

## Convex functions and some set classes

*Dedicated to Professor Zenon Moszner with best wishes on his 60-th birthday*

Let  $X$  be a real linear space and let  $D \subset X$  be a nonempty convex set. A function  $f:D \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$  is called  $J$ -convex iff the inequality

$$f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \leq \frac{f(x) + f(y)}{2} \quad (1)$$

holds for every  $x, y \in D$ . It is a well known result that every  $J$ -convex function  $f:D \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$  satisfies the inequality

$$f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \leq \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y) \quad (2)$$

for all  $x, y \in D$  and every rational  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ . A function  $f:D \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$  is convex iff (2) holds for all  $(x, y \in D$  and)  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ . Let us assume that  $X$  is endowed with a topology  $\mathcal{T}$  such that the function  $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \times X \times X \rightarrow X$  given by the formula  $\varphi(\lambda, x, y) = \lambda x + y$  is separately continuous with respect to each variable. Such a topology will be called semilinear. Some basic properties of semilinear topologies may be found in [3], [5] and [6]. Note, that any linear topology in a real linear space is semilinear. We recall that a real linear space  $X$  endowed with a semilinear topology is a

Baire space whenever every nonempty open subset of  $X$  is of the second category.

Let  $(X, \mathcal{J})$  be a real linear space endowed with a semilinear topology  $\mathcal{J}$ . In [3] (cf. also [4]) we introduced the following class of sets;

$\zeta(X) = \{T \subset X; \text{ if } D \subset X \text{ is a convex and open set such that } T \subset D \text{ and } f: D \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty) \text{ is a } J\text{-convex function which is lower semicontinuous at every point of } T, \text{ then } f \text{ is continuous in } D\}$ .

We agree that  $f$  is lower semicontinuous at every point  $x \in D$  at which  $f(x) = -\infty$ , and if  $f(x) = -\infty$ , then  $f$  is continuous at  $x$  iff  $f = -\infty$  in a neighbourhood of  $x$ . Also, following [3] (cf. also [1] and [4]), by  $\mathcal{A}_c(X)$  we denote the family of all sets  $T \subset X$  with the property that if  $D \subset X$  is a convex open set such that  $T \subset D$  and  $f: D \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$  is a  $J$ -convex function such that the restriction  $f|_T$  is continuous, then  $f$  is continuous in  $D$ .

The main result concerning these classes of sets presented in [3] (if  $X$  is a real linear space endowed with a semilinear Baire topology) states that

$$\mathcal{A}_c(X) \subset \zeta(X). \quad (3)$$

In this paper we shall use some facts presented in [3], [4] and [6] and therefore we shall give their full form. First we recall the definition of the lower hull of a function  $f$  defined on a subset  $D$  of a topological space  $(X, \mathcal{J})$  with values in  $[-\infty, \infty)$ ;

$$m_f(x) = \sup_{U \in \mathcal{J}_x} \inf_{t \in U \cap D} f(t), \quad x \in D,$$

where  $\mathcal{J}_x$  denotes the family of all open subsets of  $X$  containing the point  $x$ .

LEMMA 1 ([3, Theorem 4.4], also [4, Lemma 5]). Let  $X$  be a topological space, let  $D \subset X$  be an open set and let  $f: D \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$  be a function. The function  $f$  is lower semicontinuous at a point  $x \in D$  iff  $f(x) = m_f(x)$ .

LEMMA 2. ([3, Theorem 4.2], also [6, Proposition 2]). Let  $X$  be a real linear space endowed with a semilinear topology  $\mathcal{T}$ , let  $D \subset X$  be an open and convex set, and let  $f: D \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$  be a  $J$ -convex function. The lower hull  $m_f$  of  $f$  is convex in  $D$ . If  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is a Baire space then  $f$  is continuous.

Theorem 1 give some sufficient conditions for a set to belong to the class  $\mathcal{A}_C(X)$ .

THEOREM 1. Let  $X$  be a real linear space endowed with a semilinear topology and let  $T \subset X$  be a subset. If there exist a point  $z \in T$  and a sequence  $(U_n, n \in \mathbb{N})$  ( $\mathbb{N}$  denotes the set of all positive integers) of neighbourhoods of  $z$  such that

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n = \{z\} \text{ and } \frac{1}{2} [(T \cap U_n) + (T \cap U_n)] \supset U_n \quad (4)$$

for every positive integer  $n$ , then  $T$  belongs to  $\mathcal{A}_C(X)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $D$  be an open and convex subset of  $X$  and assume that  $T \subset D$ . Let  $f: D \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$  be an arbitrary  $J$ -convex function such that  $f|_T$  is continuous. Hence and by (4) we get  $f(t) \leq M$  for all  $t \in U_n \cap T$ , where  $M$  is a constant, and  $n$  is a positive integer. It follows from the  $J$ -convexity of  $f$  and (4) that  $f$  is bounded above on a nonempty open set  $U_n$ . By a theorem of Bernstein-Doetsch ([3, [5])  $f$  is continuous and, therefore,  $T$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{A}_C(X)$ .

COROLLARY 1. Let  $X$  be a real linear space endowed with a semilinear topology. Every set  $T$  containing a second category subset with the Baire property belongs to the class  $\mathcal{A}_C(X)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $S = (G \setminus P) \cup R$  be a subset of  $T$  such that  $G$  is a nonempty open set and  $P$  and  $R$  are of the first category. Let us fix a  $z \in G \setminus P$  and take an arbitrary sequence of neighbourhoods  $(U_n; n \in \mathbb{N})$  of  $z$  such  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n = \{z\}$  and  $U_n \subset G_n$  for every positive integer  $n$ . As in Lemma 3 [5], we can prove the second part of (4). The rest of the proof follows from Theorem 1.

In the case of a real linear topological space, Corollary 1 may be found in [4]. It is still an open problem whether the inclusion in (3) is strict. However, we have the following.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $X$  be a real linear space endowed with a semilinear topology, let  $D$  be an open and convex subset of  $X$ . If  $T \subset D$  is a  $J$ -convex subset (i.e.  $\frac{T+T}{2} \subset T$ ) belonging to the class  $\zeta(X)$  and  $T$  is dense in  $D$ , then  $T$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{A}_c(X)$ .*

*Proof.* It is enough to show that every  $J$ -convex function  $f: D \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$  such that  $f|_T$  is continuous, is continuous in  $D$ . So, let us consider such a function  $f$ . We define a function  $F: D \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$  by the formula

$$F(x) = \sup_{U \in \mathcal{G}_x, U \subset D} \inf_{t \in U \cap T} f(t), \quad x \in D. \tag{5}$$

It is easily seen that  $F(x) \leq f(x)$  for every  $x \in T$ . We shall show that

$$F(x) = f(x) \text{ for every } x \in T \tag{6}$$

Suppose that  $F(x) < f(x)$  for an  $x \in T$ . It follows from (5) that there exists an  $\epsilon > 0$  with the property

$$\inf_{t \in U \cap T} f(t) < f(x) - \varepsilon$$

for all neighbourhoods  $U \in \mathcal{T}_x$ . By the density of  $T$  in  $D$  there exists a sequence  $(t_n, n \in \mathbb{N}) \subset T$  convergent to  $x$  and such that

$$f(t_n) < f(x) - \varepsilon \tag{7}$$

for each positive integer  $n$ . Since  $f|_T$  is continuous and  $x \in T$ , the inequality (7) is false. This proves (6).

Let us fix an arbitrary  $x \in D$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . According to (5), there exists a neighbourhood  $U \in \mathcal{T}_x$ ,  $U \subset D$ , such that

$$F(x) - \varepsilon \leq \inf_{t \in U \cap T} f(t). \tag{8}$$

Let us take an arbitrary  $u \in U$ . Then  $U \in \mathcal{T}_u$  and hence also (cf. (5)).

$$\inf_{t \in U \cap T} f(t) \leq F(u). \tag{9}$$

Inequalities (8) and (9) imply that

$$F(x) - \varepsilon \leq F(u) \text{ for every } u \in U,$$

which shows that  $F$  is lower semicontinuous at  $x$ . Due to arbitrariness of  $x$   $F$  is lower semicontinuous at every point  $x$  of  $D$ .

Let  $x, y$  be arbitrary points of  $D$ . Since  $T$  is dense in  $D$  there exist sequences  $(x_n, n \in \mathbb{N})$  and  $(y_n, n \in \mathbb{N})$  of elements of  $T$  convergent to  $x$  and  $y$ , respectively. We may assume (see (5)) that

$$f(x_n) \leq F(x) \text{ and } f(y_n) \leq F(y) \quad (10)$$

for any positive integer  $n$ . Evidently  $z_n = \frac{x_n + y_n}{2}$  is convergent to  $\frac{x+y}{2}$  and, moreover, by our assumption on  $T$ ,  $z_n$  belongs to the set  $T$ . By the lower semicontinuity of  $F$  at every point of  $D$ , given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exist a positive integer  $m$  such that

$$F\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - \epsilon \leq F\left(\frac{x_n + y_n}{2}\right) = F(z_n) \quad (11)$$

for each positive integer  $n \geq m$ . On account of (6), by the  $J$ -convexity of  $f$  and by (10) we get

$$\begin{aligned} F(z_n) = f(z_n) &= f\left(\frac{x_n + y_n}{2}\right) \leq \frac{f(x_n) + f(y_n)}{2} \\ &\leq \frac{F(x) + F(y)}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Inequalities (11) and (12) imply

$$F\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - \epsilon \leq \frac{F(x) + F(y)}{2},$$

and consequently  $F$  is  $J$ -convex function in  $D$ . Since  $F$  is lower semicontinuous at every point of  $T$  and  $T \in \zeta(X)$ ,  $F$  is continuous in  $D$ .

Let us put

$$g(x) = \max (f(x), F(x)), \quad x \in D. \quad (13)$$

Of course,  $g: D \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$  is a J-convex function. Moreover, by the definitions (13) and of the lower hull

$$m_g(x) \geq m_F(x) \text{ for each } x \in D.$$

On account of Lemma 1,  $m_F(x) = F(x)$  for every  $x \in D$ . Hence

$$m_g(x) \geq F(x), \quad x \in D.$$

Suppose that for some  $x \in D$  we have

$$m_g(x) > F(x).$$

In virtue of the lower semicontinuity of  $m_g$  (cf. Lemma 2) and of the continuity of  $F$  in  $D$  we infer that there exist an  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a neighbourhood  $U \in \mathcal{J}_x$  such that

$$F(u) + \varepsilon < m_g(x) - \varepsilon \leq m_g(u)$$

for every  $u \in U$ . Thus, for every  $u \in U$ , we have

$$F(u) + \varepsilon < g(u), \quad (14)$$

in virtue of the inequality  $m_g \leq g$  (cf. the definition of the lower hull). By (13) and (14) we obtain

$$g(u) = f(u) \text{ for every } u \in U.$$

Thus

$$f(u) > F(u) + \varepsilon, u \in U. \tag{15}$$

It follows from the continuity of  $F$  at  $x$  that there exists a neighbourhood  $V \in \mathcal{T}_x$ ,  $V \subset U$ , such that

$$F(x) < F(v) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

for all  $v \in V$ , which together with (15) implies

$$f(v) > F(x) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, v \in V.$$

The last inequality is false (see (5)) and, therefore,

$$m_\varepsilon(x) = F(x) \text{ for every } x \in D. \tag{16}$$

Relations (6), (13) and (16) imply that

$$m_\varepsilon(x) = g(x) \text{ for every } x \in T.$$

According to Lemma 1  $g$  is lower semicontinuous at every point of  $T$ . Since  $T \in \zeta(X)$ ,  $g$  is continuous in  $D$ . Hence  $g$  is bounded above on a nonempty open subset  $W$  of  $D$  and since  $f \leq g$ ,  $f$  is bounded above on  $W$ . Thus  $f$  is continuous, in virtue of a theorem of Bernstein-Doetsch ([5], [6]). This finishes the proof of Theorem 2.



As we see, the sets of the class  $\mathcal{A}_C(X)$  cannot be "too small". In [2] we have proved that there exists a subset  $T$  of real line  $\mathbb{R}$  which does not belong to  $\mathcal{A}_C(\mathbb{R})$  and such that  $T + T = \mathbb{R}$ . Now we shall show that there exists a "large" family of sets  $T$  which does not belong to  $\zeta(\mathbb{R})$  (and hence also to  $\mathcal{A}_C(\mathbb{R})$ ) and such that  $T + T = \mathbb{R}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the family of all non-monotonic convex functions defined on the space  $\mathbb{R}$ . Take a discontinuous additive function  $a: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $a(Q) = \{0\}$  ( $Q$  denotes here the set of all rationals). For an arbitrary  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  we define

$$T = \{x \in \mathbb{R}; a(x) \leq f(x)\}. \quad (17)$$

First we shall show that  $T + T = \mathbb{R}$ . Consider an  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . There exists a rational  $r$  such that the points  $x + r$  and  $x - r$  belong to  $T$  (since otherwise  $f$  must be monotonic). Therefore

$$x = \frac{x+r+x-r}{2} \in (T + T) \frac{1}{2}. \text{ Hence } T + T = \mathbb{R}$$

Let us put

$$F(x) = \max (a(x), f(x)), x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (18)$$

Note that  $F$  is a discontinuous  $J$ -convex function. It is not hard to check that

$$m_F(x) = f(x), x \in \mathbb{R} \quad (19)$$

(cf. also Example 1 in [4]). If  $x \in T$  then  $a(x) \leq f(x)$  and consequently  $F(x) = f(x)$ ,  $x \in T$ . Hence and by (19)

$m_F(x) = F(x)$  for every  $x \in T$ .

This means (by Lemma 1) that  $F$  is lower semicontinuous at every point of the set  $T$ . Since  $F$  is discontinuous  $T$  does not belong to  $\zeta(\mathbb{R})$ .

REMARK. If we put  $f(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  in (17) we get an example of a dense set  $T$  with the properties:  $\frac{T+T}{2} \subset T$  and  $T \notin \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{R})$  (the function  $F$  defined by (18) is  $J$ -convex and the restriction of  $F|_T (= 0)$  is continuous). This shows that the assumption that  $T \in \zeta(X)$  is essential in Theorem 2.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Kominek B., Kominek Z., *On some set classes connected with the continuity of additive and  $Q$ -convex functions*, Prace Nauk. Univ. Śl. Nr 218, Prace Matematyczne 8 (1978) 60-63.
- [2] Kominek Z., *On the continuity of  $Q$ -convex and additive functions*, Aequationes Math. 23 (1981) 146-150.
- [3] Kominek Z., *Convex Functions in Linear Spaces*, Prace Nauk. Univ. Śl. w Katowicach, Nr 1087 (1989) 1-70.
- [4] Kominek Z. and Kuczma M., *On the lower hull of convex functions*, Aequationes. Math., 38 (1989) 192-210.
- [5] Kominek Z. and Kuczma M., *Theorems of Bernstein-Doetsch, Piccard and Mehdi and semilinear topology*, Arch. Math. 52 (1989) 595-602.
- [6] Kominek Z. and Kuczma M., *Theorem of Bernstein-Doetsch in Baire spaces* Annales. Math. Silesianae, 5(1991) 10-17.