

KRZYSZTOF CIEPLIŃSKI

## The rotation number of the composition of homeomorphisms

*Dedicated to Professor Zenon Moszner  
on his 70th birthday*

**Abstract.** In this paper it is shown that if  $F$  and  $G$  are commuting orientation-preserving homeomorphisms of the unit circle, then

$$\alpha(F \circ G) = \alpha(F) + \alpha(G) \pmod{1},$$

where  $\alpha(F)$  denotes the rotation number of  $F$ .

Let  $S^1$  be the unit circle with a positive orientation. In the sequel,  $F^n$  stands for the  $n$ -th iterate of the function  $F$ .

Write

$$t(x) := x + 1 \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}$$

and observe that the following facts follow immediately by induction:

REMARK 1

If  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a bijection such that  $f \circ t = t \circ f$ , then

$$f^n \circ t^m = t^m \circ f^n \quad \text{for all } n, m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

LEMMA 1

If  $f, g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are bijections such that  $f \circ t = t \circ f$ ,  $g \circ t = t \circ g$  and  $f \circ g = t^k \circ g \circ f$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then

- (i)  $f^n \circ g = t^{kn} \circ g \circ f^n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,
- (ii)  $(f \circ g)^n = t^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}k} \circ g^n \circ f^n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

## REMARK 2

If  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a bijection such that  $f \circ t = t \circ f$  and  $f^n(x_0) = t^m(x_0)$  for some  $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ , then

$$f^{nk}(x_0) = t^{mk}(x_0) \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

It is known (see for instance [1]) that for every homeomorphism  $F : \mathbf{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^1$  there exists a homeomorphism  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $F(e^{2\pi i x}) = e^{2\pi i f(x)}$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and

$$f \circ t = t \circ f, \quad \text{if } f \text{ is strictly increasing}$$

and

$$f \circ t = t^{-1} \circ f, \quad \text{if } f \text{ is strictly decreasing.}$$

We will say that the function  $f$  *represents* the homeomorphism  $F$ . If  $f$  is strictly increasing we will say that the homeomorphism  $F$  *preserves orientation*.

If  $F : \mathbf{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^1$  is an orientation-preserving homeomorphism represented by a function  $f$  then the number  $\alpha(F) \in [0, 1)$  defined by

$$\alpha(F) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f^n(x)}{n} \pmod{1}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}$$

is said to be the rotation number of  $F$ . This limit always exists and does not depend on  $x$  and  $f$ .

Assume that  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  represents an orientation-preserving homeomorphism  $F : \mathbf{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^1$  with an irrational rotation number. Since  $f$  and  $t$  are commuting homeomorphisms without fixed points, we see that for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  there exists a unique sequence  $\{s_k(x)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$t^{s_k(x)+1}(x) \geq f^k(x) > t^{s_k(x)}(x) \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (1)$$

Moreover, there exists a finite non-zero limit

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s_k(x)}{k} =: \nu(t, f),$$

which actually does not depend on  $x$  (see [3]). Let us mention here that another way of defining  $\nu(t, f)$  can be found in [2].

## LEMMA 2

Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  represent an orientation-preserving homeomorphism  $F : \mathbf{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^1$  such that  $\alpha(F) \notin \mathbb{Q}$ . Then

$$\alpha(F) = \nu(t, f) \pmod{1}.$$

*Proof.* Fix an  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and observe that from (1) we have

$$\frac{x + s_k(x) + 1}{k} \geq \frac{f^k(x)}{k} > \frac{x + s_k(x)}{k} \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}.$$

Letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$  gives our assertion.

We can now prove our main result.

**THEOREM 1**

Let  $F, G : \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$  be commuting orientation-preserving homeomorphisms. Then

$$\alpha(F \circ G) = \alpha(F) + \alpha(G) \pmod{1}. \tag{2}$$

Moreover, the homeomorphisms  $f, g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which represent  $F$  and  $G$ , respectively, also commute.

*Proof.* Since  $f \circ g$  represents  $F \circ G$  and  $g \circ f$  represents  $G \circ F$ , there exists a  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  for which  $f \circ g = t^k \circ g \circ f$ .

We distinguish two cases.

1°. Either  $\alpha(F)$  or  $\alpha(G)$  is rational.

Since  $F$  and  $G$  commute, we can assume that  $\alpha(F) \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Therefore  $f^q(x_0) = t^r(x_0)$  for some  $q \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ , which gives  $\alpha(F) = \frac{r}{q} \pmod{1}$  (see for instance [1]). Moreover, Remark 2 yields

$$f^{qn}(x_0) = t^{rn}(x_0) \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{3}$$

Fix an  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ . According to Lemma 1(ii), (3) and Remark 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(f \circ g)^{qn}(x_0)}{qn} &= \frac{\left( t^{\frac{qn(qn+1)}{2}k} \circ g^{qn} \circ f^{qn} \right)(x_0)}{qn} \\ &= \frac{qn+1}{2}k + \frac{g^{qn}(t^{rn}(x_0))}{qn} \\ &= \frac{qn+1}{2}k + \frac{t^{rn}(g^{qn}(x_0))}{qn} \\ &= \frac{qn+1}{2}k + \frac{r}{q} + \frac{g^{qn}(x_0)}{qn}. \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $n$  tend to infinity and using the relations

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(f \circ g)^{qn}(x_0)}{qn} = \alpha(F \circ G) \pmod{1}, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g^{qn}(x_0)}{qn} = \alpha(G) \pmod{1}$$

and  $\frac{r}{q} = \alpha(F) \pmod{1}$ , we deduce that  $k = 0$  and (2) holds.

2°. Both  $\alpha(F)$  and  $\alpha(G)$  are irrational.

Fix an  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  and let sequences  $\{s_k(x_0)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}, \{p_k(x_0)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{Z}$  be such that

$$\begin{aligned} t^{s_k(x_0)+1}(x_0) &\geq f^k(x_0) > t^{s_k(x_0)}(x_0) \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{N}, \\ t^{p_k(x_0)+1}(x_0) &\geq g^k(x_0) > t^{p_k(x_0)}(x_0) \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 1(ii) and Remark 1 now show that for a fixed  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} (f \circ g)^n(x_0) &= \left( t^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}k} \circ g^n \circ f^n \right)(x_0) \\ &\leq \left( t^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}k} \circ g^n \circ t^{s_n(x_0)+1} \right)(x_0) \\ &= \left( t^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}k+s_n(x_0)+1} \circ g^n \right)(x_0) \\ &\leq \left( t^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}k+s_n(x_0)+1} \circ t^{p_n(x_0)+1} \right)(x_0) \\ &= t^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}k+s_n(x_0)+p_n(x_0)+2}(x_0). \end{aligned}$$

In the same manner we can see that

$$(f \circ g)^n(x_0) > t^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}k+s_n(x_0)+p_n(x_0)}(x_0).$$

These inequalities yield

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{n+1}{2}k + \frac{s_n(x_0)}{n} + \frac{p_n(x_0)}{n} + \frac{x_0}{n} &< \frac{(f \circ g)^n(x_0)}{n} \\ &\leq \frac{n+1}{2}k + \frac{s_n(x_0)}{n} + \frac{p_n(x_0)}{n} + \frac{2}{n} + \frac{x_0}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(f \circ g)^n(x_0)}{n} = \alpha(F \circ G) \pmod{1}, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s_n(x_0)}{n} = \nu(t, f)$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_n(x_0)}{n} = \nu(t, g),$$

the last relation shows that  $k = 0$  and

$$\nu(t, f) + \nu(t, g) = \alpha(F \circ G) \pmod{1}.$$

Lemma 2 now leads to (2).

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 1 we have the following

#### COROLLARY 1

Let  $F : \mathbf{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^1$  be an orientation-preserving homeomorphism. Then

$$\alpha(F^{-1}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \alpha(F) = 0 \\ 1 - \alpha(F) & \text{if } \alpha(F) \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

## References

- [1] I.P. Cornfeld, S.V. Fomin, Y.G. Sinai, *Ergodic Theory*, Springer Verlag, Berlin – Heidelberg – New York, 1982.
- [2] W. Jarczyk, K. Loskot, M.C. Zdun, *Commuting functions and simultaneous Abel equations*, Ann. Polon. Math. **60** (1994), 119-135.
- [3] M.C. Zdun, *Some remarks on the iterates of commuting functions*, in: European Conference on Iteration Theory (ECIT 91) (Lisbon, Portugal, 15-21 September 1991), edited by J.P. Lampreia, J. Llibre, C. Mira, J. Sousa Ramos and Gy. Targonski, World Scientific, Singapore – New Jersey – London – Hong Kong, 1992, 336-342.

*Institute of Mathematics  
Pedagogical University  
Podchorążych 2  
30-084 Kraków  
Poland  
E-mail: smciepli@cyf-kr.edu.pl  
or kciepli@wsp.krakow.pl*

*Manuscript received: November 24, 1999 and in final form: May 5, 2000*