

JULIAN MUSIELAK

Approximation by a nonlinear convolution operator in modular function spaces

*Dedicated to Professor Zenon Moszner
on the occasion of His 70th birth anniversary*

Abstract. There is investigated a nonlinear convolution operator

$$Tf = \widehat{K} * f + Pf$$

in modular function spaces $L^0_\rho(G)$, with application to approximation of functions f in $L^0_\rho(G)$.

1. One of the leading problems in the theory of Fourier series is the problem of approximation of 2π -periodic functions, Lebesgue integrable in the interval $[-\pi, \pi]$ by means of linear, singular integral operators of the form

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \widehat{K}_n(x - y) f(y) dy.$$

For example, in case of the first arithmetic means of partial sums of Fourier series of a function f , $(\widehat{K}_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ is the Fejér kernel

$$\widehat{K}_n(u) = \frac{1}{2n\pi} \left(\frac{\sin \frac{1}{2}nu}{\sin \frac{1}{2}u} \right)^2,$$

and the singularity conditions mean that

$$\int_{-\pi}^{-\delta} K_n(u) du + \int_{\delta}^{\pi} K_n(u) du \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

for every $0 < \delta < \pi$ and

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} K_n(u) du = 1 \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots$$

(see e.g. [3]). In further investigations, these problems were extended in three directions:

- (a) The interval $[-\pi, \pi]$ was replaced by a locally compact abelian group G with Haar measure μ ; the most important examples besides the interval $[-\pi, \pi]$ are $G = \mathbb{R} = (-\infty, \infty)$ with the operation of addition, $G = \mathbb{R}_+^0 = [0, \infty)$ with the operation of multiplication and $d\mu = \frac{dt}{t}$, and $G = \mathbb{Z}$ — the group of all integers with the operation of addition.
- (b) The set $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ of indices was replaced by an abstract set W of indices with convergence $\xrightarrow{\mathcal{W}}$ generated by a filter \mathcal{W} of subsets of W ; this enables a unique treatment of the “discrete” and the “continuous” case.
- (c) The kernel $(\widehat{K}_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is replaced by a kernel $(\widehat{K}_w)_{w \in W}$, where $\widehat{K}_w: G \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ do not need to be linear with respect to the second variable (see [1], [4]).

In this paper we shall investigate the case when the integral operators consist of a linear part and of a nonlinear perturbation.

Let G be an abelian, locally compact Hausdorff topological group with operation $+$: $G \times G \rightarrow G$ and with Haar measure μ . The σ -algebra of measurable sets will be denoted by Σ . Let $L^0(G)$ be the space of all measurable, finite μ -a.e. functions $f: G \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, where $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is the extended real line, and let $L^1(G)$ be the subspace of all μ -integrable functions $f \in L^0(G)$ with equality μ -a.e.; we write $\|f\|_1 = \int_G |f(t)| d\mu(t)$. In the theory of linear operators a special role is played by the convolution operator $\widehat{K} * f$, defined by

$$(\widehat{K} * f)(x) = \int_G \widehat{K}(x - y) f(y) d\mu(y) = \int_G K(t) f(t + x) d\mu(t)$$

for $x \in G$, $f, K \in L^1(G)$, where $\widehat{K}(x) = K(-x)$. Recently, there was considered also a nonlinear version of the convolution operator of the form

$$(Tf)(x) = \int_G k(t, f(t + x)) d\mu(t)$$

(see, e.g. [1], [4]). We shall investigate here the case when the nonlinear operator T is a sum of a linear convolution operator $\widehat{K} * f$ and a perturbation operator P of the form

$$(Pf)(x) = \int_G k(t, f(t+x)) d\mu(t),$$

i.e.

$$(Tf)(x) = (\widehat{K} * f)(x) + (Pf)(x) \tag{1}$$

for μ -a.e. $x \in G$. We suppose that $K: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $k: G \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are kernel functions, i.e. $K \in L^0(G)$, $K(0) = 0$ and $k(\cdot, u) \in L^0(G)$ for $u \in \mathbb{R}$, $k(t, \cdot)$ is continuous for $t \in G$, $k(t, 0) = 0$ for $t \in G$. We call K the *linear kernel function*, and k — the *perturbated kernel function*.

Let $0 < L \in L^1(G)$, $p(t) = \frac{L(t)}{\|L\|_1}$. Let $\psi: G \times \mathbb{R}_0^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$, where $\mathbb{R}_0^+ = [0, \infty)$, $\psi(\cdot, u)$ is measurable on G for all $u \geq 0$, $\psi(t, \cdot)$ is continuous and nondecreasing, $\psi(t, 0) = 0$, $\psi(t, u) > 0$ for $u > 0$, $\psi(t, u) \rightarrow \infty$ as $u \rightarrow \infty$ for all $t \in G$. The perturbated kernel function k is called $(L, \psi)_0$ -Lipschitz, if

$$|k(t, u)| \leq L(t)\psi(t, u) \quad \text{for all } t \in G, u \geq 0.$$

The *domain* $\text{Dom } T$ of the operator T is defined as the set of all functions $f \in L^0(G)$ such that $(Tf)(x)$ exists for μ -a.e. $x \in G$ and Tf is a measurable function on G .

2. Let ρ be a *modular* on $L^0(G)$, i.e. a functional $\rho: L^0(G) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}^* = [0, \infty]$ satisfying the conditions:

$$\rho(0) = 0, \quad \rho(f) > 0 \text{ for } f \neq 0, \quad \rho(-f) = \rho(f)$$

and

$$\rho(\alpha f + \beta g) \leq \rho(f) + \rho(g) \quad \text{for } f, g \in L^0(G), \alpha, \beta \geq 0, \alpha + \beta = 1.$$

If, additionally,

$$\rho(\alpha f + \beta g) \leq \alpha\rho(f) + \beta\rho(g) \quad \text{for } f, g \in L^0(G) \text{ and } \alpha, \beta \geq 0, \alpha + \beta = 1,$$

we say that ρ is a *convex modular*.

Every norm $\|\cdot\|$ on a linear subspace L' of $L^0(G)$ defines a convex modular ρ on $L^0(G)$ by means of the conditions $\rho(f) = \|f\|$ if $f \in L'$, $\rho(f) = \infty$ if $f \in L^0(G) \setminus L'$.

The standard example of a modular (convex modular) is provided by the formula $\rho(f) = \int_G \varphi(|f(t)|) d\mu(t)$, where $\varphi(0) = 0$ and φ is a homeomorphism (a convex homomorphism) of \mathbb{R}_0^+ onto itself, and leads to an Orlicz space $L^\varphi(G)$.

Turning back to the general case, a convex modular ρ on $L^0(G)$ defines a *modular space*

$$L_\rho^0(G) = \{f \in L^0(G): \rho(\lambda f) < \infty \text{ for some } \lambda > 0\},$$

which is a linear normed space with the norm

$$\|f\|_\rho = \inf \left\{ u > 0: \rho \left(\frac{f}{u} \right) \leq 1 \right\},$$

generated by the modular ρ . In the case of a normed space with norm $\|\cdot\|$, the modular $\rho(\cdot) = \|\cdot\|$ defines again the norm $\|\cdot\|_\rho = \|\cdot\|$. In the case of the above mentioned Orlicz convex modular, there holds $L_\rho^0(G) = L^\varphi(G)$. In a general modular space, convergence $\|f_n\|_\rho \rightarrow 0$ is equivalent to the condition $\rho(\lambda f_n) \rightarrow 0$ for every $\lambda > 0$. If $\rho(\lambda f_n) \rightarrow 0$ for some $\lambda > 0$, we say that (f_n) is ρ -convergent to 0 and we write $f_n \xrightarrow{\rho} 0$. For further information concerning modulars, see e.g. [5].

There will be needed some definitions concerning modulars. We say that a modular ρ on $L^0(G)$ is:

- (a) *monotone*, if from $f, g \in L^0(G)$, $|f| \leq |g|$, follows $\rho(f) \leq \rho(g)$,
- (b) *finite*, if for every set $A \in \Sigma$ of finite measure μ there holds $\chi_A \in L_\rho^0(G)$, where χ_A is the characteristic function of the set A ,
- (c) *absolutely finite*, if it is finite and if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and every $\lambda > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that for every set $B \in \Sigma$ of measure $\mu(B) < \delta$ there holds the inequality $\rho(\lambda \chi_B) < \varepsilon$,
- (d) *J-convex*, if the inequality

$$\rho \left(\int_G p(t)h(t, \cdot) d\mu(t) \right) \leq \int_{G_1} p(t)\rho[h(t, \cdot)] d\mu(t)$$

holds for every nonnegative $p \in L^1(G)$ such that $\|p\|_1 = 1$ and every measurable $h: G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$,

- (e) *absolutely continuous*, if for every $f \in L^0(G)$ such that $\rho(f) < \infty$ there hold the following conditions:
 - 1) for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $A \in \Sigma$ such that $\mu(A) < \infty$ and $\rho(f\chi_{G \setminus A}) < \varepsilon$,
 - 2) for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for every $B \in \Sigma$ with $\mu(B) < \delta$ there holds $\rho(f\chi_B) < \varepsilon$,
- (f) *subbounded*, if there exist constants $C \geq 1$ and $h_0 \geq 0$ such that $\rho(f(t+\cdot)) \leq \rho(Cf) + h_0$ for all $f \in L^0(G)$ and $t \in G$,
- (g) τ -*subbounded*, if there exist a constant $C \geq 1$ and a function $h \in L^\infty(G)$ tending to 0 as $t \rightarrow 0$ in the sense of the topology in G , satisfying the inequality $\rho(f(t+\cdot)) \leq \rho(Cf) + h(t)$ for all $f \in L^0(G)$ and $t \in G$.

Let ρ and η be two modulars on $L^0(G)$ and let ψ be the function from the $(L, \psi)_0$ -Lipschitz condition.

We say that $\{\rho, \psi, \eta\}$ is a *properly directed triple*, if there is a set $G_0 \in \Sigma$ of measure $\mu(G_0) = 0$ such that for every number $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ there exists a number $C_\lambda \in (0, 1)$ satisfying the inequality

$$\rho[C_\lambda \psi(t, |F(\cdot)|)] \leq \eta(\lambda F(\cdot))$$

for all $t \in G \setminus G_0$ and $F \in L^0(G)$.

The following example explains the motivation. Let ρ be the Orlicz modular defined by means of a convex homeomorphism $\varphi: \mathbb{R}_0^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$ and let $\psi: \mathbb{R}_0^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$ be the inverse of φ . Since ψ is concave, it follows $\rho(\lambda \psi(|F(\cdot)|)) \leq \eta(\lambda F(\cdot))$ for $0 < \lambda < 1$, $F \in L^0(G)$, if we take $\eta(F) = \int_G |F(t)| d\mu(t)$. Hence $\{\rho, \psi, \eta\}$ is a properly directed triple with $C_\lambda = \lambda$. Let us remark that for a given λ , the set of numbers C_λ is an interval I_λ of the form $I_\lambda = (0, \delta_\lambda)$ with $0 < \delta_\lambda \leq 1$ or $I_\lambda = (0, \delta]$ with $0 < \delta_\lambda < 1$. Writing C_λ we shall have in mind an arbitrary number $C_\lambda \in I_\lambda$.

3. We start with the following

EMBEDDING THEOREM

Let ρ and η be two convex modulars on $L^0(G)$, subbounded with constants C', h'_0 and C'', h''_0 , respectively; moreover, let ρ be monotone and J -convex. Let the linear kernel function K belong to $L^1(G)$ and let the perturbed kernel function k be $(L, \psi)_0$ -Lipschitz with $L \in L^1(G)$. Suppose that $\{\rho, \psi, \eta\}$ is a properly directed triple, and let the operator T be given by (1). Let $0 < \lambda < 1$ and $0 < \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2} \min\left(\frac{\lambda^2}{\|K\|_1}, \frac{C_\lambda^2}{\|L\|_1}\right)$. Then for every function $f \in L^0_{\rho+\eta}(G) \cap \text{Dom } T$ there holds the inequality

$$\rho(\alpha T f) \leq \frac{1}{2} \lambda [\rho(\lambda C' f) + \eta(\lambda C'' f) + h'_0 + h''_0].$$

Proof. Since ρ is monotone, convex and subbounded with constants C', h'_0 , so taking $\alpha > 0$ so small that $2\alpha \|K\|_1 \leq \lambda^2$ with $0 < \lambda \leq 1$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(2\alpha(\widehat{K} * f)) &\leq \int_G \frac{|K(t)|}{\|K\|_1} \rho(2\alpha \|K\|_1 |f(t + \cdot)|) d\mu(t) \\ &\leq \frac{\lambda}{\|K\|_1} \int_G |K(t)| \rho(\lambda |f(t + \cdot)|) d\mu(t) \\ &\leq \lambda [\rho(\lambda C' f) + h'_0]. \end{aligned}$$

Since k is $(L, \psi)_0$ -Lipschitz, $\{\rho, \psi, \eta\}$ is properly directed and η is convex and subbounded with constants C'', h''_0 , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(2\alpha Pf) &\leq \int_G \frac{L(t)}{\|L\|_1} \rho[2\alpha\|L\|_1 \psi(t, |f(t + \cdot)|)] d\mu(t) \\ &\leq \int_G \frac{L(t)}{\|L\|_1} \eta(\lambda^2 f(t + \cdot)) d\mu(t) \\ &\leq \lambda[\eta(\lambda C'' f) + h_0''], \end{aligned}$$

if $2\alpha\|L\|_1 \leq C_{\lambda^2}$. By convexity of ρ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\alpha Tf) &\leq \frac{1}{2}[\rho(2\alpha(\widehat{K} * f) + \rho(2\alpha Pf))] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\lambda[\rho(\lambda C' f) + \eta(\lambda C'' f) + h_0' + h_0'']. \end{aligned}$$

From the above Theorem one may conclude, immediately:

COROLLARY

Under assumptions of the Embedding Theorem, T maps $L^0_{\rho+\eta}(G) \cap \text{Dom } T$ into $L^0_{\rho}(G)$ and is continuous at 0 in sense of the $(\rho + \eta)$ -convergence.

4. Let ρ be a modular on $L^0(G)$ and let \mathcal{U} be a basis of Σ -measurable neighbourhoods of the zero element $0 \in G$. Then the map

$$\omega_{\rho}: L^0(G) \times \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}^+$$

defined by

$$\omega_{\rho}(f, U) = \sup_{t \in U} \rho(f(t + \cdot) - f(\cdot)) \quad \text{for } f \in L^0(G), U \in \mathcal{U}$$

is called the ρ -modulus of continuity. There holds the following Lemma (see [2], Theorem 2):

LEMMA

Let ρ be a monotone, absolutely finite, absolutely continuous and τ -subbounded modular on $L^0(G)$. Then for every $f \in L^0_{\rho}(G)$ there exists a constant $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$\omega_{\rho}(\lambda f, U) \rightarrow 0$$

in the sense of \mathcal{U} .

APPROXIMATION THEOREM

Let ρ be a convex, monotone, subbounded with constants C' , h'_0 and J -convex modular on $L^0(G)$ and let η be a τ -subbounded with constant C'' and function h'' modular on $L^0(G)$. Let the linear kernel function K belong to $L^1(G)$ and let the perturbed kernel function k be $(L, \psi)_0$ -Lipschitz. Moreover, let $\{\rho, \psi, \eta\}$ be a properly directed triple. Let $0 < \lambda < 1$, $0 < 2\alpha\|L\|_1 \leq C_{\lambda}$, $U \in \mathcal{U}$ and $f \in L^0_{\rho+\eta}(G) \cap \text{Dom } T$. Then there holds the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
 \rho(\alpha(Tf - f)) &\leq \frac{1}{4}\omega_\rho(4\alpha\|K\|_1 f, U) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{4}[\rho(8\alpha C'\|K\|_1 f) + h'_0] \int_{G \setminus U} \frac{|K(t)|}{\|K\|_1} d\mu(t) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2}[\eta(\lambda C'' f) + h''_U] + \frac{1}{2}h''_G \int_{G \setminus U} \frac{L(t)}{\|L\|_1} d\mu(t) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{4}\rho[4\alpha(\|K\|_1 - 1)f],
 \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where $h''_U = \sup_{t \in U} h''(t)$.

Proof. By convexity of ρ , we have for every $\alpha > 0$

$$\rho(\alpha(Tf - f)) \leq \frac{1}{2}\rho[2\alpha(\widehat{K} * f - f)] + \frac{1}{2}\rho(2\alpha Pf). \tag{3}$$

Applying the identity

$$(\widehat{K} * f - f)(x) = \int_G K(t)(f(t+x) - f(x)) d\mu(t) + (\|K\|_1 - 1)f(x),$$

convexity and monotonicity of ρ , we obtain

$$\rho[2\alpha(\widehat{K} * f - f)] \leq \frac{1}{2}J_1 + \frac{1}{2}J_2, \tag{4}$$

where

$$J_1 = \rho\left(4\alpha \int_G |K(t)| |f(t+\cdot) - f(\cdot)| d\mu(t)\right), \quad J_2 = \rho(4\alpha(\|K\|_1 - 1)f). \tag{5}$$

Since ρ is J -convex and subbounded, we obtain for every $U \in \mathcal{U}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_1 &\leq \int_G \frac{|K(t)|}{\|K\|_1} \rho[4\alpha\|K\|_1 |f(t+\cdot) - f(\cdot)|] d\mu(t) \\
 &\leq \int_U \frac{|K(t)|}{\|K\|_1} \rho[4\alpha\|K\|_1 |f(t+\cdot) - f(\cdot)|] d\mu(t) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{G \setminus U} \frac{|K(t)|}{\|K\|_1} \rho[8\alpha\|K\|_1 f(t+\cdot)] d\mu(t) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{G \setminus U} \frac{|K(t)|}{\|K\|_1} \rho(8\|K\|_1 f) d\mu(t) \\
 &\leq \omega_\rho(4\alpha\|K\|_1 f, U) + \left[\rho(8\alpha C'\|K\|_1 f) + \frac{1}{2}h'_0 \right] \int_{G \setminus U} \frac{|K(t)|}{\|K\|_1} d\mu(t).
 \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Moreover, since ρ is monotone and J -convex, the permutated kernel k is $(L, \psi)_0$ -Lipschitz, $\{\rho, \psi, \eta\}$ is a directed triple and η is τ -subbounded with constant C'' and function h'' , so we obtain for $U \in \mathcal{U}$, $0 < \lambda < 1$ and $0 < 2\alpha\|L\|_1 \leq C_\lambda$

$$\begin{aligned} g(2\alpha Pf) &\leq \int_G \frac{L(t)}{\|L\|_1} \rho[2\alpha\|L\|_1 \psi(t, |f(t + \cdot)|)] d\mu(t) \\ &\leq \eta(\lambda C'' f) + \int_U \frac{L(t)}{\|L\|_1} h''(t) d\mu(t) + \int_{G \setminus U} \frac{L(t)}{\|L\|_1} h''(t) d\mu(t) \\ &\leq \eta(\lambda C'' f) + h''_U + h''_G \int_{G \setminus U} \frac{L(t)}{\|L\|_1} d\mu(t). \end{aligned}$$

Taking together the last inequality and inequalities (3), (4), (5) and (6) we obtain the inequality (2).

5. We take now a family $\mathcal{T} = (T_w)_{w \in W}$ of operators T_w , filtered by means of a filter \mathcal{W} of subsets of the set W of indices w . Thus we have

$$(T_w f)(x) = (\widehat{K}_w * f)(x) + (P_w f)(x)$$

for μ -a.e. $x \in G$ (the exceptional set of measure zero is supposed to be independent of the index w).

The family $\mathcal{K} = (K_w)_{w \in W}$ of linear kernel functions is called a *linear kernel*, and the family $\mathbb{K} = (k_w)_{w \in W}$ is called a *perturbated kernel*. The domain $\text{Dom } \mathcal{T}$ of \mathcal{T} is defined as $\text{Dom } \mathcal{T} = \bigcap_{w \in W} \text{Dom } T_w$. We say that \mathbb{K} is $(\mathcal{L}, \psi)_0$ -Lipschitz for $\mathcal{L} = (L_w)_{w \in W}$, if k_w are $(L_w, \psi)_0$ -Lipschitz for all $w \in W$. Convergence in the sense of the filter \mathcal{W} will be denoted by $\xrightarrow{\mathcal{W}}$.

We say that the linear kernel K is *singular*, if the set $\{\|K_w\|_1 : w \in W\}$ is bounded and

$$\frac{1}{\|K_w\|_1} \int_{G \setminus U} |K_w(t)| d\mu(t) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{W}} 0$$

for every $U \in \mathcal{U}$. \mathbb{K} is called *strongly singular*, if it is singular and satisfies the condition

$$\|K_w\|_1 \xrightarrow{\mathcal{W}} 1.$$

We say that the perturbated $(\mathbb{L}, \psi)_0$ -Lipschitz kernel \mathbb{K} is *singular*, if the set $\{\|L_w\|_1 : w \in W\}$ is bounded and

$$\frac{1}{\|L_w\|_1} \int_{G \setminus U} L_w(t) d\mu(t) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{W}} 0.$$

Now, we formulate a theorem concerning the ρ -convergence $T_w f \xrightarrow{\mathcal{W}} f$.

CONVERGENCE THEOREM

Let ρ be a convex, monotone, absolutely finite, absolutely continuous, τ -subbounded and J -convex modular on $L^0(G)$. Let η be a τ -subbounded modular on $L^0(G)$. Let $\mathcal{K} = (K_w)_{w \in W}$ be a strongly singular linear kernel and let $\mathbb{K} = (k_w)_{w \in W}$ be an $(L, \psi)_0$ -Lipschitz, singular perturbed kernel. Let $\{\rho, \psi, \eta\}$ be a properly directed triple and let $f \in L^0_{\rho+\eta}(G) \cap \text{Dom } \mathcal{T}$. Then there exists a $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$\rho[\lambda(T_w f - f)] \xrightarrow{W} 0.$$

Proof. We apply inequality (2) with T_w, K_w and L_w in place of T, K and L , respectively. Let $\bar{K} = \sup_{w \in W} \|K_w\|_1, \bar{L} = \sup_{w \in W} \|L_w\|_1$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. Since $f \in L^0_\eta(G)$, we may find a λ such that $0 < \lambda < 1$ and $\eta(\lambda C'' f) < \frac{1}{8}\varepsilon$. Since $f \in L^0_\rho(G)$, we have $\rho(8\alpha C' \bar{K} f) < \infty$ for sufficiently small $\alpha > 0$; we may also assume that $2\alpha \bar{L} \leq C_\lambda$. Moreover, by the Lemma, we may take α so small that $\omega_\rho(4\alpha \bar{K} f, U) \rightarrow 0$ in the sense of \mathcal{U} , i.e. there exists a $U \in \mathcal{U}$ depending on ε such that

$$\omega_\rho(4\alpha \bar{K} f, U) < \varepsilon.$$

Since η is τ -subbounded, we may choose the set U so small that $h''_U < \frac{1}{8}\varepsilon$. We fix the set U . Now, since \mathcal{K} and \mathbb{K} are singular, there exists a set $W_1 \in \mathcal{W}$ such that

$$[\rho(8\alpha C' \bar{K} f) + h'_0] \int_{G \setminus U} \frac{|K_w(t)|}{\|K_w\|_1} d\mu(t) < \varepsilon$$

and

$$h''_G \int_{G \setminus U} \frac{L_w(t)}{\|L_w\|_1} d\mu(t) < \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon$$

for $w \in W_1$. We obtain

$$\rho(\alpha(T_w f - f)) \leq \frac{3}{4}\varepsilon + \frac{1}{4}\rho[4\alpha(\|K_w\|_1 - 1)f]$$

for $w \in W_1$. Since $f \in L^0_\rho(G)$, there exists a $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that $\rho(\lambda_0 f) < \varepsilon$. Since \mathcal{K} is strongly singular, we have $\|K_w\|_1 - 1 \xrightarrow{W} 0$. Hence there exists a set $W_2 \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $4\alpha|\|K_w\|_1 - 1| \leq \lambda_0$ for $w \in W_2$, and so $\rho[4\alpha(\|K_w\|_1 - 1)f] < \varepsilon$ for $w \in W_2$. Taking $W = W_1 \cap W_2$, we thus obtain $\rho(\alpha(T_w f - f)) < \varepsilon$ for $w \in W$. This ends the proof.

References

[1] C. Bardaro, J. Musielak, G. Vinti, *Approximation by nonlinear integral operators in some modular function spaces*, Ann. Polon. Math. **63** (1996), 173-182.

- [2] C. Bardaro, J. Musielak, G. Vinti, *On the definition and properties of a general modulus of continuity in some functional spaces*, *Mathematica Japonica* **43** (1996), 445-450.
- [3] P.L. Butzer, R.J. Nessel, *Fourier Analysis and Approximation*, Vol. I, Birkhäuser, Basel and Academic Press, New York, 1971.
- [4] A. Musielak, J. Musielak, *On nonlinear integral operators in function spaces*, *Mathematica Japonica* **48** (1998), 257-266.
- [5] J. Musielak, *Orlicz spaces and modular spaces*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **1034** (1983), Springer Verlag.

*Faculty of Mathematics
and Computer Science
A. Mickiewicz University
ul. Matejki 48/49
60-769 Poznań
Poland*

Manuscript received: August 29, 1999 and in final form: February 21, 2000