**Zeszyt 207** Prace Matematyczne XVI 1999

**Wilhelmina Smajdor**

# **On a functional equation of Abel**

**Abstract. We are concerned with the general solution and the stability problem for the functional equation**

$$
f(x + y) = g(xy) + h(x - y)
$$

in the case  $f, g, h : [0, \infty) \to S$ , where S is an Abelian semigroup with **the cancelation law. The set-valued case is considered as an application.**

## **1. General solution**

Let  $(S, +)$  be an Abelian semigroup with zero satisfying the cancelation **law, i.e.,**

$$
t + s = t' + s \implies t = t'. \tag{1}
$$

**Consider the Abel functional equation**

$$
0 \leqslant y \leqslant x \quad \Longrightarrow \quad f(x+y) = g(xy) + h(x-y), \tag{2}
$$

where  $f, g, h : [0, \infty) \rightarrow S$  are unknown functions. The general solution of the **equation**

$$
f(x + y) = g(xy) + h(x - y)
$$

for functions  $f, g, h : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  was given by Aczél [1] and by Lajkó (see [5] and  $[6]$ . The same equation was also solved in the case  $f, g, h : K \to G$ , where K **is a field and** *G* **is an Abelian group (see [4]).**

A function  $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow S$  satisfying the functional equation

$$
2g\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) = g(x) + g(y) \tag{3}
$$

for all  $x, y \in [0, \infty)$ , is said to be Jensen.

**AMS (1991) subject classification: 39B72, 47H15, 54C60.**

THEOREM 1

*Let* **(***S* **, + )** *be ап Abelian semigroup with zero satisfying the cancellation law* and let  $f, g, h : [0, \infty) \to S$  satisfy equation (2). Then g is a Jensen function *and*

$$
f(x) = g\left(\frac{x^2}{4}\right) + h(0),\tag{4}
$$

$$
h(x) + g(0) = g\left(\frac{x^2}{4}\right) + h(0)
$$
\n(5)

*for all*  $x \in [0, \infty)$ . *Conversely, if g* :  $[0, \infty) \rightarrow S$  *is a Jensen function and* 

$$
f(x) = g\left(\frac{x^2}{4}\right) + \alpha,\tag{6}
$$

$$
h(x) + g(0) = g\left(\frac{x^2}{4}\right) + \alpha \tag{7}
$$

*for*  $x \in [0, \infty)$ , where  $\alpha$  is an element of S, then functions f, g, h satisfy *equation* **(**2**).**

*Proof.* Putting  $y = 0$  in (2) we get

$$
f(x) = g(0) + h(x) \text{ for all } x \in [0, \infty).
$$
 (8)

Next, let us fix  $u \in [0, \infty)$ . The substitution  $x = y = \frac{u}{2}$  yields

$$
f(u) = h(0) + g\left(\frac{u^2}{4}\right). \tag{9}
$$

Take arbitrary  $u, v \in [0, \infty)$ . We may find  $x, y$  such that  $0 \leq y \leq x$  and

$$
u = xy, \quad v = \left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right)^2.
$$
 (10)

**Indeed, it sufficies to take**

$$
x = \sqrt{u+v} + \sqrt{v}, \quad y = \sqrt{u+v} - \sqrt{v}.
$$

Then we have also  $u + v = \frac{1}{4}(x + y)^2$ . Relations (2) and (9) imply that

$$
g(u + v) + h(0) = g\left(\frac{1}{4}(x + y)^2\right) + h(0)
$$
  
=  $f(x + y) = g(xy) + h(x - y)$   
=  $g(u) + h(2\sqrt{v}),$ 

**whence by (8) and (9)**

$$
g(u + v) + h(0) + g(0) = g(u) + h(2\sqrt{v}) + g(0)
$$
  
= g(u) + f(2\sqrt{v})  
= g(u) + g(v) + h(0).

**From (1) we get**

$$
g(u + v) + g(0) = g(u) + g(v).
$$
 (11)

**Setting**  $v = u$  we obtain hence

$$
2g(u) = g(2u) + g(0).
$$

Setting next  $u = \frac{x+y}{2}$  in the above equality and applying once more (11) we **get**

$$
2g\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) = g(x+y) + g(0) = g(x) + g(y),
$$

**i.e.,** 9 **is a Jensen function. Formula (4) coincides with (9) while (5) follows from (4) and (**8**).**

Assume that  $g : [0, \infty) \to S$  is a Jensen function and  $\alpha \in S$ . We have

$$
g\left(\frac{(x+y)^2}{4}\right) + g(0) = g\left(\frac{(x-y)^2}{4} + xy\right) + g(0)
$$

$$
= 2g\left(\frac{\frac{(x-y)^2}{4} + xy}{2}\right)
$$

$$
= g\left(\frac{(x-y)^2}{4}\right) + g(xy)
$$

for all  $x \ge y \ge 0$ . Suppose that functions f and h are given by (6) and (7). **Then**

$$
f(x + y) + g(0) = g\left(\frac{(x + y)^2}{4}\right) + \alpha + g(0)
$$
  
=  $g\left(\frac{(x - y)^2}{4}\right) + g(xy) + \alpha$   
=  $g(xy) + h(x - y) + g(0)$ 

for all  $x \ge y \ge 0$  and condition (2) holds.

Let  $(G, +)$  be an Abelian group and let  $g : [0, \infty) \to G$  be a Jensen function. It is well known that there exists an additive function  $a : \mathbb{R} \to G$  such that  $g(x) = a(x) + g(0)$  for  $x \in [0, \infty)$ . Taking  $S = G$  we obtain from Theorem 1

COROLLARY 1

*Let*  $(G,+)$  *be an Abelian group. Functions*  $f,g,h:[0,\infty) \to G$  satisfy (2) if and only if there exist an additive function  $a : \mathbb{R} \to G$  and constants  $\alpha, \beta \in G$ *such that*

$$
g(x) = a(x) + \beta
$$
,  $f(x) = \frac{1}{4}a(x^2) + \beta + \alpha$ ,  $h(x) = \frac{1}{4}a(x^2) + \alpha$ 

*for all*  $x \in [0, \infty)$ .

**An Abelian semigroup** *S* **with zero is said to be an abstract convex cone** if a map  $(\lambda, s) \rightarrow \lambda s$  defined on  $[0, \infty) \times S$  into S such that

$$
1 \cdot s = s, \quad \lambda(\mu s) = (\lambda \mu)s, \quad \lambda(s+t) = \lambda s + \lambda t, \quad (\lambda + \mu)s = \lambda s + \mu s
$$

for all  $s, t \in S$  and  $\lambda, \mu \in [0, \infty)$ , is given. We will assume that an abstract convex cone is endowed with a complete metric  $\rho$  such that

$$
\rho(s+t, s+t') = \rho(t, t') \quad \text{for all } s, t, t' \in S \tag{12}
$$

**and**

 $\rho(\lambda s, \lambda t) = \lambda \rho(s, t)$  for all  $\lambda \in [0, \infty)$ ,  $s, t \in S$ . (13)

**The following theorem will be usefull (see [9]).**

## THEOREM W

*Assume that S is an abstract convex cone satisfying the cancellation law and that a complete metric p is given in S such that* (12) *and* (13) *hold. Then,*  $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow S$  is a Jensen function if and only if there exist an additive *function*  $a : \mathbb{R} \to S$  *and a constant*  $b \in S$  *such that* 

$$
f(x) = a(x) + b \quad \text{for all } x \in [0, \infty).
$$

**Let us note direct consequences of Theorems 1 and W.**

# COROLLARY 2

*Assume that S is as in Theorem W. Then functions*  $f, g, h : [0, \infty) \rightarrow S$ satisfy (2) if and only if there exist an additive function  $a : \mathbb{R} \to S$  and con*stants*  $\alpha, \beta \in S$  *such that* 

$$
g(x) = a(x) + \beta
$$
,  $f(x) = \frac{1}{4}a(x^2) + \beta + \alpha$ ,  $h(x) = \frac{1}{4}a(x^2) + \alpha$ 

*for all*  $x \in [0, \infty)$ .

## 2. Stability

**In what follows, we will need the following theorem due to A. Smajdor (see**  $[8]$ 

## THEOREM A

Let  $(M,+)$  be an Abelian semigroup with zero and let  $(S,+)$  be an abstract *convex cone satisfying the cancellation law. Assume that a complete metric*  $\rho$  such that (12) and (13) hold is given in S. If  $f, g, h : M \rightarrow S$  satisfy the *conditon*

$$
\rho(f(x+y),g(x)+h(y))\leqslant \varepsilon
$$

*for some*  $\epsilon \geqslant 0$  *and*  $x, y \in M$ , then there exists exactly one additive function  $a: M \rightarrow S$  such that

$$
\rho(a(x)+f(0),f(x))\leqslant 4\varepsilon,\quad \rho(a(x)+g(0),g(x))\leqslant 4\varepsilon
$$

*and*

$$
\rho(a(x)+h(0),h(x))\leqslant 4\varepsilon
$$

*for all*  $x \in M$ .

The theorem in  $[8]$  was formulated in the case when  $f$ ,  $g$ ,  $h$  are set-valued **functions. But the closer analysis of the proof shows that this assumption is superfluous and actually the theorem in the above form was proved.**

## THEOREM 2

*Assume that*  $(S,+)$  *is as in Theorem A. If functions*  $f,g,h:[0,\infty) \to S$ *satisfy the condition*

$$
0 \leq y \leq x \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \rho \left( f(x+y), g(xy) + h(x-y) \right) \leq \varepsilon \tag{14}
$$

*with some*  $\epsilon \geq 0$ , then there exists exactly one additive functon a :  $\mathbb{R} \to S$  such *that*

$$
\rho\left(f(x),\frac{1}{4}a(x^2)+g(0)+h(0)\right)\leqslant 17\varepsilon,\tag{15}
$$

$$
\rho\left(g(x), a(x) + g(0)\right) \leqslant 16\varepsilon\tag{16}
$$

*and*

$$
\rho\left(h(x), \frac{1}{4}a(x^2) + h(0)\right) \leqslant 18\varepsilon \tag{17}
$$

*for all*  $x \in [0, \infty)$ .

*Proof.* Setting  $y = 0$  in (14) we get  $\rho \left( f(x), g(0) + h(x) \right) \leqslant \varepsilon$  (18) for all  $x \in [0, \infty)$ . Take  $u \in [0, \infty)$  and put  $x = y = \frac{u}{2}$  in (14). Then

$$
\rho\left(f(u), g\left(\frac{1}{4}u^2\right) + h(0)\right) \leq \varepsilon. \tag{19}
$$

Let us fix  $u, v \in [0, \infty)$  and take  $x = \sqrt{u+v} + \sqrt{v}$ ,  $y = \sqrt{u+v} - \sqrt{v}$ . Relations **(12). (14), (18) and (19) yield**

$$
\rho(g(u + v) + g(0), g(u) + g(v))
$$
\n
$$
= \rho\left(g\left(\frac{(x + y)^2}{4}\right) + g(0) + h(0), g(xy) + g\left(\frac{(x - y)^2}{4}\right) + h(0)\right)
$$
\n
$$
\leq \rho\left(g\left(\frac{(x + y)^2}{4}\right) + g(0) + h(0), f(x + y) + g(0)\right)
$$
\n
$$
+ \rho\left(f(x + y) + g(0), g(xy) + h(x - y) + g(0)\right)
$$
\n
$$
+ \rho\left(g(xy) + h(x - y) + g(0), g(xy) + g\left(\frac{(x - y)^2}{4}\right) + h(0)\right)
$$
\n
$$
\leq \rho\left(g\left(\frac{(x + y)^2}{4}\right) + h(0), f(x + y)\right)
$$
\n
$$
+ \rho\left(f(x + y), g(xy) + h(x - y)\right)
$$
\n
$$
+ \rho\left(h(x - y) + g(0), f(x - y)\right)
$$
\n
$$
+ \rho\left(f(x - y), g\left(\frac{(x - y)^2}{4}\right) + h(0)\right)
$$
\n
$$
\leq 4\varepsilon.
$$

In virtue of Theorem A there exists an additive function  $a : \mathbb{R} \to S$  such that

$$
\rho\left(a(x)+g(0),g(x)\right)\leqslant 16\varepsilon
$$

for  $x \in [0, \infty)$ . Hence, by (12), (18) and (19)

$$
\rho\left(f(x), \frac{1}{4}a(x^2) + g(0) + h(0)\right)
$$
\n
$$
\leq \rho\left(f(x), g\left(\frac{x^2}{4}\right) + h(0)\right) + \rho\left(g\left(\frac{x^2}{4}\right) + h(0), \frac{1}{4}a(x^2) + g(0) + h(0)\right)
$$
\n
$$
\leq \varepsilon + 16\varepsilon
$$
\n
$$
= 17\varepsilon
$$

**and**

$$
\rho\left(h(x),\frac{1}{4}a(x^2)+h(0)\right)=\rho\left(h(x)+g(0),\frac{1}{4}a(x^2)+h(0)+g(0)\right)
$$

$$
\leqslant \rho\left(h(x) + g(0), f(x)\right) + \rho\left(f(x), \frac{1}{4}a\left(x^2\right) + g(0) + h(0)\right) \leqslant 18\varepsilon
$$

for  $x \in [0, \infty)$ .

**To prove uniqueness of** *a* **suppose that (16) holds with an additive function**  $a : \mathbb{R} \to S$ . Since

$$
a(nx)=na(x)
$$

for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$
\rho\left(g(nx),na(x)+g(0)\right)\leqslant 16\varepsilon
$$

for the same *n* and  $x \in [0,\infty)$ . Dividing by *n* and passing to the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

$$
a(x)=\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}g\left(nx\right),\quad\text{for all }x\in[0,\infty).
$$

**This shows the uniqueness of** *a* **and completes the proof.**

### **3. Applications**

Now let X be a real Banach space and let  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  denote the set of all non**empty, convex, bounded and closed subsets of** *X .* **Introduce a binary operation \***  $+$  in  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  by the formula

$$
A + B = \text{cl}(A + B)
$$

**\*** (cl A denotes the closure of the set A). It is easy to see that  $(K(X), +)$  is an **Abelian semigroup with zero. The cancellation law for this semigroup follows from a generalization of the Rädström lemma (cf. [7], [10]). Moreover, the semigroup**  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  is an abstract convex cone. In particular, the multiplication  $[0, \infty) \times \mathcal{K}(X) \ni (\lambda, A) \longmapsto \lambda A \in \mathcal{K}(X)$  has the following properties

$$
\lambda(A + B) = \lambda A + \lambda B, \quad (\lambda + \mu)A = \lambda A + \mu A
$$

for all  $\lambda, \mu \in [0, \infty)$  and  $A, B \in \mathcal{K}(X)$ .

The Hausdorff distance of  $A$  and  $B$  is defined by

$$
\delta(A,B)=\max\{e(A,B),e(B,A)\},
$$

where  $e(A, B) = \sup{\{\inf\{\|a - b\| : b \in B\} : a \in A\}}$ .  $\delta$  is a metric on  $\mathcal{K}(X)$ . It is well known that  $K(X)$  is a complete metric space with the distance  $\delta$ **(cf. [3]). Furthermore**

$$
\delta(A + B, C + B) = \delta(A, C), \quad \delta(\lambda A, \lambda B) = \lambda \delta(A, B)
$$

for all  $\lambda \in [0, \infty)$ ,  $A, B, C \in \mathcal{K}(X)$  (see [2] for the proof of the first equality, **the second one is clear).**

**The following theorem follows from Corollary 2.**

## THEOREM<sub>3</sub>

*If* X is a Banach space, then set-valued functions  $F, G, H : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(X)$ *satisfy the equation*

$$
0 \leqslant y \leqslant x \implies F(x+y) = G(xy) + H(x-y)
$$

*if and only if there exists a set-valued function*  $A : \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{K}(X)$  *and sets*  $B, C \in$  $K(X)$  such that

$$
F(x) = \frac{1}{4}A(x^{2}) + B + C, \quad G(x) = A(x) + B, \quad H(x) = \frac{1}{4}A(x^{2}) + C
$$

*for all*  $x \in [0, \infty)$  *and* 

$$
A(x + y) = A(x) + A(y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathbb{R}.
$$
 (20)

**Concerning a stability problem for set-valued functions satisfying the Abel functional equation we have the following as a consequence of Theorem 2.**

## THEOREM 4

Let X be a Banach space. If set-valued functions  $F, G, H : [0, \infty) \to \mathcal{K}(X)$ *satisfy the inequality*

$$
0\leqslant y\leqslant x\;\;\implies\;\; \delta(F(x+y),G(xy)+H(x-y))\leqslant \varepsilon,
$$

*where*  $\varepsilon$  is some non-negative number, then there exists a set-valued function  $A: \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{K}(X)$  such that (20) and

$$
\delta\left(F(x), \frac{1}{4}A(x^2) + G(0) + H(0)\right) \le 17\varepsilon,
$$
  

$$
\delta\left(G(x), A(x) + G(0)\right) \le \varepsilon,
$$
  

$$
\delta\left(H(x), \frac{1}{4}A(x^2) + H(0)\right) \le 18\varepsilon
$$

*for all*  $x \in [0, \infty)$ , *hold.* 

## **Acknowledgement**

**The author would like to thank Professor Karol Baron for his valuable comments and remarks.**

#### **References**

- **[1] J. Aczél,** *The state of the second of Hilbert's fifth problem,* **Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 20 (1989), 153-163.**
- **[2] F. S. De Błasi,** *On differentiability of multifunctions,* **Pacific J. Math.** 66 **(1976). 67-81.**
- [3] C. Castaing, M. Valadier, *Convex analysis and measurable multifunctions*. Lec**ture Notes in Math. 580, Springer-Verlag, Berlin - New York, 1977.**
- **[4] J. K. Chung, B. R. Ebanks, C. T. Ng, P. K. Sahoo, W. B. Zeng,** *On a functional equation of Abel,* **Results Math. 26 (1994), 241-252.**
- **[5] K. Lajkó,** *Remarks on the Hosszii functional equation,* **Wyż. Szkoła Ped. Kraków Rocznik Nauk.-Dydakt. Prace Matematyczne** 12 **(1987), 192-193.**
- **[**6**] К. Lajkó,** *The general solution of Abel-type functional equations,* **Results Math. 26 (1994), 336-341.**
- **[7] H. Râdstrom,** *An embedding theorem for spaces of convex sets,* **Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 3 (1952), 165-169.**
- **[**8**] A. Smajdor,** *The stability of the Pexider equation for set-valued functions,* **Wyż. Szkoła Ped. Kraków Rocznik Nauk.-Dydakt. Prace Matematyczne 13 (1993), 277-286.**
- **[9] W. Smajdor,** *Note on Jensen and Pexider functional equations,* **to appear in Demonstratio Mathematica.**
- **[10] R. Urbański,** *A generalization of Minkowski-Rädström-Hörmander theorem,* **Bull. Acad. Polon. Sei. Ser. Sei. Math. Astronom. Phys. 24 (1976), 709-715.**

*Institute of Mathematics Silesian University Bankowa Ц PL-40-007 Katowice Poland E-mail:* **[WSmajdor@ux2.math.us.edu.pl](mailto:WSmajdor@ux2.math.us.edu.pl)**