whence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \log d_n = 0$ and finally

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n = 1. \tag{22}$$

Thus by relations (8), (9), (16), (19), (20) and (22) we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} l_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n = 1. \tag{23}$$

This implies that $f(x) = \Gamma(x)$ for $x \in (0, 1]$ (as $f(1) = 1 = \Gamma(1)$).

Applying the same inductive argument as in the proof of Theorem 3 we find that $f(x) = \Gamma(x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$, and the proof is completed.

The starting point of the proofs of Theorem 3 and of Theorem 4 is analogous to that in Artin's proof [1] of the Bohr-Mollerup Theorem.

We notice that in a vicinity of $+\infty$ the function \arctan is represented by

$$\arctan x = \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{3x^3} - \frac{1}{5x^5} + \dots$$

Thus we have the following

REMARK 7

The function $\arctan \cdot \log$ is in **M**.

3. Special convex compositions with Γ

It is known that $g \circ \Gamma$ is convex on \mathbb{R}_+ for $g = \log$. We want to present other functions g with this property.

THEOREM 5

Let the functions $g_1, g_2 : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $g_1 := \log + \arctan$ and $g_2 := \log \circ (\text{id}_{\mathbb{R}_+} + a)$, where $a > 0$. Then there is a $\gamma > 0$, such that $g_1 \circ \Gamma$ and $g_2 \circ \Gamma$ are convex on $(\gamma, +\infty)$.

Proof. 1°. Let $\psi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be given by $\psi = (\log \circ \Gamma)'$, and let $g_1 = \log + h$ (where $h = \arctan$). We notice by (Γ) that the function $\psi = \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}$ is represented by the formula

$$\psi(x) = \log x - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1}{x+n} - \log \left(1 + \frac{1}{x+n} \right) \right],$$

so (by the inequality $\log(1+x) \leq x$) we have

$$\psi(x) \leq \log x. \tag{24}$$

Moreover, the derivative of ψ is given by

$$\psi'(x) = \frac{\Gamma''(x)\Gamma(x) - [\Gamma'(x)]^2}{[\Gamma(x)]^2} = \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{2x^2} + \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{4tx}{(t^2+x^2)^2(e^{2\pi t}-1)} dt \tag{25}$$

(see [4] p. 250-251). Thus $\psi' = (\log \circ \Gamma)'' \geq 0$ on $(0, +\infty)$. By the definition of g_1 we see that

$$(g_1 \circ \Gamma)'' = \frac{\Gamma''\Gamma - (\Gamma')^2}{\Gamma^2} + (h'' \circ \Gamma)(\Gamma')^2 + (h' \circ \Gamma)\Gamma''.$$

By properties of h we can see that

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}_+ : h' \circ \Gamma(x) \cdot \Gamma''(x) \geq 0,$$

and

$$h'' \circ \Gamma = \frac{-2\Gamma}{(1+\Gamma^2)^2}.$$

So we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} h'' \circ \Gamma(x) \cdot [\Gamma'(x)]^2 &\geq \frac{-2\Gamma'(x)}{[\Gamma(x)]^3} \\ &= -2 \left[\frac{\Gamma'(x)}{\Gamma(x)} \right]^2 \cdot \frac{1}{\Gamma(x)} = \frac{-2[\psi(x)]^2}{\Gamma(x)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus by conditions (24) and (25) we have:

$$(g_1 \circ \Gamma)''(x) \geq \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{2x^2} - 2 \frac{\log^2 x}{\Gamma(x)} \geq 0$$

for sufficiently large x , say for $x \geq \gamma$. Hence $g_1 \circ \Gamma$ is convex on $(\gamma, +\infty)$.

2°. Now let $a > 0$ and let $g_2 = \log \circ (\text{id}_{\mathbb{R}_+} + a)$. The function $(g_2 \circ \Gamma)''$ is given by the formula

$$(g_2 \circ \Gamma)''(x) = \frac{\Gamma''(x)\Gamma(x) - [\Gamma'(x)]^2 + a\Gamma''(x)}{[\Gamma(x) + a]^2}.$$

Because $\log \circ \Gamma$ and Γ are convex and twice differentiable, we have

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}_+ : \Gamma''(x)\Gamma(x) - [\Gamma'(x)]^2 \geq 0 \text{ and } \Gamma''(x) \geq 0$$

Hence $g_2 \circ \log$ is convex on \mathbb{R}_+ .

Acknowledgement

The author expresses her cordial thanks to Professor H.-H. Kairies for his proposing the study of the problem and fruitful discussions during her visit in the Institute of Mathematics and Informatics of the Technical University of Clausthal, Germany. Thanks are extended to Dr. M. Rose for his kind cooperation.

References

- [1] E. Artin, *Einführung in die Theorie der Gammafunktion*, Hamburger Math. Einzelschr. Heft 1, B. G. Teubner, Leipzig, Berlin, 1931.
- [2] H.-H. Kairies, *Convexity in the theory of the Gamma function*, in: General Inequalities 1, Proceedings of the First International Conference on General Inequalities held in the Math. Research Inst. at Oberwolfach, Black Forest, 1976, ed. by E.F. Beckenbach, ISMN Vol. 41, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, Stuttgart, 1978, 49-62.
- [3] M. Kuczma, *Functional Equations in a Single Variable*, PWN, Warszawa, 1968.
- [4] E.T. Whittaker, G.N. Watson, *A Course of Modern Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1950.

*Faculty of Applied Mathematics
University of Mining and Metallurgy
Al. Mickiewicza 30
PL-30-059 Kraków
Poland
E-mail: wach@uci.agh.edu.pl*

Manuscript received: January 20, 2000 and in final form: March 8, 2001

