## ANTONI CHRONOWSKI

## Some properties of extensions of loops

The paper is a kind of sequel to the paper [3]. In the paper we shall analyse some aspects of the construction of extensions of loops given in [3]. Moreover, we shall consider this construction in the case when loops are groups.

Definitions of quasigroup, loop, subloop, normal subloop, coset, quotient loop are used according to Bruck [2].

DEFINITION 1. (cf. [3]). A loop  $\sum$  is said to be an extension of a loop K by a loop L if the following conditions hold:

(i) K is a normal subloop of the loop  $\sum_{i}$ 

(ii) the guotient loop  $\sum / K$  and the loop L are isomorphic.

Let K be a normal subloop of a loop  $\sum$ . A mapping s:  $\sum /K \longrightarrow \sum$  is called a selector if it satisfies the following condition:



Let L and K be loops. Let  $f,g: L \rightarrow K$  be arbitrary mappings. By a product fg of the mappings f and g we mean a mapping fg: L  $\rightarrow$  K defined as follows:

(fg)(1) = f(1)g(1)

for l L.

THEOREM 1. Let  $\sum$  and L be loops. Let K be a normal subloop of the loop  $\sum$ . The loop  $\sum$  is an extension of the loop K by the loop L if and only if there exists a mapping  $\delta$ : L -  $\sum$  fulfilling the following conditions:

$$(w_1) \qquad \bigwedge_{x \in \sum} \langle 1, k \rangle \in LxK \qquad x = O(1)k,$$

$$(\mathbf{W}_{2}) \qquad \bigwedge_{\mathbf{l}_{1},\mathbf{l}_{2} \in \mathbf{L}} \left[ \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{l}_{1}\mathbf{l}_{2})\mathbf{K} = \left( \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{l}_{1})\mathbf{K} \right) \left( \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{l}_{2})\mathbf{K} \right) \right].$$

Proof. If the loop  $\Sigma$  is an extension of the loop K by the loop L, then the mapping  $S = s \circ f$ , where s:  $\Sigma/K \rightarrow \Sigma$  is a selector and f:  $L \rightarrow \Sigma/K$  is an isomorphism, satisfies conditions (w<sub>1</sub>) and (w<sub>2</sub>) (cf. [3]).

Let a mapping  $\mathfrak{O}: \mathbb{L} \to \Sigma$  satisfies conditions  $(w_1)$ and  $(w_2)$ . We define a mapping  $f: \mathbb{L} \to \Sigma/\mathbb{K}$  as follows:  $f(1) = \mathfrak{O}(1)\mathbb{K}$ 

for leL.

As an easy consequence of conditions  $(w_1)$  and  $(w_2)$  we obtain that the mapping f is an isomorphism. Then the loop  $\sum$  is an extension of the loop K by the loop L.

THEOREM 2. Let a loop  $\Sigma$  be an extension of a loop K by a loop L. A mapping  $\mathcal{O} : L \rightarrow \Sigma$  satisfies conditions ( $\mathbf{w}_1$ ) and ( $\mathbf{w}_2$ ) if and only if  $\mathcal{O} = \mathbf{s} \circ \mathbf{f}$ , where  $\mathbf{s} \colon \Sigma/K \rightarrow \Sigma$ is a selector and  $\mathbf{f} \colon L \rightarrow \Sigma/K$  is an isomorphism.

Proof. Let  $\mathfrak{S}: L \to \Sigma$  satisfies conditions  $(w_1)$ and  $(w_2)$ . We define a mapping  $f: L \to \Sigma/K$  as follows:  $f(1) = \mathfrak{S}(1)K$ 

for l  $\in$  L. The mapping f is an isomorphism of the loops L and  $\sum/K$ . Define a selector s:  $\sum/K \rightarrow \sum$  by the rule: s(f(1)) = O(1)

for leL. Hence S=sof.

If  $G = s \circ f$ , where  $s: \sum /K \to \sum$  is a selector and f:  $L \to \sum /K$  is an isomorphism, then conditions  $(w_1)$  and  $(w_2)$  are fulfilled (cf. [3]).

LEMMA 1. Let a loop  $\Sigma$  be an extension of a loop K by a loop L. Let  $\mathfrak{C}_1 = \mathfrak{s}_1 \circ \mathfrak{l}_1$ , where  $\mathfrak{s}_1 \colon \Sigma/K \to \Sigma$  is a selector and  $\mathfrak{l}_1 \colon L \to \Sigma/K$  is an isomorphism. Then for an arbitrary automorphism  $\mathcal{R} \in \operatorname{Aut}(L)$  and an arbitrary mapping  $\delta \colon L \to K$  the mapping  $\mathfrak{C} = (\mathfrak{C}_1 \circ \mathfrak{R})\delta$  satisfies conditions  $(\mathfrak{w}_1)$  and  $(\mathfrak{w}_2)$ .

Proof. At first, we shall prove that the mapping of we can represent in the form  $\delta = s \circ f$ , where  $s: \sum /K \rightarrow \sum$ is a selector and  $f: L \rightarrow \sum /K$  is an isomorphism. The mapping  $\delta$  can be written as  $\delta = (s_1 \circ f_1 \circ X)\delta$ . Put  $f = f_1 \circ X$ . Of course,  $f: L \rightarrow \sum /K$  is an isomorphism and  $\delta = (s_1 \circ f) \delta$ .

A mapping s:  $\sum/K \rightarrow \sum$  defined by the following rule: s(f(1)) = s<sub>1</sub>(f(1))  $\delta(1)$ 

for lGL is a selector.

Thus  $\sigma(1) = s_1(f(1)) \delta(1) = s(f(1)) = (s \circ f)(1)$  for an arbitrary l L, hence  $\sigma = s \circ f$ . Applying Theorem 2 we get that the mapping  $\sigma$  satisfies conditions  $(w_1)$  and  $(w_2)$ .

THEOREM 3. Let a loop  $\sum$  be an extension of a loop K by a loop L. Let  $\mathcal{G}_1 = s_1 \circ f_1$ , where  $s_1: \sum/K \rightarrow \sum$  is a selector and  $f_1: L \rightarrow \sum/K$  is an isomorphism. Let  $\mathcal{G}: L \rightarrow \sum$  be an arbitrary mapping. The mapping  $\mathcal{G}$  satisfies conditions  $(w_1)$  and  $(w_2)$  if and only if there exist an automorphism  $\mathcal{R} \in \operatorname{Aut}(L)$  and a mapping  $\mathcal{G}: L \rightarrow K$  such that  $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{G}_1 \circ \mathcal{R}) \mathcal{S}$ .

Proof. If a mapping  $\mathcal{C}$ : L  $\rightarrow \Sigma$  satisfies conditions (w<sub>1</sub>) and (w<sub>2</sub>), then according to Theorem 2  $\mathcal{C} = s \circ f$ , where s:  $\Sigma/K \rightarrow \Sigma$  is a selector and f: L  $\rightarrow \Sigma/K$  is an isomorphism. Notice that the mapping

$$(1) \qquad \qquad \Re = f_1^{-1} \circ f_1$$

is an automorphism of the loop L. And so we have  $f = f_1 \circ \mathcal{R}$ and  $\sigma = s \circ f_1 \circ \mathcal{R}$ . Moreover,

(2)  $s((f_1 \circ \mathcal{X})(1))K = s_1((f_1 \circ \mathcal{X})(1))K$ 

for l∈L. Using equality (2) one can define a mapping E:L → K as follows:

(3)  $s((f_1 \circ \Re)(1)) = s_1((f_1 \circ \Re)(1))\delta(1)$ for leL. Since  $\sigma = s \circ f_1 \circ \Re$  and so  $\sigma(1) = (s \circ f_1 \circ \Re)(1) = ((s_1 \circ f_1 \circ \Re)(1))\delta(1) = (\sigma_1 \circ \Re)(1)\delta(1)$  for  $l \in L$ . Then  $\sigma = (\sigma_1 \circ \mathcal{R}) \delta$ , where  $\mathcal{R} \in \operatorname{Aut}(L)$  is an automorphism defined by rule (1) and  $\delta : L \rightarrow K$  is a mapping defined by formula (3).

If there exist an automorphism  $\mathcal{R}\in \operatorname{Aut}(L)$  and a mapping  $\delta: L \to K$  such that  $\delta = (\sigma_1 \circ \mathcal{R})\delta$ , then from Lemma 1 we get that  $\delta$  satisfies conditions  $(w_1)$  and  $(w_2)$ .

For ease of reference we now write the following definition (cf. [3]).

Let L and K be loops. Let  $\Psi$  : L × K × L × K → K be any mapping fulfilling the following conditions:

1°  $\varphi(1, k, 1, 1) = \psi(1, 1, 1, k) = k$ ,

2° 
$$\varphi(1, k_1, 1, k_2) = k_1 k_2,$$

3° the mapping  $\psi(l_1,k_1,l_2,\cdot): K \to K$  is a bijection, 4° the mapping  $\psi(l_1,\cdot,l_2,k_2): K \to K$  is a bijection,

for 1,  $l_1$ ,  $l_2 \in L$  and k,  $k_1$ ,  $k_2 \in K$ .

DEFINITION 2. An algebraic structure  $(L \times K, \circ)$  with an operation  $\circ$  defined by the formula:

 $\langle l_1, k_1 \rangle \circ \langle l_2, k_2 \rangle = \langle l_1 l_2, \Psi(l_1, k_1, l_2, k_2) \rangle$ for arbitrary pairs  $\langle l_1, k_1 \rangle, \langle l_2, k_2 \rangle \in L \times K$  is called a product  $\langle L ; K \rangle_{\Psi}$ .

A product  $\langle L ; K \rangle \varphi$  is a loop (cf. [3]).

Let a loop  $\Sigma$  be an extension of a loop K by a loop L. Let  $s, s_1: \Sigma/K \rightarrow \Sigma$  be selectors such that  $s(K) = s_1(K) =$ = 1 and let  $f, f_1: L \rightarrow \Sigma/K$  be isomorphisms. We define mappins  $\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}_1: L \rightarrow \Sigma$  in the following way:

 $G = s \circ f$  and  $G_1 = s_1 \circ f_1$ .

By means of the mappings  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $\mathcal{G}_1$  we define mappings  $\psi$ ,  $\psi_1$ : L x K x L x K - K by the formulas:

 $(\sigma(1_1)k_1)(\sigma(1_2)k_2) = \sigma(1_11_2)\psi(1_1,k_1,1_2,k_2),$ 

 $(G_1(l_1)k_1) (G_1(l_2)k_2) = G_1(l_1l_2) \P_1(l_1,k_1,l_2,k_2)$ for  $l_1,l_2 \in L$  and  $k_1,k_2 \in K$ . The loops  $\langle L ; K \rangle_{\varphi}$  and  $\langle L ; K \rangle_{\varphi_1}$  are extensions of the loop  $K^{\texttt{H}} = \{\langle 1 ; k \rangle: k \in K\}$  by the loop L (cf. [3]). The extensions  $\langle L ; K \rangle_{\varphi}$  and  $\langle L ; K \rangle_{\varphi}$  are isomorphic. Indeed, mappings  $F: \sum - \langle L ; K \rangle_{\varphi}$  and  $F_1: \sum - \langle L ; K \rangle_{\varphi_1}$  defined by the rules:

> $F(\mathbf{x}) = F(\mathcal{O}(1)\mathbf{k}) = \langle \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{k} \rangle,$  $F_1(\mathbf{x}) = F_1(\mathcal{O}_1(\mathbf{1}_2)\mathbf{k}_1) = \langle \mathbf{1}_1, \mathbf{k}_1 \rangle,$

for an arbitrary  $\mathbf{x} = \mathcal{O}(1)\mathbf{k} = \mathcal{O}_1(1_1)\mathbf{k}_1 \in \Sigma$  are isomorphisms (cf. [3]). Then the mapping  $\hat{\Psi} = \mathbf{F}_1 \circ \mathbf{F}^{-1}$  is an isomorphism of the extensions  $\langle \mathbf{L}; \mathbf{K} \rangle_{\varphi}$  and  $\langle \mathbf{L}; \mathbf{K} \rangle \varphi_1$ .

According to Theorem 2 the mappings  $\mathcal{S}$  and  $\mathcal{S}_1$  satisfy conditions  $(w_1)$  and  $(w_2)$ . It follows from Theorem 3 that  $\mathcal{S} = (\mathcal{S}_1 \circ \mathcal{X})\mathcal{S}$  for some  $\mathcal{X} \in \operatorname{Aut}(L)$  and mapping  $\mathcal{S} : L = K$ . In the quotient loops  $\langle L ; K \rangle q / K^{\text{H}}$  and  $\langle L ; K \rangle q / K^{\text{H}}$  all cosets have the same form  $\{1\} \times K$  for every  $l \in L$ . It is easy to see that the loops  $\langle L ; K \rangle q / K^{\text{H}}$  and  $\langle L ; K \rangle q / K^{\text{H}}$ are identical.

A mapping g: L  $\rightarrow$   $\langle$  L, K  $\rangle \phi / K^{H}$  defined as follows: g(1) = {1} x K

for  $l \in L$  is an isomorphism (cf. [3]). By means of the mapping g and the automorphism  $\mathcal{R} \in Aut(L)$  we define an

isomorphism  $g_1: L \rightarrow \langle L, K \rangle \varphi / K^H$  putting  $g_1 = g \circ X$ . Notice that  $g(1) = \{\langle 1, k \rangle : k \in K\}$  and  $g_1(1) =$   $= \{\langle 1_1, k \rangle : 1_1 = \mathcal{X}(1) \land k \in K\}$  for every  $1 \in L$ . We shall prove that  $\Psi(g(1)) = g_1(1)$  for  $1 \in L$ . If  $\langle 1, k \rangle \in g(1)$ then  $\Psi(\langle 1, k \rangle) = F_1(F^{-1}(\langle 1, k \rangle)) = F_1(\mathcal{S}(1)k)$ . Since  $\mathcal{S}(1) = (\mathfrak{S}_1 \circ \mathcal{X})(1) \mathcal{S}(1) = \mathcal{S}_1(1_1) \mathcal{S}(1)$ , where  $1_1 = \mathcal{R}(1)$ and so  $\Psi(\langle 1, k \rangle) = F_1(\mathcal{S}(1)k) = F_1((\mathcal{S}_1(1_1) \mathcal{S}(1))k) =$   $= F_1(\mathcal{S}_1(1_1) \mathcal{S}(1)) \circ F_1(k) = \langle 1_1, \mathcal{S}(1) \rangle \circ \langle 1, k \rangle =$   $= \langle 1_1, \Psi_1(1_1, \mathcal{S}(1), 1, k) \rangle \in g_1(1)$ . Since the mappings  $\Psi$ , g,  $g_1$  are isomorphisms, then the inclusion  $\Psi(g(1)) \subset g_1(1)$  implies the equality  $\Psi(g(1)) =$ 

=  $g_1(1)$  for every l∈L. Notice that  $\Psi(\langle 1, k \rangle) =$ =  $F_1(F^{-1}(\langle 1, k \rangle)) = F_1(\Im(1)k) = F_1(\Im_1(1)k) = \langle 1, k \rangle$ for every k∈K.

In the grup theory is known the following definition of an extension of groups (cf. [4]).

DEFINITION 3. A group  $\sum$  is said to be an extension of a group K by a group L if the following conditions hold: (i) K is a normal subgroup of the group  $\sum$ ,

(ii) the quotient group  $\Sigma/K$  and the group L are isomorphic.

Let a group  $\Sigma$  be an extension of a group K by a group L. Let s:  $\Sigma/K \rightarrow \Sigma$  be a selector such that s(K) = 1and let f:  $L \rightarrow \Sigma/K$  be an isomorphism. We define a mapping G :  $L \rightarrow \Sigma$  as follows:

Let 4: L x K x L x K - K be a mapping defined by the

following rule:

(4)  $(\mathcal{S}(l_1)k_1)(\mathcal{S}(l_2)k_2) = \mathcal{S}(l_1l_2)\Psi(l_1,k_1,l_2,k_2)$ for arbitrary  $l_1, l_2 \in L$  and  $k_1, k_2 \in K$ .

We shall prove that the mapping  $\varphi$  has the form:

$$\varphi(1_{1},k_{1},1_{2},k_{2}) = \lambda(1_{1},1_{2})\mu(k_{1},1_{2})k_{2}$$

for arbitrary  $l_1, l_2 \in L$  and  $k_1, k_2 \in K$ , where mappings  $\mathcal{N} : L \times L \rightarrow K$  and  $\mathcal{U} : K \times L \rightarrow K$  satisfy the following conditions:

In view of Theorem 2 the mapping  $\mathcal{S}$  satisfies condition (w<sub>2</sub>) which may be written in the form: (5)  $(\mathcal{S}(l_1) \mathcal{S}(l_2)) \mathbb{K} = \mathcal{S}(l_1 l_2) \mathbb{K}$ for arbitrary  $l_1, l_2 \in L$ .

Using (5) we can define a mapping  $h : L \ge L \rightarrow K$  in the following way:

$$\sigma(1_1)\sigma(1_2) = \sigma(1_11_2)\lambda(1_1,1_2)$$

for arbitrary  $l_1, l_2 \in L$ .

A mapping L: K x L -> K we define by the rule:

$$\mu(k, 1) = O(1)^{-1}kO(1)$$

for arbitrary l E L and k E K.

We shall prove that the mappings  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  satisfy conditions  $(a_1) - (a_7)$ . It is easy to check that conditions  $(a_1) - (a_4)$  hold. If  $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{K}$  and  $l \in L$ , then  $\mu(k_1, k_2, l) =$  $= \mathcal{O}(1)^{-1} k_1 k_2 \mathcal{O}(1) = (\mathcal{O}(1)^{-1} k_1 \mathcal{O}(1)) (\mathcal{O}(1)^{-1} k_2 \mathcal{O}(1)) =$ =  $\mu(k_1, 1) \mu(k_2, 1)$  and so condition  $(a_5)$  is fulfilled. If keK and l<sub>1</sub>, l<sub>2</sub> eL, then  $\mu(k, l_1 l_2) = d(l_1 l_2)^{-1} k d(l_1 l_2) =$ =  $\left[ \delta(l_1) \delta(l_2) \lambda(l_1, l_2)^{-1} \right]^{-1} k \left[ \sigma(l_1) \sigma(l_2) \lambda(l_1, l_2)^{-1} \right] =$ =  $\lambda(l_1, l_2) \delta(l_2)^{-1} (\delta(l_1)^{-1} k \delta(l_1)) \delta(l_2) \lambda(l_1, l_2)^{-1} =$  $= \lambda(1_1,1_2) (\mathfrak{G}(1_2)^{-1} \mathfrak{U}(k,1_1) \mathfrak{G}(1_2)) \lambda(1_1,1_2)^{-1} =$ =  $\lambda(1_1,1_2) \mu(\mu(k,1_1),1_2) \lambda(1_1,1_2)^{-1}$ and so condition (a6) is fulfilled. If 1,12 EL and k1,k2 EK, then  $(6)(\sigma(1_1)k_1)(\sigma(1_2)k_2) = \sigma(1_11_2)\lambda(1_1,1_2)\mu(k_1,1_2)k_2.$ Indeed,  $(\mathcal{O}(1_1)k_1)(\mathcal{O}(1_2)k_2) =$  $= \delta(1_1)\delta(1_2)(\delta(1_2)^{-1}k_1\delta(1_2))k_2 =$  $= \delta(1_1) \delta(1_2) \mu(k_1, 1_2) k_2 = \delta(1_1 1_2) \lambda(1_1, 1_2) \mu(k_1, 1_2) k_2.$ If  $l_1, l_2, l_3 \in L$  and  $k_1, k_2, k_3 \in K$ , then  $(\mathcal{G}(1_1)k_1)[(\mathcal{G}(1_2)k_2)(\mathcal{G}(1_3)k_3)] = [(\mathcal{G}(1_1)k_1)(\mathcal{G}(1_2)k_2)](\mathcal{G}(1_3)k_3).$ Using (6) we have:  $(\delta(1_1)k_1)[(\delta(1_2)k_2)(\delta(1_3)k_3)] =$ =  $(\mathcal{G}(1_1)k_1) [\mathcal{G}(1_21_3)(\lambda(1_2,1_3)\mu(k_2,1_3)k_3] =$ =  $\delta(1_1 1_2 1_3) \lambda(1_1, 1_2 1_3) \mu(k_1, 1_2 1_3) \lambda(1_2, 1_3) \mu(k_2, 1_3) k_3;$  $[(d(1_1)k_1) (d(1_2)k_2)](d(1_3)k_3) =$ =  $[d(l_1 l_2) (\lambda(l_1, l_2) \mu(k_1, l_2) k_2)] (d(l_3) k_3) =$ 

=  $\delta(l_1l_2l_3)\lambda(l_1l_2,l_3)\mu(\lambda(l_1,l_2)\mu(k_1,l_2)k_2,l_3)k_3$ . Hence,  $\lambda(l_1,l_2l_3)\mu(k_1,l_2l_3)\lambda(l_2,l_3)\mu(k_2,l_3) =$ =  $\lambda(l_1l_2,l_3)\mu(\lambda(l_1,l_2)\mu(k_1,l_2)k_2,l_3)$ . Applying conditions (a<sub>6</sub>) and (a<sub>5</sub>) to the left side and the right side of the above equality, respectively, we get:  $\lambda(l_1,l_2l_3)\lambda(l_2,l_3)\mu(\mu(k_1,l_2),l_3)\lambda(l_2,l_3)^{-1}\lambda(l_2,l_3)\mu(k_2,l_3) =$ =  $\lambda(l_1l_2,l_3)\mu(\lambda(l_1,l_2),l_3)\mu(\mu(k_1,l_2),l_3)\mu(k_2,l_3)$ and this means that condition (a<sub>7</sub>) holds. Comparing equalities (4) i (6) we obtain

$$\Psi(\mathbf{1}_{1},\mathbf{k}_{1},\mathbf{1}_{2},\mathbf{k}_{2}) = \lambda(\mathbf{1}_{1},\mathbf{1}_{2})\,\Psi(\mathbf{k}_{1},\mathbf{1}_{2})\mathbf{k}_{2}$$

for li,lo EL and ki,ko EK.

It follows from [3] that the group  $\sum$  and the loop  $\langle L; K \rangle_{\psi}$ , where  $\psi$  is a mapping defined by formula (4) are isomorphic, thus  $\langle L ; K \rangle_{\psi}$  is a group.

If a mapping  $\Psi$ : L X K X L X K  $\rightarrow$  K has the form (7)  $\Psi(l_1, k_1, l_2, k_2) = \lambda(l_1, l_2) \mu(k_1, l_2) k_2$ for arbitrary  $l_1, l_2 \in L$  and  $k_1, k_2 \in K$ , where mappings  $\lambda$ : L X L  $\rightarrow$  K and  $\mu$ : K X L  $\rightarrow$  K satisfy conditions (a<sub>1</sub>) - (a<sub>7</sub>), then  $\langle L ; K \rangle_{\Psi}$  is a group.

It is easy to check that conditions  $1^{\circ} - 4^{\circ}$  of Definition 2 are fulfilled, then  $\langle L ; K \rangle_{\downarrow}$  is a loop.

We shall show that the operation  $\circ$  in the loop  $\langle L ; K \rangle_0$  is associative.

If  $l_1, l_2, l_3 \in L$  and  $k_1, k_2, k_3 \in K$ , then  $\langle l_1, k_1 \rangle \circ [\langle l_2, k_2 \rangle \circ \langle l_3, k_3 \rangle] =$  $= \langle l_1 l_2 l_3, \varphi(l_1, k_1, l_2 l_3, \varphi(l_2, k_2, l_3, k_3)) \rangle$ 

and 
$$[\langle l_1, k_1 \rangle \circ \langle l_2, k_2 \rangle] \circ \langle l_3, k_3 \rangle =$$
  
=  $\langle l_1 l_2 l_3, \Psi(l_1 l_2, \Psi(l_1, k_1, l_2, k_2), l_3, k_3) \rangle$ .  
Applying  $(a_6)$ ,  $(a_7)$  and  $(a_5)$  we obtain:  
 $\Psi(l_1, k_1, l_2 l_3, \Psi(l_2, k_2, l_3, k_3)) =$   
=  $\lambda(l_1, l_2 l_3) \mu(k_1, l_2 l_3) \lambda(l_2, l_3) \mu(k_2, l_3) k_3 =$   
=  $\lambda(l_1, l_2 l_3) \lambda(l_2, l_3) \mu(\mu(k_1, l_2), l_3) \lambda(l_2, l_3)^{-1} \lambda(l_2, l_3) \mu(k_2, l_3) k_3 =$   
=  $\lambda(l_1, l_2 l_3) \lambda(l_2, l_3) \mu(\mu(k_1, l_2), l_3) \mu(k_2, l_3) k_3 =$   
=  $\lambda(l_1 l_2, l_3) \mu(\lambda(l_1, l_2), l_3) \mu(\mu(k_1, l_2), l_3) \mu(k_2, l_3) k_3 =$   
=  $\lambda(l_1 l_2, l_3) \mu(\lambda(l_1, l_2) \mu(k_1, l_2) k_2, l_3) k_3 =$   
=  $\lambda(l_1 l_2, l_3) \mu(\lambda(l_1, l_2) \mu(k_1, l_2) k_2, l_3) k_3 =$   
=  $\Psi(l_1 l_2, \Psi(l_1, k_1, l_2, k_3), l_2, k_3)$ .  
Thus  $\langle L ; K \rangle_{\Psi}$  is a group.

It follows from the considerations in [3] that the group  $\langle L ; K \rangle_{\varphi}$ , where the mapping  $\varphi$  has form (7), is an extension up to isomorphism of the group K by the group L.

In this way the problem of determination of all extensions of a group K by a group L can be reduced to the construction of all products  $\langle L ; K \rangle_{\gamma}$ , where the mapping  $\gamma$ has form (7).

## References

- [1] Belousov V.D., Foundations of the theory of quasigroups and loops /Russian/. Nauka, Moscow, 1967.
- [2] Bruck R.H., A survey of binary systems. Springer, Berlin

   Heidelberg New York, 1966.

- [3] Chronowski A., On Schreier extension of loops. Dem. Math., Vol. XV, No 1 /1982/, 105-112.
- [4] Kuros A.G., The theory of groups /Russian/. Nauka, Moscow, 1967.