# Włodzimierz Waliszewski Oriented angles in affine space

To Andrzej Zajtz, on the occasion of His 70th birthday

**Abstract**. The concept of a smooth oriented angle in an arbitrary affine space is introduced. This concept is based on a kinematics concept of a run. Also, a concept of an oriented angle in such a space is considered. Next, it is shown that the adequacy of these concepts holds if and only if the affine space, in question, is of dimension 2 or 1.

# 0. Preliminaries

Let us consider an arbitrary affine space, i.e. a triple

$$(E, V, \rightarrow), \tag{0}$$

(see [B–B]), where E is a set, V is an arbitrary vector space over reals and  $\rightarrow$  is a function which to any points  $p, q \in E$  assigns a vector  $\overrightarrow{pq}$  of V in such a way that

- 1)  $\overrightarrow{pq} + \overrightarrow{qr} = \overrightarrow{pr}$  for  $p, q, r \in E$ ,
- 2)  $\overrightarrow{pq} = 0$  iff p = q for  $p, q \in E$ ,
- 3) for any  $p \in E$  and any vector x of V there exists  $q \in E$  with  $\overrightarrow{pq} = x$ .

The unique point q for which  $\overrightarrow{pq} = x$  will be denoted by p + x. The set of all vectors of the vector space V will be denoted by  $\underline{V}$ . The fact that W is a vector subspace of V will be written as  $W \leq V$ . For any sets M, N, X, Y, P such that  $M \cup N \subset \mathbb{R}, X \cup Y \subset \underline{V}, P \subset E$ , any  $b \in \mathbb{R}, y \in \underline{V}$  and  $p \in E$  we set

$$\begin{split} M+N &= \{a+b; \ a \in M \ \& \ b \in N\}\,, & M+b = M+\{b\}\,, \\ MN &= \{ab; \ a \in M \ \& \ b \in N\}\,, & bM = \{b\}\,M, \\ MX &= \{ax; \ a \in M \ \& \ x \in X\}\,, & bX = \{b\}\,X, \\ X+Y &= \{x+y; \ x \in X \ \& \ y \in Y\}\,, \\ P+X &= \{p+x; \ p \in P \ \& \ x \in X\}\,, & p+X = \{p\}+X. \end{split}$$

AMS (2000) Subject Classification: 51N10, 51N20, 51L10.

A subset H of E is a hyperplane in an affine space (0) iff there exist  $p \in E$ and  $W \leq V$  such that

$$H = p + \underline{W}.$$
 (1)

The subspace W of V for which (1) holds will be denoted by  $V_H$ . The affine space

$$(H, V_H, \xrightarrow{\rightarrow} H),$$
 (2)

where  $\rightarrow^{H}$  is the restriction of the function  $\rightarrow$  to the set  $H \times H$ , is called the subspace of (0) determined by the hyperplane H. The triple (2), where  $H = \emptyset$ ,  $V_H \leq V$ ,  $\underline{V}_H = \{0\}$  and  $\rightarrow^{H} = \emptyset$  is an affine space and will be treated as a subspace of (0) as well. Also, the set  $\emptyset$  will be considered as a hyperplane in (0). We will write  $W \leq_k V$  instead of to state that a vector subspace W of V is of codimension k in V. In particular,  $W \leq_1 V$  means that W is of codimension 1 in V. We say that H is a hyperplane of codimension k in the affine space (0) iff  $V_H \leq_k V$ .

Any set P of points of the affine space (0), i.e.  $P \subset E$ , such that

$$P = H + \mathbb{R}_{+}e,\tag{3}$$

where H is a hyperplane of codimension 1 in (0),  $e \in \underline{V} \setminus \underline{V}_H$ ,  $\mathbb{R}_+ = \langle 0; +\infty \rangle$ , is said to be a *halfspace* of (0). The hyperplane H in (3) uniquely determined by P is called the *shore* of the halfspace P and denoted by  $P^o$ . The set  $P \setminus P^o$ will be called the *interior* of the halfspace P and denoted by  $P_+$ . It is easy to check that the set  $P^-$  of the form  $E \setminus P_+$  is also a halfspace and the equalities

$$(P^{-})^{o} = P^{o}$$
 and  $(P^{-})_{+} = E \setminus P$  (4)

hold. The set  $E \setminus P$  will be denoted by  $P_-$ . The halfspace  $P^-$  is called the *opposite* one to P. It is easy to verify that (3) yields also

$$P_{+} = P^{o} + (0; +\infty) e, \quad P^{-} = P^{o} + \mathbb{R}_{+} (-e), \quad P_{-} = P^{o} + (-\infty; 0) e \quad (5)$$

where  $e \in \underline{V} \setminus V_H$  and  $H = P^o$ .

Let B be a base of a vector space V. For any  $v \in \underline{V}$  there exists a unique real function  $v_B$  defined on B such that  $\{e; e \in B \& v_B(e) \neq 0\}$  is finite and

$$\mathbf{v} = \sum_{e \in B} \mathbf{v}_B(e) \, e,\tag{6}$$

where the sign of addition in (6) denotes of course a finite operation. This formula will be very useful.

For any topology  $\mathcal{T}$  (see [K]) the set of all points of  $\mathcal{T}$  will be denoted by  $\underline{\mathcal{T}}$ , i.e. by definition we have

$$\underline{\mathcal{T}} = \bigcup \mathcal{T}.$$
(7)

For any set  $A \subset \underline{\mathcal{T}}$  the induced to A topology from the topology  $\mathcal{T}$  will be denoted by  $\mathcal{T}|A$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{T}|A = \{B \cap A; B \in \mathcal{T}\}.$ 

For any affine space (0) the smallest topology containing the set of all sets  $P_+$ , where P is a halfspace of (0) will be called the *topology of the affine space* (0) and denoted by  $top(E, V, \rightarrow)$ . It is easy to check that for any hyperplane H in (0) we have

$$\operatorname{top}(H, V_H, \overset{\to}{}^{H}) = \operatorname{top}(E, V, \overset{\to}{})|H.$$
(8)

Let f be any function. The domain of f will be denoted by  $D_f$ . For any  $A \subset D_f$  the restriction of the function f to the set A and the f-image of A will be denoted by f|A and fA, respectively. Any function may be treated as a set of ordered pairs, and then

$$D_f = \{x; \; \exists y \; \left( (x,y) \in f \right) \}, \qquad f | A = \{ \, (x,y) \, ; \; (x,y) \in f \, \& \, x \in A \}$$

and

$$fA = \{y; \exists x \in A \ ((x, y) \in f)\}.$$

For any set B the f-preimage  $f^{-1}B$  is defined by

$$f^{-1}B = \{x; \exists y \in B \ ((x,y) \in f)\}$$

or, equivalently,  $f^{-1}B = \{x; x \in D_f \& f(x) \in B\}.$ 

Let f be a function with  $D_f \subset \mathbb{R}$ ,  $fD_f \subset E$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $p \in E$ . We say that f tends to p at t in the affine space (0) and we write

$$f(x) \xrightarrow[x \to t]{} p \qquad (\text{in } (E, V, \xrightarrow{}))$$

$$\tag{9}$$

iff for any  $U \in \text{top}(E, V, \rightarrow)$  such that  $p \in U$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  for which  $f(x) \in U$  whenever  $0 < |x - t| < \delta$ . It is easy to prove the following

**Proposition 1** 

For any function f with  $D_f \subset \mathbb{R}$ ,  $fD_f \subset E$ , any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $p \in E$  we have (9) if and only if for any base B of vector space V and any  $e \in B$  we have

$$\overrightarrow{pf(x)}_B(e) \xrightarrow[x \longrightarrow t]{} 0.$$
 (10)

For any vector space V we have well defined the affine space aff V as  $(\underline{V}, V, \rightarrow)$ , where  $\overrightarrow{vw} = w - v$  for  $v, w \in \underline{V}$ . Let  $D_f \subset \mathbb{R}$  and  $fD_f \subset E$ . Setting

$$f' = \left\{ (t, \mathbf{v}); \ t \in D_f \cap (D_f)' \& \frac{1}{x-t} \overrightarrow{f(t)f(x)} \xrightarrow[x \longrightarrow t]{} \mathbf{v} \text{ (in aff } V) \right\},$$
(11)

where for any set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ , A' denotes the set of all cluster points of A, we have defined the derivative function f' of a function f. A function  $f: D_f \to E$ ,  $D_f \subset \mathbb{R}$ , is differentiable iff

$$D_{f'} = D_f. \tag{12}$$

Denoting the natural topology of  $\mathbb{R}$  by  $\mathcal{R}$  we have the topology  $\mathcal{R}|D_f$ . The function f satisfying (12) and having the continuous derivative function f' from  $\mathcal{R}|D_f$  to top aff V is said to be *smooth* in  $(E, V, \rightarrow)$ .

## 1. Runs, *o*-turns, and smooth oriented angles

Before introducing the concept of smooth oriented angle in an arbitrary affine space we introduce a concept of a run and a turn. Any function f smooth in  $(E, V, \rightarrow)$  with  $D_f = \langle a; b \rangle$ , a < b, is said to be a run in  $(E, V, \rightarrow)$ . Let  $o \in E$ . Any run f satisfying one of the following conditions:

$$f(t) = f(u) \neq o \qquad \text{for } t, u \in D_f, \tag{01f}$$

or

 $f'(t), \ \overrightarrow{of(t)}$  are linearly independent for  $t \in D_f$ , (o2f)

is said to be an *o*-turn in  $(E, V, \rightarrow)$ . The set of all *o*-turns in  $(E, V, \rightarrow)$  will be denoted by  $T_o(E, V, \rightarrow)$ . In this set we introduce an equivalence  $\equiv_o$  setting  $f \equiv_o g$  iff  $f, g \in T_o(E, V, \rightarrow)$  and there exist real smooth functions  $\lambda$  and  $\varphi$ such that

(i) 
$$D_{\varphi} = D_{\lambda} = D_f$$
 and  $\varphi D_{\varphi} = D_g$ ,

(ii) 
$$\lambda(t) > 0, \varphi'(t) > 0$$
 and  $\overline{og(\varphi(t))} = \lambda(t) \overline{of(t)}$  for  $t \in D_f$ .

Denoting by  $T_o(E, V, \rightarrow) / \equiv_o$  the set of all cosets in  $T_o(E, V, \rightarrow)$  given by the equivalence  $\equiv_o$  we may define the set  $\operatorname{soa}(E, V, \rightarrow)$  by the equality

$$\operatorname{soa}(E, V, \rightarrow) = \bigcup_{o \in E} T_o(E, V, \rightarrow) / \equiv_o .$$

Any element of this set is said to be a *smooth oriented angle* in the affine space  $(E, V, \rightarrow)$ .

PROPOSITION 2 For any  $o \in E$ ,  $\mathfrak{a} \in T_o(E, V, \rightarrow) / \equiv_o and g \in \mathfrak{a}$  we have

$$\underline{\mathfrak{a}} = \bigcup_{p \in gD_g} (o \, p \, \infty),$$

where

$$\underline{\mathfrak{a}} = \bigcup_{f \in \mathfrak{a}} fD_f \qquad and \qquad (o \, p \, \infty) = \{ o + t \, \overrightarrow{op}^{\, :}; t > 0 \} \, .$$

Proof. Let  $f \in \mathfrak{a}$ . We have then  $f \equiv_o g$ . Taking any  $q \in fD_f$  we get  $q = f(t), t \in D_f$ . Then there exist functions  $\lambda, \varphi$  such that (i) and (ii) hold. Setting  $p = g(\varphi(t))$  we get  $\overrightarrow{oq} = \frac{1}{\lambda(t)} \overrightarrow{op}$ , which yields  $q \in (op \infty)$ , where  $p \in gD_g$ . Now, let  $q \in (op \infty)$ , where  $p \in gD_g$ . We have then  $\overrightarrow{oq} = s \overrightarrow{op}$ , where  $p = g(u), u \in D_g$  and s > 0. Setting  $D_f = D_g$  and  $f(t) = o + s \overrightarrow{og(t)}$  for  $t \in D_f$  we get  $f \equiv_o g$  and  $q = o + s \overrightarrow{op} = o + s \overrightarrow{og(u)} = f(u) \in fD_f$ , so  $(op \infty) \subset \underline{\mathfrak{a}}$ .

## **Proposition 3**

For any  $o \in E$  and  $\mathfrak{a} \in T_o(E, V, \rightarrow) / \equiv_o if o \in U \in top(E, V, \rightarrow)$ , then there exists  $g \in \mathfrak{a}$  such that  $gD_g \subset U$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f \in \mathfrak{a}$  and s > 0. Setting  $D_{f_s} = D_f$  and

$$f_s(t) = o + s \overline{of}(t) \quad \text{for } t \in D_f$$

we have, of course,  $f_s \equiv_o f$ , so  $f_s \in \mathfrak{a}$ . We will prove that

for any halfspace P with  $o \in P_+$  there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for any  $s \in (0; \varepsilon)$  the relation  $f_s D_f \subset P_+$  holds. (\*)

Let P be a halfspace such that  $o \in P_+$ . Then we have  $P = o + \underline{W} + \langle -\beta; +\infty \rangle e$ , where  $W \leq_1 V$ ,  $e \in \underline{V} \setminus \underline{W}$  and  $\beta > 0$ . Then  $P_+ = o + \underline{W} + (-\beta; +\infty)e$ . For any  $t \in D_f$  we have  $\overrightarrow{of(t)} = w(t) + \mu(t)e$ . From continuity of f by Proposition 1 it follows that  $\mu$  is continuous. Thus,  $\mu$  is bounded. So, there exists m > 0 such that  $|\mu(t)| < m$  for  $t \in D_f$ . Hence it follows that  $\overrightarrow{of_s(t)} = s w(t) + s \mu(t)e \in \underline{W} + (-sm; +\infty)e$ , so  $f_s(t) \in o + \underline{W} + (-sm; +\infty)e \subset P_+$  for  $t \in D_f$ , as  $0 < s < \frac{\beta}{m}$ .

Now, assume that  $o \in U \in \text{top}(E, V, \rightarrow)$ . Then there exist halfspaces  $P_1, \ldots, P_n$  such that  $o \in P_{1+} \cap \ldots \cap P_{n+} \subset U$ . By  $(\star)$  for any  $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$  we get  $\varepsilon_j > 0$  such that  $f_s D_f \subset P_{j+}$  as  $s \in (0; \varepsilon_j)$ . Setting  $g = f_s$ , where  $0 < s < \min\{\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_n\}$ , we get  $g D_g \subset U$ .

PROPOSITION 4 If  $o, q \in E$  and  $\mathfrak{a} \in T_o(E, V, \rightarrow) / \equiv_o \cap T_q(E, V, \rightarrow) / \equiv_q$ , then o = q.

*Proof.* Let us suppose that  $o \neq q$ . Take any  $U \in \text{top}(E, V, \rightarrow)$  such that  $q \in U$ . Since  $\mathfrak{a} \in T_q(E, V, \rightarrow) / \equiv_q$ , by Proposition 3 there exists  $g \in \mathfrak{a}$  such that  $gD_g \subset U$ . From the condition  $\mathfrak{a} \in T_o(E, V, \rightarrow) / \equiv_o$  it follows that  $\mathfrak{a} \subset T_o(E, V, \rightarrow)$ . Therefore  $g \in T_o(E, V, \rightarrow)$ , so  $gD_g \subset U \setminus \{o\}$ , and by Proposition 2 we get

$$\underline{\mathfrak{a}} \subset A \qquad \text{where } A = \bigcap_{q \in U \in \operatorname{top}(E,V,\stackrel{\longrightarrow}{})} \quad \bigcup_{p \in U \setminus \{o\}} (o \, p \, \infty).$$

Now, we will prove that  $A \subset (o q \infty)$ . Assume that there exists a point  $x \in A \setminus (o q \infty)$ . Let us set  $C = \{\overrightarrow{oq}, \overrightarrow{ox}\}$ , whenever  $\overrightarrow{ox}$  and  $\overrightarrow{oq}$  are linearly independent and  $C = \{\overrightarrow{oq}\}$  in the opposite case. Then there exists a base B of V with  $C \subset B$ . Let W be the vector subspace of V generated by  $B \setminus \{e\}$ , where  $e = \overrightarrow{oq}$ . Let us set

$$P = o + \underline{W} + \mathbb{R}_+ e.$$

So, we have  $P^{o} = o + \underline{W}$  and  $P_{+} = o + \underline{W} + (0; +\infty)e$ . First, we suppose that  $\overrightarrow{ox}$  and  $\overrightarrow{oq}$  are linearly independent. Then  $x = o + \overrightarrow{ox} \in o + \underline{W} = P^{o}$ . If we assume that  $x \in \bigcup_{p \in P_{+}} (op \infty)$ , then we get  $p \in P_{+}$  with  $x \in (op \infty)$ . Then it should be in turn, p = o + w + te,  $w \in \underline{W}$ , t > 0,  $x = o + u\overline{op}$ , u > 0,  $x = o + uw + ute \in P_{+}$ , which is impossible. Therefore we have  $x \notin \bigcup_{p \in P_{+}} (op \infty) \supset A$ . So,  $\overrightarrow{ox}$  and  $\overrightarrow{oq}$  should be linearly dependent. Thus,  $\overrightarrow{ox} = a \cdot \overrightarrow{oq}$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . Because of  $x \notin (oq \infty)$  we get  $a \leq 0$ . Thus  $x \in P_{-}$ . By definition of  $P_{-}$  we have

$$P_{-} \cap \bigcup_{p \in P_{+}} (o \, p \, \infty) = \emptyset,$$

what yields  $x \notin A$ . So, we have  $A \subset (oq \infty)$ . Hence it follows that  $\underline{\mathfrak{a}} \subset (oq \infty)$ and similarly  $\underline{\mathfrak{a}} \subset (q o \infty)$ . By Proposition 2 we get  $(op \infty) \subset \underline{\mathfrak{a}}$  for some  $p \in gD_g$ . This yields  $(op \infty) \subset (oq \infty) \cap (q o \infty)$ , which is impossible.

The point  $o \in E$  such that  $\mathfrak{a} \in T_o(E, V, \rightarrow) / \equiv_o$  is called the *vertex* of  $\mathfrak{a}$ .

Notice that if  $f, g \in \mathfrak{a} \in T_o(E, V, \rightarrow) / \equiv_o, D_f = \langle a; b \rangle$ , and  $D_g = \langle c; d \rangle$ , then  $\langle o f(a) \infty \rangle = \langle o g(c) \infty \rangle$  and  $\langle o f(b) \infty \rangle = \langle o g(d) \infty \rangle$ , where

$$\langle o p \infty \rangle = \{ o + s \overrightarrow{op}; s \ge 0 \}$$
 for  $p \in E$ . (13)

The sets  $\langle o f(a) \infty \rangle$  and  $\langle o f(b) \infty \rangle$  we called the *former side* and the *latter one* of  $\mathfrak{a}$ , respectively.

## 2. Oriented angles

Consider any affine space (0) and any  $o \in E$ . The set of all functions L such that  $D_L$  is a closed segment in  $\mathbb{R}$  and there exists a function f with  $D_f = D_L$ , continuous from  $\mathcal{R}|D_f$  to  $\operatorname{top}(E, V, \rightarrow)$  such that for any  $t \in D_f$  we have

$$o \neq f(t)$$
 and  $L(t) = \langle o f(t) \infty \rangle$ , (L)

 $\langle of(t) \infty \rangle$  is defined by (13), and one of the following two conditions

(1L) L(t) = L(u) for  $t, u \in D_L$ ,

(2L) for any  $t \in D_L$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  for which

$$L|D_L \cap (t-\delta;t+\delta)$$
 is 1-1,

is satisfied will be denoted by  $(o; E, V, \rightarrow)$ . We set

$$\langle E, V, \stackrel{\longrightarrow}{} \rangle = \bigcup_{o \in E} \langle o; E, V, \stackrel{\longrightarrow}{} \rangle$$

and  $L \equiv M$  iff  $L, M \in \langle E, V, \rightarrow \rangle$  and there exists a real continuous increasing function  $\varphi$  such that  $D_{\varphi} = D_L$ ,  $\varphi D_{\varphi} = D_M$  and  $M \circ \varphi = L$ . It is easy to see that  $\equiv$  is an equivalence.

Elements of the set  $\langle E, V, \rightarrow \rangle / \equiv$  of all cosets of  $\equiv$  will be called *oriented* angles in the affine space (0). The point o such that the equality in (L) is satisfied depending only on the oriented angle for which L belongs is called the *vertex* of this oriented angle. Any oriented angle for which constant function L belongs is said to be zero angle in the affine space (0).

#### **Proposition 5**

For any smooth oriented angle  $\mathfrak{a}$  in the affine space (0) we have the oriented angle  $\langle \mathfrak{a} \rangle$  well defined by the formula

$$\langle \mathfrak{a} \rangle = [f_o] \tag{14}$$

where  $f_o(t) = \langle of(t) \infty \rangle$  for  $t \in D_f$ ,  $f \in \mathfrak{a} \in T_o(E, V, \rightarrow) / \equiv_o, L \in [L] \in \langle E, V, \rightarrow \rangle / \equiv for \ L \in \langle E, V, \rightarrow \rangle$ . The function

$$\operatorname{soa}(E, V, \xrightarrow{\rightarrow}) \ni \mathfrak{a} \longmapsto \langle \mathfrak{a} \rangle$$
 (15)

is 1–1. If dim V > 2, then there exists an oriented angle in (0) which is not of the form  $\langle \mathfrak{a} \rangle$ , where  $\mathfrak{a}$  is a smooth oriented angle in (0).

## LEMMA

If  $l_1$ ,  $l_2$  are real functions,  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$  are vector ones with  $D_{l_1} = D_{l_2} = D_{f_1} = D_{f_2} \subset \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f_j(x) \xrightarrow[x \longrightarrow t]{} e_j$  (in aff(V)),  $j \in \{1, 2\}$ ,  $e_1$ ,  $e_2$  are linearly independent in V and

$$l_1(x)f_1(x) + l_2(x)f_2(x) \xrightarrow[x \longrightarrow t]{} v \quad (in \text{ aff } V),$$

then there exist reals  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$  such that  $l_j(x) \xrightarrow[x \to t]{} c_j$ ,  $j \in \{1, 2\}$ .

*Proof.* There exists a base B in V containing  $\{e_1, e_2\}$ . By Proposition 1 we have  $g_i(x) \xrightarrow[x \longrightarrow t]{} v_B(e_i)$  where

$$g_i(x) = l_1(x)f_1(x)_B(e_i) + l_2(x)f_2(x)_B(e_i)$$
(16)

and

 $f_j(x)_B(e_i) \xrightarrow[x \longrightarrow t]{} e_{jB}(e_i) = \delta_{ji} \qquad (\delta_{ji} - \text{Kronecker's delta}),$ so det  $[f_j(x)_B(e_i); i, j \leq 2] \xrightarrow[x \longrightarrow t]{} 1$ . Therefore, by (16),

$$l_1(x) = \begin{vmatrix} g_1(x) & f_2(x)_B(e_1) \\ g_2(x) & f_2(x)_B(e_2) \end{vmatrix} m(x) \xrightarrow[x \to t]{} \begin{vmatrix} v_B(e_1) & \delta_{21} \\ v_B(e_2) & \delta_{22} \end{vmatrix} = c_1$$

and

$$l_2(x) = \begin{vmatrix} f_1(x)_B(e_1) & g_1(x) \\ f_1(x)_B(e_2) & g_2(x) \end{vmatrix} m(x) \xrightarrow[x \to t]{} \begin{vmatrix} \delta_{11} & \mathbf{v}_B(e_1) \\ \delta_{12} & \mathbf{v}_B(e_2) \end{vmatrix} = c_2,$$
  
where  $m(x) = 1/\det[f_j(x)_B(e_i); \ i, j \le 2]$  and  $c_i = \mathbf{v}_B(e_i).$ 

Proof of Proposition 5. Correctness of the definition of  $\langle \mathfrak{a} \rangle$  by (14) is evident. To prove that (15) is 1–1 assume that  $\langle \mathfrak{a} \rangle = \langle \mathfrak{b} \rangle$ , where  $\mathfrak{a} \in T_o(E, V, \overrightarrow{}) / \equiv_o$  and  $\mathfrak{b} \in T_q(E, V, \overrightarrow{}) / \equiv_q$ . We have (14) and

$$\langle \mathfrak{b} \rangle = [g_q], \quad \text{where } g_q(u) = \langle q \, g(u) \, \infty) \text{ for } u \in D_g, \ g \in \mathfrak{b}.$$
 (14')

By definition of  $\equiv$  we get a continuous increasing function  $\varphi$  such that  $D_{\varphi} = D_f$ ,  $\varphi D_{\varphi} = D_g$  and  $g_q \circ \varphi = f_o$ , i.e. by (14) and (14'),  $\langle q g(\varphi(t)) \infty \rangle = \langle o f(t) \infty \rangle$  for  $t \in D_f$ . Hence q = o and for any  $t \in D_f$  there is

$$\lambda(t) > 0$$
 with  $\overrightarrow{og(\varphi(t))} = \lambda(t) \overrightarrow{of(t)}$ . (17)

This yields, in turn,

$$\lambda(t+s)\overrightarrow{of(t+s)} = \overrightarrow{og(\varphi(t+s))} \xrightarrow[s \to 0]{} \overrightarrow{og(\varphi(t))} = \lambda(t)\overrightarrow{of(t)}$$

and

$$\overrightarrow{of(t+s)} \xrightarrow[s \longrightarrow 0]{} \overrightarrow{of(t)} \neq 0.$$

According to Lemma we get  $\lambda(t+s) \xrightarrow[s \to 0]{} \lambda(t)$ . So,  $\lambda$  is continuous. We have also

$$\frac{1}{s}(\varphi(t+s)-\varphi(t))\cdot\frac{1}{\varphi(t+s)-\varphi(t)}\overrightarrow{g(\varphi(t))g(\varphi(t+s))} - \frac{1}{s}(\lambda(t+s)-\lambda(t))\overrightarrow{of(t)})$$
$$=\lambda(t+s)\cdot\frac{1}{s}\overrightarrow{f(t)\ f(t+s)},$$

$$\frac{1}{\varphi(t+s)-\varphi(t)} \overline{g(\varphi(t))g(\varphi(t+s))} \xrightarrow[s \longrightarrow 0]{} g'(\varphi(t))$$

and

$$\frac{1}{s} \overrightarrow{f(t)f(t+s)} \xrightarrow[s \longrightarrow 0]{} f'(t).$$

First, we consider the case when o-turns f and g satisfy conditions (o2f) and (o2g), respectively. Then by Lemma we have

$$\frac{\varphi(t+s)-\varphi(t)}{s} \xrightarrow[s \longrightarrow 0]{} \varphi'(t) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\lambda(t+s)-\lambda(t)}{s} \xrightarrow[s \longrightarrow 0]{} \lambda'(t).$$

Thus,

$$\varphi'(t)g'(\varphi(t)) - \lambda'(t) \overline{of(t)} = \lambda(t)f'(t) \quad \text{for } t \in D_f.$$
(18)

From the fact that  $\varphi$  is increasing it follows that  $\varphi'(t) \geq 0$ . By (o2f) we have  $\varphi'(t) > 0$ . According to Lemma by (18) and (o2f) we conclude that the functions  $\varphi'$  and  $\lambda'$  are continuous. In other words,  $\varphi$  and  $\lambda$  are smooth. So,  $f \equiv_o g$  and we have  $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{b}$ .

Now, let us assume (o1f). Setting  $\overrightarrow{of(t)} = e$ , by (17), we get  $\overrightarrow{og(u)} = \mu(u)e$ , where  $\mu(u) = \lambda(\varphi^{-1}(u))$  for  $u \in D_g$ . Thus

$$\frac{1}{s}\left(\mu(u+s)-\mu(u)\right)\cdot e = \frac{1}{s}\overline{g(u)g(u+s)} \xrightarrow[s \longrightarrow 0]{} g'(u).$$

By Lemma we get  $g'(u) = \mu'(u)e$ . Hence it follows that g'(u),  $\overrightarrow{og(u)}$  are not linearly independent. Therefore (o1g) holds. Thus, taking any  $u, u_1 \in D_g$  by (17) we get  $\mu(u_1)e = \overrightarrow{og(u_1)} = \overrightarrow{og(u)} = \mu(u)e$ , and  $\mu(u) = \mu(u_1)$ , which yields  $g \equiv_o f$ , i.e.  $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{b}$ . Therefore (15) is 1–1.

Assuming that dim V > 2 we get three vectors  $e_1$ ,  $e_2$ ,  $e_3$  linearly independent in V. Let us set

$$\overrightarrow{og(u)} = \begin{cases} e_1 + u(e_2 - e_1), & \text{when } 0 \le u \le 1, \\ e_2 + (u - 1)(e_3 - e_2), & \text{when } 1 < u \le 2, \end{cases}$$

and  $L(u) = \langle o g(u) \infty \rangle$  for  $u \in \langle 0; 2 \rangle$ . Let us suppose that there exists  $f \in T_o(E, V, \rightarrow)$  such that  $[L] = [f_o]$ , where  $f_o(t) = \langle o f(t) \infty \rangle$  for  $t \in D_f$ . Then there exist a continuous and increasing function  $\varphi$  for which  $D_{\varphi} = D_f$ ,  $L \circ \varphi = f_o$ ,  $\varphi D_{\varphi} = D_L = \langle 0; 2 \rangle$ . Thus, for some function  $\lambda$  with  $D_{\lambda} = D_{\varphi}$  (17) holds. Let us set  $t_1 = \varphi^{-1}(1)$ . Hence it follows that  $\overrightarrow{of(t)} = \alpha_1(t)e_1 + \alpha_2(t)e_2$  as  $t \in D_f$ ,  $t \leq t_1$  and  $\overrightarrow{of(t)} = \beta_2(t)e_2 + \beta_3(t)e_3$  as  $t \in D_f$ ,  $t \geq t_1$ , where  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$ ,  $\beta_2, \beta_3$  are real functions. Thus, by Lemma we get

$$f'(t_1) = \alpha'_1(t_1)e_1 + \alpha'_2(t_1)e_2 = \beta'_2(t_1)e_2 + \beta'_3(t_1)e_3.$$

Then  $\alpha'_{1}(t_{1}) = 0 = \beta'_{3}(t_{1})$ . So,  $f'(t_{1}) = \alpha'_{2}(t_{1})e_{2}$ . On the other hand,

$$\overrightarrow{of(t_1)} = \frac{1}{\lambda(t_1)} \overrightarrow{og(\varphi(t_1))} = \frac{1}{\lambda(t_1)} \overrightarrow{og(1)} = \frac{1}{\lambda(t_1)} e_2$$

The vectors  $f'(t_1)$  and  $\overrightarrow{of(t_1)}$  are linearly dependent. So, (o2f) does not hold. Therefore (o1f) is satisfied, which yields  $\overrightarrow{og(\varphi(t))} = \lambda(t) \overrightarrow{of(t_1)}$  for  $t \in D_{\varphi}$ , i.e.  $\overrightarrow{og(u)} = \lambda(\varphi^{-1}(u)) \overrightarrow{of(t_1)}$  for  $u \in \langle 0; 2 \rangle$ , which is impossible.

## 3. Oriented angles in an Euclidean plane

Let us consider an Euclidean plane, i.e. an affine space (0), dim V = 2, together with a positively defined scalar product  $\underline{V} \times \underline{V} \ni (\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) \mapsto \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}$ . For any  $\mathbf{v} \in \underline{V}$  we set  $|\mathbf{v}| = \sqrt{\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}}$  and for any function f defined on the segment of  $\mathbb{R}$  with values in E we set  $D_f = \langle a; b \rangle$  and for  $t \in D_f$ 

$$|f|(t) = \sup\left\{\sum_{i=0}^{k} \left| \overrightarrow{f(t_i)f(t_{i+1})} \right|; \ a = t_0 < \ldots < t_k = t \ \& \ k \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$
(19)

The function |f| defined by (19) has values in  $\mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ , in general.

## **Proposition 6**

In the Euclidean plane for any oriented angle  $\mathcal{A} \in \langle E, V, \rightarrow \rangle / \equiv$  there exists a unique continuous function  $f: D_f \to E$  such that  $D_f = \langle 0; c \rangle, c > 0, \langle of(\cdot) \infty \rangle \in \mathcal{A},$ 

$$\overrightarrow{of(s)} = 1 \quad for \ s \in D_f,$$
 (20)

o is a vertex of A, and one of the following conditions

$$|f|(s) = 0 \qquad for \ s \in D_f, \tag{0; } f)$$

$$|f|(s) = s \qquad for \ s \in D_f \tag{1; f}$$

is satisfied. We have  $f \in \mathfrak{a} \in T_o(E, V, \rightarrow) / \equiv_o and \mathcal{A} = \langle \mathfrak{a} \rangle$ , where  $\langle \mathfrak{a} \rangle$  is the oriented angle defined by (14).

*Proof.* Let  $L \in \mathcal{A} \in \langle E, V, \rightarrow \rangle / \equiv$ . Then there exists a continuous function h such that  $D_L = D_h = \langle a; b \rangle$  and  $L(t) = \langle o h(t) \infty \rangle$  for  $t \in D_h$ . We consider two cases. First, when (1 L) is satisfied. Then, setting c = b - a and

$$f(s) = o + \frac{1}{\left|\overrightarrow{oh(a+s)}\right|} \overrightarrow{oh(a+s)} \quad \text{for } s \in \langle 0; c \rangle$$

we see that

$$f(s) = f(t) \qquad \text{for } s, t \in D_f \tag{21}$$

and

$$\langle o f(\cdot) \infty \rangle = (s \mapsto L(a+s)) \in \mathcal{A}.$$

The condition (0; f) holds in this case. From (0; f) it follows (21). In the second case we assume (2L). Thus, for any  $t \in D_h$  we have  $\delta_t > 0$  such that the function  $L|D_L \cap (t-\delta_t; t+\delta_t)$  is 1–1. Then there exist  $\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_l \in D_L$  such

that  $\tau_1 < \ldots < \tau_l$  and  $D_L \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^l (a_j; b_j)$ , where  $a_j = \tau_j - \frac{\delta_{\tau_j}}{2}$ ,  $b_j = \tau_j + \frac{\delta_{\tau_j}}{2}$ . We have then 1–1 functions

 $L|D_L \cap \langle a_j; b_j \rangle, \qquad j \in \{1, \ldots, l\}.$ 

Setting,  $g(t) = o + \frac{1}{\left| \overrightarrow{oh(t)} \right|} \overrightarrow{oh(t)}$  we get  $\left| \overrightarrow{og(t)} \right| = 1$  and  $L(t) = \langle o g(t) \infty \rangle$ for  $t \in D_L$  and 1–1 functions  $g|D_g \cap \langle a_j; b_j \rangle$ ,  $D_g = D_L$ . We may assume that  $a_1 = a$  and  $b_l = b$ , so  $D_L \cap \langle a_j; b_j \rangle = \langle a_j; b_j \rangle$  and setting  $g_j = g|\langle a_j; b_j \rangle$  we get

 $|g_j|(t) \le 2\pi$  for  $t \in \langle a_j; b_j \rangle$ .

Hence it follows that for any  $t \in D_g$  we have

$$|g|(t) \le |g|(b) \le \sum_{j=1}^{l} |g_j|(b_j) \le 2l\pi < +\infty.$$

Then the function |g| is finite continuous and increasing. Taking the inverse function  $|g|^{-1}$  to |g| and setting  $f = g \circ |g|^{-1}$  we get the continuous function f with  $D_f = \langle 0; c \rangle$ , where c = |g|(b). It is easy to see that |f| is continuous and increasing and  $L\left(|g|^{-1}(s)\right) = \langle of(s)\infty \rangle$  for  $s \in D_f$ . Therefore, we have (1; f) and  $\langle of(\cdot)\infty \rangle = L \circ |g|^{-1} \equiv L$ , so  $\langle of(\cdot)\infty \rangle \in \mathcal{A}$ . From (20) and (1; f) it follows that there exist orthonormal vectors  $e_1, e_2 \in \underline{V}$  such that

$$\overrightarrow{of(s)} = \cos s \cdot e_1 + \sin s \cdot e_2 \quad \text{for } s \in D_f.$$

Thus f is smooth. Taking  $\mathfrak{a} \in T_o(E, V, \rightarrow) / \equiv_o$  such that  $f \in \mathfrak{a}$  we get  $\mathcal{A} = \langle \mathfrak{a} \rangle$ .

To prove that f is uniquely determined we take a continuous function  $f_1: D_{f_1} \to E$  with  $D_{f_1} = \langle 0; c_1 \rangle$ ,  $c_1 > 0$ ,  $\langle o f_1(\cdot) \infty \rangle \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\left| \overrightarrow{of_1(t)} \right| = 1$  for  $t \in D_{f_1}$  and satisfying  $(0; f_1)$  or  $(1; f_1)$ . Then there exists a real continuous increasing function  $\varphi$  such that  $D_{\varphi} = D_f$  and  $\varphi D_{\varphi} = D_{f_1}$  and  $\langle o f_1(\varphi(s)) \infty \rangle = \langle o f(s) \infty \rangle$  for  $s \in D_f$ . Thus,  $\overrightarrow{of_1(\varphi(s))} = \lambda(s) \overrightarrow{of(s)}$ , where  $\lambda(s) > 0$  for  $s \in D_f$ . Hence it follows that  $1 = \left| \overrightarrow{of_1(\varphi(s))} \right| = \lambda(s) \left| \overrightarrow{of(s)} \right| = \lambda(s)$ , so  $f_1 \circ \varphi = f$ . This yields  $|f_1| \circ |\varphi| = |f|$ . If  $(0; f_1)$  holds, then  $|f_1| = 0$ , so |f| = 0. If  $(1; f_1)$  is satisfied, then  $\varphi = |f| = \operatorname{id}_{\langle 0; c \rangle}$ . Therefore  $f_1 = f$ .

### COROLLARY

If (0) is an affine plane, i.e. dim V = 2, then the function in (15) is 1–1 and maps  $\operatorname{soa}(E, V, \xrightarrow{\rightarrow})$  onto  $\langle E, V, \xrightarrow{\rightarrow} \rangle / \equiv$ .

Indeed, taking any positively defined scalar product in V we get an Euclidean space and we may apply Proposition 6.

## 4. Conclusion

The case when the affine space is 1-dimensional is not of importance however from purely logical point of view the definition of the set  $(E, V, \rightarrow)/\equiv$  is correct.

#### Remark

If the affine space (0) is 1-dimensional, then all elements of  $\langle E, V, \rightarrow \rangle / \equiv$  are zero angles and (15) is 1–1 and maps  $\operatorname{soa}(E, V, \rightarrow)$  onto  $\langle E, V, \rightarrow \rangle / \equiv$ .

Indeed, for any  $\mathcal{A} \in \langle E, V, \rightarrow \rangle / \equiv$  there is  $L \in \mathcal{A}$ , so  $L(t) = \langle o f(t) \infty \rangle$  and  $o \neq f(t)$  for  $t \in D_L$ , where  $f: D_L \to E$  is continuous and (1 L) or (2 L) holds. Let  $0 \neq e \in \underline{V}$ . Then  $\overrightarrow{of(t)} = \lambda(t)e, 0 \neq \lambda(t) \in \mathbb{R}$ . According to Lemma  $\lambda$  is continuous. Thus  $\lambda(t) > 0$  for  $t \in D_L$  or  $\lambda(t) < 0$  for  $t \in D_L$ . We may assume that  $\lambda(t) > 0$ . Therefore  $L(t) = \langle o p \infty \rangle$ , where p = o + e. Setting  $f_1(t) = p$  for  $p \in D_L$  we get a smooth function  $f_1$  for which  $L(t) = \langle o f_1(t) \infty \rangle$  as  $t \in D_L$ . Then we have (1 L). For  $\mathfrak{a} \in T_o(E, V, \rightarrow) / \equiv_o$  such that  $f_1 \in \mathfrak{a}$  we get  $<\mathfrak{a} > = \mathcal{A}$ .

Proposition 5, Corollary to Proposition 6 and the above Remark allows us to conclude our consideration by

### Theorem

For any affine space (0) the function (15) is 1–1. This function maps the set  $\operatorname{soa}(E, V, \rightarrow)$  of all smooth oriented angles in the affine space (0) onto the set  $\langle E, V, \rightarrow \rangle / \equiv$  of all oriented angles in (0) if and only if dim V = 2 or dim V = 1.

## References

- [B-B] A. Białynicki-Birula, Linear Algebra with Geometry (in Polish), Biblioteka Matematyczna [Mathematics Library] 48, PWN, Warszawa, 1974.
- [K] J.L. Kelley, General Topology, D. Van Nostrand Company, Inc., Toronto-New York-London, 1955.

Department of Mathematics University of Łódź Banacha 22 90–238 Łódź Poland