BARBARA PILECKA-OBORSKA

On prolongations of binary operations

Dedicated to Professor Zenon Moszner with best wishes on his 60-th birthoday

Let $X^* \subset X$ and " \circ ":X x X* \cup X* x X \longrightarrow X be a binary operation fulfilling the following conditions

- 1° $x \circ y = y \circ x$ for $x \in X^*$, $y \in X$;
- 2° if the operations x \circ y, (x \circ y) \circ z, y \circ z, x \circ (y \circ z) are defined, then

 $(x \circ y) \circ z = x \circ (y \circ z).$

Z. Moszner asked in [1] if it is possible to prolong the binary operation "•" fulfilling 1° and 2° to a commutative and associative operation on the set X. We shall answer this question under the following additional conditions

$$(W) \begin{cases} "\circ" : X \times X^* \cup X^* \times X \longrightarrow X^*, \\ \text{conditions } 1^\circ, \ 2^\circ \text{ are fulfilled and the functions} \\ f_\alpha : X \longrightarrow X^* \text{ where} \\ f_\alpha(x) := \alpha \circ x \text{ for } x \in X, \ \alpha \in X^* \\ \text{ are injective functions.} \end{cases}$$

We shall prove

THEOREM 1. A binary operation "•" fulfilling the condition (W) can be prolonged to a commutative and associative operation on the set X if and only if

Proof. If the operation "•" fulfils the condition (W) and it is commutative and associative on the set X, then for arbitrary $a,b \in X-X^*$, $x \in X^*$ the condition 3° is fulfilled for $x := a \circ b$.

Let us assume that the operation " \circ " fulfils the condition (W), a,b \in X-X* and the condition 3° is satisfied. It follows from 3° that for given x, x \in X* equations

 $\mathbf{x} \circ \mathbf{x}_{o} = \mathbf{a} \circ (\mathbf{b} \circ \mathbf{x}_{o}),$ $\mathbf{y} \circ \mathbf{x}_{1} = \mathbf{a} \circ (\mathbf{b} \circ \mathbf{x}_{1})$

have solutions. From 2° and from the above equations we have also

$$x \circ (x_{o} \circ x_{1}) = (x \circ x_{o}) \circ x_{1} = [a \circ (b \circ x_{o})] \circ x_{1}$$
$$= a \circ [(b \circ x_{o}) \circ x_{1}] = a \circ [b \circ (x_{o} \circ x_{1})].$$

In similar way we can obtain

$$y \circ (x_1 \circ x_0) = a \circ [b \circ (x_1 \circ w_0)].$$

As $x_{o} \circ x_{1} = x_{1} \circ x_{o}$, then $y \circ (x_{1} \circ x_{o}) = x \circ (x_{1} \circ x_{o})$. Hence, from 1° and the injectivity of the function $f_{x_{1} \circ x_{o}}$ we have that x = y.

272

For a, b \in X-X* let us put

where x is a solution of the equation

$$x \circ x = a \circ (b \circ x)$$
 for a given $x \in X^*$.

The operation " \circ " is well defined on the set X-X*. For a,b \in X-X* by vritue of 2° and (1) we have

$$(a \circ b) \circ x_{o} = a \circ (b \circ x_{o}) = a \circ (x_{o} \circ b) = (a \circ x_{o}) \circ b$$
$$= b \circ (a \circ x_{o}) = (b \circ a) \circ x_{o}.$$

Hence, by virtue of injectivity of the function f and 1°, we obtain

$$a \circ b = b \circ a$$
.
If $a, b \in X-X^*$ and $z \in X^*$, then from definition (1) and condition 3° we have

 $(a \circ b) \circ z = a \circ (b \circ z).$ (2)

The equality

 $(a \circ z) \circ b = a \circ (z \circ b)$ follows form 2° as a $\circ z$, $z \circ b$, $(a \circ z) \circ b$, $a \circ (z \circ b) \in X^*$. From the last equality and from 1°, (2) and 2° we have

$$z \circ (a \circ b) = (a \circ b) \circ z = a \circ (b \circ z) = a \circ (z \circ b)$$

=
$$(a \circ z) \circ b = (z \circ a) \circ b$$
.

Let us assume that a,b,c $\in X-X^*$, $x_o \in X^*$ and $a \circ b \in X-X^*$. Then $c \circ x_o \in X^*$ and $b \circ (c \circ x_o) \in X^*$. By use of (1),(2), again (1) and then 2° or (1) we have

$$[(a \circ b) \circ c] \circ x = (a \circ b) \circ (c \circ x) = a \circ [b \circ (c \circ x)]$$

 $= \mathbf{a} \circ [(\mathbf{b} \circ \mathbf{c}) \circ \mathbf{x}] = [\mathbf{a} \circ (\mathbf{b} \circ \mathbf{c})] \circ \mathbf{x}.$

Since f_{x_o} is injective we have in this case

 $(a \circ b) \circ c = a \circ (b \circ c).$

The proof of associativity of the operation " \circ " under the assumption a,b,c \in X-X* and a \circ b \in X* can be obtained in a similar way.

Below we shall give two examples which illustrate the Theorem 1 EXAMPLE 1. Let $X^* = (\emptyset, \frac{1}{2}], X = (\emptyset, \frac{1}{2}] \cup \{1\},$

$$x \circ y := \begin{cases} xy & \text{for } x, y \in (\emptyset, \frac{1}{2}] \\ \frac{3}{4} y & \text{for } x=1, y \in (\emptyset, \frac{1}{2}] \\ \frac{3}{4} x & \text{for } x \in (\emptyset, \frac{1}{2}], y=1 \end{cases}$$

274

It is obvious that "•" : $X \times X^* \cup X^* \times X \longrightarrow X^*$ and the condition 1° is fulfilled. It is easy to verify that the condition 2° is fulfilled, too.

For $\alpha \in X^*$ and $x \in X$

$$f_{\alpha}(x) := \alpha \circ x = \begin{cases} \alpha x & \text{for } x \in (\emptyset, \frac{1}{2}] \\ \frac{3}{4} \alpha & \text{for } x=1. \end{cases}$$

Functions f_{α} are injective functions. But the condition 3° is not fulfilled. In fact, 3° fails when a=b=1 and $x_{0} = \frac{1}{2}$.

Hence Example 1 implies that in general Moszner's question has negative answer.

Let us denote by (W) the following system of conditions

$$(W_{1}) \begin{cases} "\circ" : X \times X^{*} \cup X^{*} \times X \longrightarrow X^{*}, \\ \text{conditions } 1^{\circ}, 2^{\circ} \text{ are fulfielled}, \\ \text{for an } x \in X^{*} \text{ the function} \\ f_{x} : X \longrightarrow X^{*}, \text{ where } f_{x}(x) = x_{o} \circ x \text{ for } x \in X \\ \text{is injective function and } x^{*}_{o} \circ X^{*} = X. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to prove

THEOREM 2. A binary operation " \bullet " fulfilling the condition (W_1) can be prolonged to a commutative and associative operation on the set X if and only if

4° $\land \qquad \land \qquad x \circ x_{o} = a \circ (b \circ x_{o}).$ a, b $\in X-X^{*} \quad x \in X$

Proof. Let us assume that the operation " \circ " fulfills the condition (W₁) and the condition 4° is satisfied. For a,b \in X-X* we put

a • b := x,

where x is a solution of the equation

$$\mathbf{x} \circ \mathbf{x}_{o} = \mathbf{a} \circ (\mathbf{b} \circ \mathbf{x}_{o}). \tag{3}$$

If

$$x_1 \circ x_2 = a \circ (b \circ x_2),$$

then from the injectivity of the function f_{x_0} we have $x_0 = x_1$, which proves that the operation "•" is well defined on the set $X-X^*$.

Let $a, b \in X-X^*$, $z \in X^*$. From the condition (W_1) we have $z = x_0 \circ x_1$ for an $x_1 \in X^*$. From (3), 1°,2° we obtain

$$(a \circ b) \circ z = (a \circ b) \circ (x \circ x) = [a \circ (b \circ x)] \circ x$$

$$= a \circ [(b \circ x_{a}) \circ x_{b}] = a \circ [b \circ (x_{a} \circ x_{b})] = a \circ (b \circ z).$$

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 1.

REFERENCE

[1] Moszner Z., Sur une forme des familles des fonctions comutatives. Ann. Pol. Mat. T. III, 1991, 53-65.